

**Prioritization of Chemicals for
Carcinogen Identification Committee Review:**

**Proposed Chemicals for Committee Consideration and Consultation
March 2009**

**Reproductive and Cancer Hazard Assessment Branch
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
California Environmental Protection Agency**

Summary

The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) is proposing 38 chemicals for review by the Carcinogen Identification Committee (CIC) under Proposition 65, using the process endorsed by the CIC and adopted by OEHHA in 2004. These chemicals (see Table 1 below) are not proposed for listing at this time. OEHHA is seeking public comment and the CIC's consultation regarding which of these chemicals should proceed to the next stage of the listing process. The next stage would be the development of hazard identification materials by OEHHA and the consideration of a chemical for listing by the CIC at a future meeting.

Introduction

OEHHA has applied two data screens to roughly half the chemicals in a tracking database of chemicals to which Californians are potentially exposed. The two screens are a human data screen and an animal data screen. This screening approach was discussed at the November 17, 2007 and November 5, 2008 meetings of the CIC. The screening follows the procedure established in December 2004 and described in the OEHHA document "Process for Prioritizing Chemicals for Consideration under Proposition 65 by the "State's Qualified Experts."

Evidence of hazard was assessed by application of an epidemiologic data screen and an animal data screen, both of which are described below. Chemicals that passed either of these hazard screens were then subjected to preliminary toxicological evaluation, to determine whether they should be proposed for CIC consideration for possible preparation of hazard identification materials. The preliminary toxicological evaluation entails consideration of the available overall evidence of carcinogenicity (i.e., epidemiology, animal bioassay, other relevant information), but is of necessity an initial, abbreviated appraisal of the information identified through screening level literature searches.

OEHHA has applied two data screens to roughly half the candidate chemicals, and identified 38 chemicals through preliminary toxicological evaluations of the chemicals that passed either of the data screens. This document, which is the subject of a 60-day public comment period, presents these 38 chemicals. For each of the chemicals, a separate listing has been compiled of the relevant studies that were identified during the

preliminary toxicological evaluation. Listings for each of the 38 chemicals are found in the Appendix.

At its next meeting, the CIC will provide advice and consultation regarding possible development of hazard identification materials on these chemicals, as described in “Next Steps” below. The following is a description of the process OEHHA conducted in applying these data screens.

Chemicals Screened

Under this process, only candidate chemicals are screened. These are chemicals in the tracking database with data suggesting that they cause cancer and have exposure potential in California. The evaluation of exposure potential is qualitative, based primarily on production, use or monitoring data.

Candidate chemicals that are candidates for listing via an administrative listing mechanism were not screened. OEHHA has applied two data screens to roughly half the candidate chemicals, and identified 38 chemicals (see Table 1) for CIC review and consultation. Screening continues on the remaining candidate chemicals. The additional chemicals identified for CIC review and consultation will be presented in future documents.

Applying the Epidemiology Data Screen

The epidemiology data screen was applied to 80 candidate chemicals (or chemical groups). The screen entails the identification of chemicals with epidemiological studies suggesting evidence of carcinogenicity. The screen involved finding relevant epidemiology studies through a literature search and evaluating them. Applying the screen required identification of epidemiology studies of the chemical reporting an association between exposure to the chemical and increased cancer risk. More weight was given to analytical studies, and less weight to descriptive studies and case reports. Single case reports were not sufficient to satisfy the screen. For those chemicals with studies available, the studies were examined in some detail. Studies were reviewed to determine whether there was a positive finding of cancer associated with exposure to the chemical. The studies were further reviewed to determine whether the effect might be attributed to exposure to the chemical of concern with some confidence.

For each chemical, the steps used in applying the epidemiology data screen were as follows:

1. The chemical’s Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number and synonyms were identified using TOXLINE (<http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?TOXLINE>).
2. The chemical identifiers were used in a search of the literature, using PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez>). The search included a standardized search term (cancer [sb]) in the PubMed lexicon. Further refinement of the search was performed if necessary (e.g., enormous volume of articles returned).
3. Epidemiological studies were identified from the titles retrieved in the online search.

4. Abstracts of epidemiological articles were reviewed for relevance to the possible finding of cancer in humans exposed to the chemical. The full article was retrieved if the study appeared relevant upon review of the abstract. For articles lacking abstracts, copies of those with titles suggesting possible relevance were requested for review.
5. All articles identified as potentially relevant were considered in assessing whether evidence existed of human cancer related to exposure to the chemical.

