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PREFACE

Drinking Water Public Health Goals
Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
California Environmental Protection Agency

This Public Health Goal (PHG) technical support document provides information on health effects from contaminants in drinking water. PHGs are developed for chemical contaminants based on the best available toxicological data in the scientific literature. These documents and the analyses contained in them provide estimates of the levels of contaminants in drinking water that would pose no significant health risk to individuals consuming the water on a daily basis over a lifetime.

The California Safe Drinking Water Act of 1996 (Health and Safety Code, Section 116365) requires the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) to perform risk assessments and adopt PHGs for contaminants in drinking water based exclusively on public health considerations. The Act requires that PHGs be set in accordance with the following criteria:

1. PHGs for acutely toxic substances shall be set at levels at which no known or anticipated adverse effects on health will occur, with an adequate margin of safety.

2. PHGs for carcinogens or other substances that may cause chronic disease shall be based solely on health effects and shall be set at levels that OEHHA has determined do not pose any significant risk to health.

3. To the extent the information is available, OEHHA shall consider possible synergistic effects resulting from exposure to two or more contaminants.

4. OEHHA shall consider potential adverse effects on members of subgroups that comprise a meaningful proportion of the population, including but not limited to infants, children, pregnant women, the elderly, and individuals with a history of serious illness.

5. OEHHA shall consider the contaminant exposure and body burden levels that alter physiological function or structure in a manner that may significantly increase the risk of illness.

6. OEHHA shall consider additive effects of exposure to contaminants in media other than drinking water, including food and air, and the resulting body burden.

7. In risk assessments that involve infants and children, OEHHA shall specifically assess exposure patterns, special susceptibility, multiple contaminants with toxic mechanisms in common, and the interactions of such contaminants.
8. In cases of insufficient data for OEHHA to determine a level that creates no significant risk, OEHHA shall set the PHG at a level that is protective of public health with an adequate margin of safety.

9. In cases where scientific evidence demonstrates that a safe dose response threshold for a contaminant exists, then the PHG should be set at that threshold.

10. The PHG may be set at zero if necessary to satisfy the requirements listed above in items seven and eight.

11. PHGs adopted by OEHHA shall be reviewed at least once every five years and revised as necessary based on the availability of new scientific data.

PHGs adopted by OEHHA are for use by the California Department of Health Services (DHS) in establishing primary drinking water standards (State Maximum Contaminant Levels, or MCLs). Whereas PHGs are to be based solely on scientific and public health considerations without regard to economic cost considerations or technical feasibility, drinking water standards adopted by DHS are to consider economic factors and technical feasibility. Each primary drinking water standard adopted by DHS shall be set at a level that is as close as feasible to the corresponding PHG, placing emphasis on the protection of public health. PHGs established by OEHHA are not regulatory in nature and represent only non-mandatory goals. By state and federal law, MCLs established by DHS must be at least as stringent as the federal MCL, if one exists.

PHG documents are used to provide technical assistance to DHS, and they are also informative reference materials for federal, state and local public health officials and the public. While the PHGs are calculated for single chemicals only, they may, if the information is available, address hazards associated with the interactions of contaminants in mixtures. Further, PHGs are derived for drinking water only and are not intended to be utilized as target levels for the contamination of other environmental media.

Additional information on PHGs can be obtained at the OEHHA web site at www.oehha.ca.gov.
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PUBLIC HEALTH GOAL FOR COPPER IN DRINKING WATER

SUMMARY

A revised Public Health Goal (PHG) of 100 µg/L is proposed for copper in drinking water, based on a re-review of the scientific literature since the original PHG, developed in 1997. Copper is an essential nutrient in humans, and has not been shown to be carcinogenic in animals or humans. The proposed PHG is based on children as a sensitive group, and absence of an adverse effect in the principal study selected (Olivares et al., 1998), with corroborative data on gastrointestinal effects from other studies as the adverse effect endpoint of concern (Berg et al., 1981; Stenhammar, 1999; Pizarro et al., 1999b; Araya et al., 2001, 2004; Olivares et al., 2001). Although some significant differences in biochemical indexes of copper nutrition and liver function were observed between exposure groups in the Olivares et al. (1998) study, no evidence of adverse or toxic effects were reported in healthy infants (formula- and breast-fed) that consumed water with a copper content of either <0.1 mg/L or 2 mg/L (~30 µmol/L) from 3 to 12 months of age. The no-observed-effect-level was 426 µg/kg-day based on the higher drinking water copper concentration administered in the study. (The Olivares et al., 1998 study was undertaken to confirm the safety of the World Health Organization’s provisional limit for copper of 2 mg/L during infancy).

