

Meeting Synopsis for the Carcinogen Identification Committee Meeting Held on November 19, 2014 [11/19/14]

The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) convened a meeting of the Proposition 65 Carcinogen Identification Committee (CIC) on November 19, 2014, at the California Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Building in Sacramento, California.

The Committee considered whether or not dibenzanthracenes (as a chemical group), dibenz[*a,c*]anthracene, dibenz[*a,j*]anthracene, and N-nitrosomethyl-*n*-alkylamines with alkyl chain lengths of 3 through 12 and 14 carbons should be listed under Proposition 65 as known to the state to cause cancer. The Committee also heard staff updates regarding Proposition **65 activities. A summary of the meeting is provided below.**

Listing decisions

Following OEHHA staff presentations, opportunity for public comment, and committee discussion, the CIC determined:

- **Dibenzanthracenes** (as a chemical group) have been clearly shown through scientifically valid testing according to generally accepted principles to cause cancer. Six CIC members voted in favor of a “clearly shown” finding, no members voted in favor of a “not clearly shown” finding, and one abstained. The CIC also voted unanimously in favor of a “clearly shown” finding for two individual chemicals within the chemical group covered by the initial vote: dibenz[*a,c*]anthracene and dibenz[*a,j*]anthracene.
- **N-Nitrosomethyl-*n*-alkylamines**, also known as N-methyl-N-nitroso-1-alkylamines, with alkyl chain lengths of 3 through 12 and 14 carbons, have been clearly shown through scientifically valid testing according to generally accepted principles to cause cancer. The CIC voted unanimously in favor of a “clearly shown” finding for these 11 chemicals.

The links to the slide presentations made at the meeting by OEHHA staff are given below:

Dibenzanthracenes - Staff presentation

N-Nitrosomethyl-*n*-alkylamines - Staff presentation

STAFF UPDATES

The committee was provided with updates on chemical listings via the administrative listing mechanisms and on current Proposition 65 litigation.