Applying the Animal Data Screen

Subsequent to the epidemiology data screen, the animal data screen was applied to those candidate chemicals or chemical groups not identified through the human data screen. The animal data screen is based on “positive” bioassays and involved finding relevant animal cancer bioassays through a literature search and evaluating them with regard to the screening criteria. A positive animal cancer bioassay is a study in which a treatment-related increase in the incidence of malignant or combined malignant and benign tumors is observed in a given tissue or organ, or for a given type of tumor (e.g., hemangiosarcoma). An increased incidence is either statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) by pairwise comparison with controls, or biologically significant (e.g., an increased incidence of a rare tumor type).

The animal screen identified chemicals with:

- Two or more positive animal cancer bioassays;
- One positive animal cancer bioassay with findings of tumors at multiple sites or with malignant (or combined malignant and benign) tumors occurring to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site, type of tumor or age at onset;
- One positive animal cancer bioassay and evidence from a second animal cancer bioassay of benign tumors of a type known to progress to malignancy.

For each chemical, the steps used in applying the animal data screen were as follows:

1. The chemical identifiers were used in a search of the literature, using PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez>). The search included a standardized search term (cancer [sb]) in the PubMed lexicon. Further refinement of the search was performed if necessary (e.g., enormous volume of articles returned).
2. Animal cancer bioassays were identified from the titles retrieved in the online search.
3. Abstracts of the identified articles were reviewed. The full article was retrieved if the abstract indicated that animal cancer bioassay findings were presented or discussed in the article. For articles lacking abstracts, copies of those with titles suggesting possible relevance were requested for review.
4. All articles identified as potentially relevant were considered in assessing whether the animal data screen employed in this round of prioritization had been met for the chemical (or chemical group) in question.

Preliminary Toxicological Evaluation

A preliminary toxicological evaluation was made of chemicals identified through application of the epidemiology and animal data screens. Further search of the literature was performed to identify additional information relevant to carcinogenicity, such as studies on genotoxicity, mechanism of action, metabolism and pharmacokinetics (and animal cancer bioassays for those chemicals identified through the epidemiology data screen). This additional information was used to conduct a preliminary evaluation of the overall evidence of carcinogenicity for each of the chemicals identified by the data screens. Chemicals for which a preliminary evaluation of the overall evidence indicated that carcinogenicity may be a concern have been proposed here for CIC consideration.

Chemicals Proposed for CIC Consideration

OEHHA identified the 38 chemicals listed below for possible preparation of hazard identification materials. The CIC will provide OEHHA with advice on the prioritization of these chemicals for possible preparation of hazard identification materials at its next meeting on Friday, May 29, 2009.

Table 1. Chemicals Identified through Prioritization and Proposed for Consideration by the Carcinogen Identification Committee.

- 2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole
- 11-Aminoundecanoic acid
- Amphetamine and its salts
- Anthanthrene
- Aspartame
- Benoxacor
- 2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
- D & C Yellow #11
- 1,3-Dichloro-2-propanol
- Dicofol
- Diethanolamine (DEA)
- N,N-Diethylthiourea
- Diisononyl phthalate (DINP)
- 2,6-Dimethyl-n-nitrosomorpholine
- 1,3-Dinitropyrene
- Ethynodiol diacetate
- Fluoride and its salts
- Haloperidol
- Hydroquinone
- Methoxychlor
- Methyl ethyl ketoxime
- Molybdenum trioxide
- 3-Monochloropropane-1,2-diol (3-MCPD)
- 3-Nitrofluoranthene
- Nitrofurantoin
- N-Nitrosoanabasine
- N-Nitrosohexamethyleneimine
- 5-Nitro-*o*-toluidine
- Perfluorooctanoic acid and its salts and transformation and degradation precursors
- Permethrin
- Rock wool
- Tetrachlorvinphos
- Thiamethoxam
- Triamterene
- Triclosan
- Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate
- Triethanolamine (TEA)
- Vinylidene chloride

For each of the chemicals, a separate listing has been compiled of the relevant studies that were identified during the preliminary toxicological evaluation. Listings for each of the 38 chemicals are found in the Appendix.