For the calculation of the PHG, the lowest mean bodyweight was selected from infants in the group with the higher copper exposure, which was equivalent to a body weight of 2.85 kg. Because non-breastfed infants under six months of age consume an almost exclusively water/milk-based formula diet, a relative source contribution (RSC) of 100 percent (1.0) was used for the calculation. Finally, a total (combined) uncertainty factor (UF) of 10 was applied to account for using subchronic rather than chronic data and account for human variability.

Young children, and infants in particular, appear to be especially susceptible to the effects of excess copper. The liver of the newborn infant contains 90 percent of the copper body burden, with much higher concentrations than in adults (WHO, 1993; FNB, 2000). In addition, biliary excretion in infants is immature at birth, and bile is the main route for copper excretion. Several case reports have attributed adverse effects (diarrhea and weight loss) in infants to rather low levels of copper (0.22 and 1 mg/L) in drinking water (Berg et al., 1981; Stenhammar, 1999). In other studies (Pizarro et al., 1999b; Araya et al., 2001, 2004; Olivares et al., 2001), consumption by adults of drinking water containing ≥ 3 mg/L ionized copper was associated with a significant increase in nausea, abdominal pain, or vomiting. OEHHA believes that a PHG of 100 µg/L (100 ppb) for copper in drinking water is adequate to protect both infants and adults against any adverse acute or chronic effects from copper in drinking water.

The U.S. EPA MCLG and Action Level for copper is 1.3 mg/L, as is the state “notification level.” The WHO (1993) provisional limit for copper in tap water is 2 mg/L (31.48 µmol/L). The proposed copper PHG, which is decreased from the value of 170
µg/L (170 ppb) in the 1997 PHG document, is based on new scientific studies since the initial PHG development, and provides a strong scientific basis for protecting public health.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to reevaluate the PHG for copper in drinking water, originally developed in 1997 (OEHHA, 1997). Copper may be present in source water or may enter tap water in the distribution system of the individual household. Tap water is used for drinking directly and also for the preparation of foods and beverages. Copper is an essential nutrient, but it is toxic at higher intake levels. Children under 10 years of age appear to be particularly susceptible to copper toxicity (Spitalny et al., 1984; Mueller-Hoehrer et al., 1988; Klein et al., 1991; FNB, 2000; ATSDR, 2004).

As a required element, copper is incorporated into a number of proteins, such as cytochrome oxidase, lysyl oxidase and superoxide dismutase. Copper is essential for hemoglobin synthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, catecholamine biosynthesis and cross-linking of collagen, elastin, and hair keratin (Solomons, 1985; ATSDR, 2004). The daily nutritional requirement for copper is easily met by food sources; deficiencies are generally associated with disease conditions such as persistent infantile diarrhea or inherited metabolic disorder (Menkes’ syndrome) (FNB, 2000, ATSDR, 2004).

Reports of copper intoxication in humans most often arise from accidental poisoning or suicide attempts (Akintowa et al., 1989; ATSDR, 2004). Copper intoxication from the consumption of water containing high copper concentrations is uncommon. Symptoms of mild copper poisoning from ingestion of contaminated water are nausea, abdominal cramps, diarrhea, vomiting, dizziness and headaches. More serious cases involving hepatic and renal necrosis, coma and death have been reported as “Indian Childhood Cirrhosis” (ICC), a condition affecting primarily children under five years of age, mainly in the Indian subcontinent (Sethi et al., 1993). It is generally believed that milk or water stored in brass or copper containers led to increased dietary copper in these children, possibly combined with variations in genetic susceptibility (McClain and Shedlofsky, 1988; Lee et al., 1989; Sethi et al., 1993, ATSDR, 2004).

In this document we evaluate the available data on the toxicity of copper by the oral route, particularly toxic effects that may result from the ingestion of drinking water with high levels of dissolved copper. To determine a safe level for copper in drinking water, sensitive groups are identified and considered, and studies that can be used to identify safe levels are reviewed and evaluated.

CHEMICAL PROFILE

Chemical Identity

Copper is a naturally occurring metal with an atomic number of 29 and an average atomic weight of 63.54. The two naturally occurring stable isotopes are $^{63}\text{Cu}$ and $^{65}\text{Cu}$,
occurring in a ratio of approximately 7:3. Two radioactive isotopes of copper, $^{64}$Cu and $^{67}$Cu, have been useful for clinical and experimental purposes (Marceau et al., 1970; Strickland et al., 1972).

Copper is a metallic element with a bright, lustrous reddish color. It is malleable, ductile, and an excellent conductor of heat and electricity. The melting point of copper is 1,083°C and its boiling point is 2,336°C. The specific gravity of copper is 8.94.

Copper can exist in two valence states: monovalent (cuprous) and divalent (cupric). Copper is found in pure metallic form, or as a component of many minerals, including sulfides, oxides and carbonates. Pure copper can be obtained from these minerals by smelting, leaching or electrolysis.

The copper salt most frequently used in toxicological experiments is cupric sulfate (CuSO$_4$).

**Production and Uses**

Copper may have been the first metal that human beings smelted and used for manufacturing implements. The manufacture of copper tools and weapons ended the neolithic age (or late stone age) and eventually led to the bronze age when humans learned to alloy copper with tin and other metals. Unalloyed copper is still used to make coins, electrical wiring, casings for ammunition, and water pipes. Copper has excellent electrical and heat conductivity, which makes it useful for electrical wires and for cooking applications. The ductility of copper makes it useful for water pipes that can be bent to fit particular applications.

Bronze (copper alloyed chiefly with tin) is used in a wide variety of applications. Brass (copper alloyed with zinc) is an attractive metal for decorative purposes such as rails and doorknobs, and is used in making musical instruments.

Copper salts are also extensively used as pesticides, with application as antifungals and against moss and other plants. Copper sulfate and copper hydroxide were the tenth and eleventh most-heavily used pesticides (by weight) in California in 2002, the most recent year for which pesticide use data are available (DPR, 2004). Copper hydroxide alone was applied to over 1 million acres. About 7 million pounds of copper salts were used as pesticides in California in 2002.

**ENVIRONMENTAL OCCURRENCE AND HUMAN EXPOSURE**

Copper is a component of many naturally occurring minerals and is extensively used in industry and household products. Therefore, it is very widespread in the human environment. Copper occurs in virtually all media that humans contact, including air, water and soil (ATSDR, 1990; Nriagu, 1990).
Air

Concentrations of copper in air tend to range between 5 and 200 ng/m³, although they may be as high as several thousand ng/m³ in proximity to copper sources such as smelters, mines, and power plants (ATSDR, 2004). Average values around 50 ng/m³ are common in urban air (Davies and Bennett, 1985). The concentrations of copper detected in air samples from “remote areas” range widely from a high of 110 ng/m³ to a low of 0.014 ng/m³ as reported by Wiersma and Davidson (1986). Copper emitted into the air from natural sources amounts to 28 thousand tons annually, whereas anthropogenic sources may contribute another 35 thousand tons (Nriagu and Pacyna, 1988). Natural sources include wind-blown dust, volcanic activity, and spray from ocean waves. The anthropogenic sources are the mining, refining, smelting, and incineration of copper and related metals that are mixed or alloyed with copper in the ores and in the processed forms (ATSDR, 1990).

Soil

Copper is discharged to land from sewage treatment plants, as well as from mining and industry. Based on the 2001 Toxic Release Inventory reports, it was estimated that 92 percent of the 11 million pounds of copper released to the environment by industrial activities is deposited on land (ATSDR, 2004). Large quantities of copper salts used in agriculture are deposited on land over extensive areas (DPR, 2004). The copper in soil can run off to surface water and leach to ground water, thus contaminating drinking water sources (U.S. EPA, 2003).

Water

Surface water concentrations of copper range from 0.5 to 1000 ppb, with a median of 10 ppb (ATSDR, 2004). Most of the copper tends to be bound to sediments. Urban runoff often contains elevated concentrations of copper due to household and industrial uses of water. Sewage is also a major source of copper input to rivers and streams, although some is removed in treatment plants because of its sediment binding properties (ATSDR, 2004). Copper in surface water is a well-known environmental hazard, associated with toxicity to a variety of aquatic organisms (U.S. EPA, 2003).

Well water has a highly variable copper content that is dependent on the soil and the underlying water table (Lonnerdal, 1996). Additional copper is added to water due to leaching from the distribution system as drinking water is carried from the water treatment plant to the tap (Lonnerdal, 1996; Sharrett et al., 1982). The use of copper sulfate for water treatment (primarily as an algicide) can also add copper to drinking water.

Copper in drinking water is regulated by the lead and copper rule, a Federal and State drinking water standard (Title 22 CCR section 64672.3) that specifies requirements for copper in drinking water systems, measured at the customers’ taps (U.S. EPA, 2001a). The action level (now called “notification level” in California) refers to a concentration measured at the tap rather than in the municipal water supply system because much of the
copper in drinking water is derived from household plumbing. The concentration at the tap is affected by water chemistry (pH and various dissolved constituents), which affects the corrosivity of the water. The leaching of copper into drinking water in the home distribution system is greater if the water is slightly acidic or very soft (Lonnerdal, 1996; Sharrett et al., 1982).