Chemicals for CIC Consultation May 29, 2009
Exposure Characteristics and Types of Studies Providing Evidence of Carcinogenicity

Chemical Name	Exposure				Human Data				Animal Data					Other Relevant Data				
	Widespread	High in frequent consumers	Limited / occupational	High in infrequent consumers	Analytical	Descriptive	Case series / reports	Analytical: mixed / poorly defined exposures	Two or more studies	One study w/ unusual incidence, site/type, age at onset	One study and second study with benign tumors only	One study	Tumor initiation / promotion or co-carcinogenicity studies	Genotoxicity	Carcinogenic metabolites	Structural similarity with tumorigens or P65 carcinogens	Hormonal activity / disruption	Other mechanistic studies
2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole			X						X					X				
11-Aminoundecanoic acid		X							X					X				
Amphetamine and its salts		X			X							X	X					X
Anthanthrene	X								X			X	X		X			
Aspartame	X					X			X				X	X				
Benoxacor	X								X									
2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane			X						X				X		X			
D & C Yellow #11	X								X				X					
1,3-Dichloro-2-propanol	X								X				X	X	X			
Dicofol	X				X	X					X				X	X	X	X
Diethanolamine (DEA)	X						X	X	X				X		X			X
N,N-Diethylthiourea			X						X			X	X		X	X		
Diisononyl phthalate (DINP)	X								X						X	X	X	X
2,6-Dimethyl-n-nitroso-morpholine			X						X			X	X		X			
1,3-Dinitropyrene	X								X				X		X			
Ethynodiol diacetate		X					X	X	X			X		X		X		
Fluoride and its salts	X				X	X			X				X					
Haloperidol		X							X				X					

Chemical Name	Exposure				Human Data				Animal Data					Other Relevant Data				
	Widespread	High in frequent consumers	Limited / occupational	High in infrequent consumers	Analytical	Descriptive	Case series / reports	Analytical: mixed / poorly defined exposures	Two or more studies	One study w/ unusual incidence, site/type, age at onset	One study and second study with benign tumors only	One study	Tumor initiation / promotion or co-carcinogenicity studies	Genotoxicity	Carcinogenic metabolites	Structural similarity with tumorigens or P65 carcinogens	Hormonal activity / disruption	Other mechanistic studies
Hydroquinone	X						X	X				X	X		X			
Methoxychlor	X				X			X							X	X		
Methyl ethyl ketoxime			X					X					X					
Molybdenum trioxide			X		X			X					X					
3-Monochloropropane-1,2-diol (3-MCPD)	X							X					X		X			
3-Nitrofluoranthene	X							X					X		X			
Nitrofurantoin				X	X	X		X					X		X			
N-Nitrosoanabasine	X							X					X		X			
N-Nitrosohexamethyleneimine			X					X					X		X			
5-Nitro- <i>o</i> -toluidine			X					X					X					
Perfluorooctanoic acid and its salts and transformation and degradation precursors	X				X					X		X					X	X
Permethrin	X							X					X		X			
Rock wool			X		X			X					X		X			X
Tetrachlorvinphos	X							X					X					
Thiamethoxam	X							X										X
Triamterene		X						X					X					
Triclosan	X							X					X		X	X	X	X
Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate	X							X					X		X			
Triethanolamine (TEA)	X						X	X							X			X
Vinylidene chloride			X					X				X	X		X			

Next Steps

With the release on March 6, 2009 of the 38 chemicals proposed for CIC consideration, OEHHA opened a public comment period that closes on May 5, 2009.

The CIC will consider the chemicals in Table 1 at their May 29, 2009 meeting, providing advice and consultation regarding possible development of hazard identification materials by OEHHA. Written public comments received by OEHHA by May 5, 2009, will be provided to the CIC for consideration. The public is also given the opportunity to comment on the chemicals being proposed for hazard identification materials preparation at the CIC meeting.

The CIC may also suggest other chemicals for which hazard identification materials should be prepared. The CIC can vote on recommendations or provide less formal advice to OEHHA concerning which chemicals should be brought back for their consideration for listing following preparation of hazard identification materials.

Hazard identification materials summarizing the available scientific evidence on the carcinogenic potential of the selected chemicals would be prepared following an exhaustive search and evaluation of the scientific literature. These materials will be provided to the CIC, and released for public comment, prior to the public meeting at which the CIC deliberates on a listing decision.

Further details on prioritization, the development of hazard identification materials and committee consideration of the listing of chemicals under Proposition 65 are given in OEHHA (2004).

Reference

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA, 2004). *Process for Prioritizing Chemicals for Consideration under Proposition 65 by the "State's Qualified Experts."* California Environmental Protection Agency, OEHHA, Sacramento, CA, December. Available online at:
www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/CRNR_notices/state_listing/pdf/finalPriordoc.pdf