The notification level for copper is exceeded if the concentration of copper in more than 10 percent of the tap water samples collected during any monitoring period (conducted in accordance with 22 CCR sections 64682 to 64685) is greater than 1,300 ppb. Failure to comply with the applicable requirements for lead and copper is a violation of primary drinking water standards for these substances (22 CCR Chapter 17.5).

The U.S. EPA also has a secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) for copper in drinking water of 1.0 mg/L (40 CFR 143). This is an aesthetics guideline based on consideration of taste and the staining of sinks and bathtubs. This is the principal regulatory guideline in many countries. The taste threshold for copper in water is 1 to 5 mg/L (Cohen et al., 1960; McKee and Wolf, 1971).

Drinking water concentrations of copper vary widely, but tap water could typically contribute about 0.08 to 0.3 mg (9-30 percent) of the adult daily nutritional requirement for copper, which is now considered to be 0.9 mg/day (FNB, 2000). The nutritional requirement of children for copper is lower, ranging from an RDA of 0.34 mg/day for infants of 1-3 years of age to 0.89 mg/day for ages 14-18 (FNB, 2000). Assuming that infants drink 1 liter/day of water, the copper exposure would represent 24 to 88 percent of their nutritional needs. However, food provides an adequate amount of copper except in special cases (FNB, 2000). According to WHO guidelines, drinking water should not provide more than about one-tenth of the daily requirement for minerals, including copper (WHO, 1993).

First-draw water from household systems that use copper plumbing can contain several mg/L of copper; concentrations are likely to be highest when drawn from the hot water pipes. Copper leaching from pipes tends to decrease over several years, presumably from accumulation of deposits on the inside of the pipes (ATSDR, 2004). Survey data from U.S. municipal water supply systems are not generally available. However, a study of samples from households in Ohio found about 30 percent exceeded 1 mg/L (Strain et al., 1984). Also, a report of the 90th percentile concentrations in first-draw water samples taken by 4500 municipal systems required to report action level exceedances to U.S. EPA showed a median value of about 2 mg/L and some values as high as 10 mg/L (NRC, 2000).

Food

As part of a total diet study (Pennington et al., 1986), the United States Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA) estimated the daily dietary intake of copper and other essential trace elements for eight groups of the U.S. population by sex and age. These estimates were based on composite samples of 234 foods purchased in 24 U.S. cities, together with earlier estimates of dietary intakes of these foods by both males and females per age groups. Table 1 displays the results of this study for copper.
Table 1. Dietary Copper Intakes for Females and Males per Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Dietary Copper Intake (mg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-11 months</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 to 16 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 30 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 65 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Pennington et al., 1986.

Gibson (1994) compiled several studies and found that copper intakes in adults were approximately 1.0 to 1.5 mg/day from omnivore diets, whereas vegetarian diets provided 2.1 to 3.9 mg/day copper. Copper intakes for children were 0.8 to 1.9 mg/day, with most of the higher intakes from vegetarian diets (Gibson, 1994). Davies and Bennett (1985) used a value of 2 mg/day in their copper exposure assessment. These estimated dietary intakes of copper are well over the estimated average requirements for copper established by the Food and Nutrition Board of the U.S. Institute of Medicine (0.7 mg/day for adults), but well below the estimated adult tolerated upper intake level (10 mg/day) (FNB, 2000).

The World Health Organization (WHO, 1973) recommended 80 µg/kg of copper/day for infants, and set a value of 150 µg/kg per day as the upper limit of the safe range for infants. However, the Food and Nutrition Board more recently concluded that an upper limit could not be established for infants (FNB, 2000). Breast milk copper concentration is low, containing approximately 0.2-0.3 mg Cu/L (Dewey et al., 1983; Vuori and Kuitunen, 1979), but copper from breast milk is well absorbed (Lonnerdal, 1998). The American Academy of Pediatrics (1985) has recommended 60 µg of copper per 100 kcal in infant formulas (infant formulas sold in the U.S generally contain 75 µg of copper per 100 kcal). This would provide 0.4 mg of copper/day for an infant consuming 700 kcal/day. Term infant formulas generally contain from 0.4-0.8 mg Cu/L, whereas formulas for pre-term infants may contain up to 2 mg Cu/L (Bauerly et al., 2005).

The copper in the diet is contributed by a variety of foods. Potatoes and other vegetables make the largest contribution (approximately 30 percent). Meat, poultry, fish and bread contribute significantly (approximately 20 percent). Other food groups contribute lesser amounts (Solomons, 1985; Lonnerdal, 1996a). The food with the highest copper content is beef liver, which was reported to contain 61 ppm copper.
METABOLISM AND PHARMACOKINETICS

Copper probably occurs in drinking water in the form of cupric ion (Cu+2) complexed with organic ligands (U.S. EPA, 1987). Copper ions are generally more bioavailable in water than in food; there may be components in food that can influence the metabolism, absorption and mobilization of copper in human diets. For example, high levels of vitamin C (ascorbic acid) adversely affect the absorption and metabolism of copper. There appears to be an antagonistic relationship between copper and zinc absorption and transport (Cousins, 1985).

Absorption

In humans, dietary copper is absorbed from the stomach and small intestine (Cousins, 1985). In humans about 65 percent of an oral dose of 64Cu as copper acetate was absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract (range 15 – 97 percent) (Weber et al., 1969; Strickland et al., 1972). Absorption efficiency appeared to be inversely correlated with copper level in the diet (Turnland et al., 1989, 1998). Orally administered 64Cu rapidly appears in the plasma (Bearn and Kunkel, 1955).

Dorner et al. (1989) found that full-term, breast-fed human infants, with a copper intake of 114 µg/kg-day, retained 88 µg/kg-day copper, representing an absorption value of ~77 percent. Copper retention decreased with age. At two weeks of age, 130 µg/kg-day was retained, and at age 16 weeks, 64 µg/kg-day was retained. In comparison, mean relative retention in infants fed copper-fortified formula was 52 percent. Copper absorption in infant rhesus monkeys using 67Cu ranged from 50-70 percent, similar to the values found for full-term human infants (Lonnerdal et al., 1996b). Studies in rats show that copper absorption is very high during the neonatal period, but that it decreases by the weaning period (Lonnerdal et al., 1985). Using perfused rat intestines, Varada et al. (1993), found that copper absorption was linear and nonsaturable in infant and weanling rats; copper absorption was saturable in adolescent rats. Suckling rats had considerably higher tissue copper concentrations than weanling or adolescent rats. Citrate, a dietary ligand found in human and cow milk, has been shown to have a positive effect on copper absorption in animal models (Shah, 1981).

Olivares et al. (2002) administered an oral supplementation of 80 µg Cu (as copper sulfate solution)/kg daily for 15 days to a group of Chilean infants aged 1–3 months (n=20); one half of the group (n= 19) received no supplementation. At the end of the trial, copper absorption was measured by using orally administered 65Cu as a tracer and fecal monitoring of recovered 63Cu. No major difference in the percentage of copper absorbed was observed between the two groups. Mean (±SD) copper absorption at one month of age was 83.6 ± 5.8 percent and 74.8 ± 15.2 percent for the unsupplemented and supplemented infants, respectively. The authors concluded that the experimental design of the study was inadequate because copper intakes were too low to “trigger homeostatic adaptation of intestinal absorption.”

Copper absorption in the gastrointestinal tract has been studied in rats and hamsters. Absorption takes place from the stomach and duodenum in rats (Van Campen and
Copper absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract may be bound to amino acids or in the form of ionic copper. Copper becomes bound to metallothionein in the intestine and is released into the bloodstream as metallothionein-copper (Marceau et al., 1970).

Protein source (plant or animal protein), amino acids, carbohydrates and ascorbic acid can affect copper availability (Gibson, 1994; Lonnerdal, 1996). Competition with zinc and cadmium affects copper absorption from both diet and drinking water (Davies and Campbell, 1977; Hall et al., 1979). Ascorbic acid may alter the metallothionein binding site. High dietary ascorbic acid has been shown to interfere with absorption of copper in guinea pigs (Smith and Bidlack, 1980), but this does not appear to be a factor at the usual ascorbic acid doses in humans (Jacob et al., 1987). Phytates and fiber interfere with copper absorption by forming complexes with copper (Gibson, 1994). The amount of stored copper in humans (mainly in the liver) does not appear to affect copper absorption (Strickland et al., 1972). There do not appear to be any available studies of copper absorption in humans by inhalation.

Batsura (1969) observed copper oxide in alveolar capillaries after rats were exposed to welding dust from a pure copper wire. No studies of the rate or extent of absorption of copper through intact human skin were found, but as copper can cause contact dermatitis, some absorption must occur (ATSDR, 1990). Pirot et al. (1996) studied the absorption of copper and zinc through human skin in vitro. Skin absorption is not likely to contribute significantly to total copper absorption.

**Distribution**

Copper is transported in the plasma bound to ceruloplasmin, albumin or amino acids (Cousins, 1985). Ceruloplasmin is a cysteine-rich glycoprotein with many free sulfhydryl groups that serve as binding points for metals. Ceruloplasmin can bind copper or zinc, but has a stronger affinity for copper (Cousins, 1985). Ceruloplasmin is synthesized on membrane-bound polyribosomes of liver parenchymal cells and secreted into the plasma. Copper that enters the portal circulation from the intestine is transported directly to the liver. Copper released from the liver is transported in the bloodstream to other organs including the kidney and brain. The synthesis of ceruloplasmin is controlled by interleukin-1 via glucagon or glucocorticoid (Cousins, 1985). Circulating copper levels are elevated in pregnant women because hormonal changes associated with pregnancy stimulate ceruloplasmin synthesis (Solomons, 1985). Ceruloplasmin levels may be useful as an indicator of copper status (Mendez et al., 2004).

Recently, several copper transporters involved in copper uptake and transport by cells have been identified (Bauerly et al., 2005). Copper transporter-1 (Crt1) is a copper import protein that is copper specific, and is believed to mediate copper uptake into the small intestine (Lee et al., 2002). Crt1 is expressed in the enterocytes of the small intestine and in enterocyte-like Caco-2 cells in culture (Klomp et al., 2002; Kuo et al., 2001). The copper efflux protein, Atp7A, is thought to mediate copper efflux across the plasma membrane during copper excess in transfected cells (Petris et al., 1996). Menkes disease, characterized by excessive copper accumulation in the intestine and systemic
copper deficiency, is a consequence of a defect in Atp7A (Schaefer and Gitlin, 1999). Atp7B, with functional similarity to Atp7A, exports copper into bile for excretion (Roelofsen et al., 2000); Atp7B is localized primarily in the liver with lower expression found in the intestine, kidney and placenta (Lockhart et al., 2000). A defect in Atp7B results in Wilson’s disease, characterized by copper toxicity (due to liver copper accumulation as a result of impaired biliary copper excretion) and liver damage.

**Metabolism/Excretion**

The liver and intestine play key roles in copper metabolism. Copper is taken up by hepatocytes from the portal circulation. Inside the hepatocytes copper is bound to metallothionein, a protein that also binds zinc, iron and mercury. Copper can be released from hepatocytes into the general circulation to be transported to other tissues, or it can be excreted from the liver in bile (Cousins, 1985). The major route of excretion is in the bile. Only a small amount is excreted in the urine (Cousins, 1985). Biliary excretion in human infants is immature at birth, and the lack of an effective excretion mechanism may place infants at increased risk for copper toxicity.

**Physiological/Nutritional Role**

Because copper is an essential nutrient that has numerous physiological roles in the body, an understanding of these roles is essential for understanding the deleterious effects of copper deficiency or excess. Copper is essential for hemoglobin synthesis and erythropoiesis (Solomons, 1985; Harris, 1997). Copper deficiency can therefore lead to anemia. Copper deficiency can likewise lead to abnormalities of myelin formation, with attendant effects on the nervous system (Solomons, 1985; Harris, 1997). Nervous system effects, including dementia, have been observed in individuals with copper deficiency or excess (Solomons, 1985; Harris, 1997). Effects on catecholamine metabolism likewise are involved in the nervous system abnormalities. Other physiological functions that involve copper include: leukopoiesis, skeletal mineralization, connective tissue synthesis, melanin synthesis, oxidative phosphorylation, thermal regulation, antioxidant protection, cholesterol metabolism, immune and cardiac function, and regulation of glucose metabolism. Since all of these physiological processes involve copper, any of them can be affected by the availability of copper in the body or in specific tissues. In general, deleterious effects may occur in any of these physiological processes due to either deficiency or excess of copper in the systems affected (Solomons, 1985; Harris, 1997).

The specific copper requirements of infants have not been well established. In infants, copper is an essential mineral that is required for normal growth, and the development of bone, brain, immune system, and red blood cells (Hurley et al., 1980). Full-term infants are believed to possess adequate copper stores at birth to last through weaning, but premature infants, prone to copper deficiency, must be given higher provisions of copper to compensate for inadequate copper stores (Lonnerdal, 1998).

Recommended Daily Allowances (RDAs) of copper were not provided in earlier RDA compilations because of difficulty in determining the values (NAS, 1989). Homeostatic mechanisms result in variable absorption and excretion of copper as dietary intake is
manipulated, complicating mass balance calculations in dietary studies. However, in the most recent publication of recommended allowances (FNB, 2000), copper nutritional requirements have at last been established. Table 2 shows the Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) values for copper for various age groups, broken down into Estimated Average Requirements (EAR), Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA), and Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (UL) (FNB, 2000). Values for infants were provided only as Adequate Intake values, based primarily on content of copper in human milk. The AI values are 200 μg/day for infants 0-6 months of age, and 220 μg/day for infants at 7-12 months; an estimated UL for infants could not be established (FNB, 2000).

Table 2. Recommended Daily Copper Dietary Reference Intakes by Sex/Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Estimated Average Requirement μg/day</th>
<th>Recommended Dietary Allowance μg/day</th>
<th>Tolerable Upper Intake Level μg/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13s</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18+</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant, 14-18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19+</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating, 14-18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19+</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values from FNB, 2000.

Copper intake values from food and supplements, developed from the NHANES III nationwide survey (1988-1994), are shown in Table 3. The NHANES III table and Continuing Survey of Food Intakes of Individuals (CSFII) indicate that intake of copper is adequate for the great majority of the population in all age and sex groups. However, results for some younger sex/age groups indicate as much as 10 percent of the population consuming less than the RDA of copper. On the other hand, considering the tendency for underreporting of food intakes, particularly for teenagers (Champagne et al., 1998), the lower end of the distribution curve is likely to be inaccurate.
Table 3. Copper Intake (mg/day) from Food and Supplements Versus the Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA)\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age and Sex</th>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>RDA (mg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6 mo M/F</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-11 mo M/F</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 yr M/F</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8 yr M/F</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13 yr F</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18 yr F</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-30 yr F</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-50 yr F</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70 yr F</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71+ yr F</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant F</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Breast-feeding infants and children, and eight individuals reporting greater than 150 mg/day of copper from supplements excluded from the analysis. RDA values from FNB, 2000.

**TOXICOLOGY**

*Toxicological Effects in Animals*

**Acute Toxicity**

An oral LD\textsubscript{50} of 300 mg cupric sulfate/kg in rats has been reported (Siegel and Sisler, 1977). Details of the toxic effects on the rats were not reported.

Acute toxicity values are available for a wide variety of aquatic animal and plant species, because of the high sensitivity of aquatic organisms (especially invertebrates) to copper, the use of copper in pesticides, and frequent contamination of waterways with copper. Toxicity to aquatic organisms is well summarized in the U.S. EPA’s draft ambient water quality criteria document for copper (U.S. EPA, 2003), and will not be further discussed here.
Subchronic Toxicity

Bauerly et al. (2005) exposed suckling rat pups to amounts of copper that bottle-fed infants may receive to determine the effects of copper supplementation on tissue copper distribution, copper transport and copper transporter levels in early and late infancy. Newborn rat pups were given a daily dose of 0, 10 or 25 µg Cu/day as CuSO4 in a ten percent sucrose solution by oral gavage during the suckling period, and weaned to a standard diet containing 13 µg/g of Cu. Since the development of copper transporters is age-dependent, pups were killed on postnatal days ten and twenty, when copper transport mechanisms were “immature,” and “mature,” respectively. Small intestine, liver, kidney, brain and spleen were collected for mineral analysis. Copper concentration, copper transporter-1 (Crt1), Atp7B, and MT mRNA and protein levels were measured. 67Cu absorption was measured in control and copper-supplemented pups on day ten and day twenty. There was no significant effect of copper supplementation on body weight, serum copper or ceruloplasmin activity, despite increased tissue copper concentration in day ten pups. Copper supplementation and age had a significant effect on intestine copper concentration; at day ten, intestine copper concentration was significantly higher in pups supplemented with 25 µg/day of Cu (P<0.0001), while no significant effect of copper supplementation was observed at day twenty (P=0.6). At day ten, supplemented pups retained 149.9 µg/g Cu in the intestine, compared to 34.6 µg/g Cu in controls, while at day twenty, no difference in copper concentration was observed between groups. Copper supplementation resulted in elevated plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, “suggesting a risk of copper toxicity with supplementation during infancy.” There was no significant effect of copper supplementation, age or interaction on total protein, albumin, aspartame aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (AP), bilirubin, or glutamate dehydrogenase (GD) activity. With increasing copper intake, total copper absorption decreased and intestinal copper retention increased. At day ten, intestinal copper concentration, MT mRNA and Crt1 protein levels increased with supplementation. At day twenty, Ctr1 protein and Atp7A mRNA and protein levels were higher than controls. Despite these adaptive changes, copper accumulated in the liver of exposed animals, and liver enzymes were elevated, indicating that liver copper accumulation had adverse effects. The copper levels used in this study were chosen to simulate the copper intake of formula-fed infants. The authors state that the supplementation level of 10 µg/day Cu is, on a body weight basis, similar to the copper intake of a formula-fed infant. This level of copper supplementation did not affect small intestine and liver copper concentration. However, rat pups supplemented with 25 µg/day Cu, a level similar to the copper intake of infants fed formula made with copper-contaminated water, retained copper in their liver and small intestine. The authors suggest that infants exposed at this level may be at risk for copper toxicity.

Rats administered a diet containing 4,000 ppm of copper (approximately 133 mg/kg-day) for one week exhibited increased mortality from anorexia, possibly resulting from taste aversion (Boyden et al., 1938).

High levels of copper in the diet can lead to hepatocellular necrosis in the liver and structural damage to proximal convoluted tubules in the kidneys (Haywood, 1985). Rats administered 3,000 to 5,000 ppm of copper in the diet developed these pathological...
changes, but gradually adapted to the high copper diets after four to six weeks (Haywood, 1985). Adaptation involved changes in copper metabolism, and regeneration of damaged tubular epithelium in the kidneys. Regenerated epithelium is histologically different from undamaged epithelium. Rats exposed to 6,000 ppm of copper in the diet (300 mg/kg-day) were not able to adapt, and in some cases died from extensive centrilobular necrosis (Haywood, 1985).

In a National Toxicology Program (NTP) study (1993), rats and mice were exposed to cupric sulfate in drinking water (free drinking) at concentrations up to 30,000 ppm for 15 days. The only compound-related toxic effect observed was an increase in the size and number of cytoplasmic protein droplets in the epithelium of the renal proximal convoluted tubules in male rats of the 300 and 1,000 ppm groups (NTP, 1993). The absence of effects at the highest exposure level (30,000 ppm) may have been due to taste aversion.

The above-mentioned NTP study also included a two-week feeding study with concentrations of cupric sulfate in feed ranging from 1,000 to 16,000 ppm (NTP, 1993). In this study hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of the squamous epithelium of the limiting ridge of the forestomach was observed in rats and mice of both sexes in all dosage groups (NTP, 1993). Periportal to midzonal inflammation of the liver occurred in rats of the 8,000 and 16,000 ppm groups. Both male and female rats in the 8,000 and 16,000 ppm groups showed depletion of hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow and spleen. Male and female rats in the 4,000, 8,000 and 16,000 ppm groups exhibited increased protein droplets in the epithelia of the renal cortical tubules (NTP, 1993).

Genetic Toxicity

Dose-related mutagenesis in a reverse mutation assay in *Escherichia coli* exposed to 2 to 10 ppm cupric sulfate have been reported by Demerec *et al.* (1951). A more recent study by Moriya *et al.* (1983) resulted in no increase in mutations in *E. coli* or *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 incubated with up to 5 mg copper quinolinate per plate or in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98 and TA100 incubated with up to 5 mg cupric sulfate per plate. Negative results were also obtained with cupric sulfate or cupric chloride in assays with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Singh, 1983) and *Bacillus subtilis* (Nishioka, 1975; Matsui, 1980; Kanematsu *et al.*, 1980).

Sirover and Loeb (1976) investigated the effect of metal salts, including copper salts, on the fidelity of DNA transcription from poly(C) and other templates in an *in vitro* system that included DNA polymerase from avian myeloblastosis virus. They found that the copper salts tested decreased the fidelity of transcription by more than 30 percent. Induction of chromosomal aberrations has been reported in isolated rat hepatocytes incubated with cupric sulfate (Sina *et al.*, 1983).

Cuprous sulfide and cupric sulfate enhanced cell transformation in Syrian hamster embryo cells infected with simian adenovirus (Casto *et al.*, 1979). Kim *et al.* (1994) studied the mechanism of cellular copper toxicity in Long-Evans Cinnamon (LEC) mutant rats. They found that a cellular event required for the initiation of DNA synthesis upon growth stimulation is impaired by copper cytotoxicity.
Injection of inbred Swiss mice with doses of copper sulfate ranging from 5 to 20 mg/kg resulted in dose-dependent statistically significant increases in chromosomal aberrations, micronuclei and sperm abnormalities (Bhunya and Pati, 1987). Thus, there is in vivo as well as in vitro evidence for the genotoxicity of copper salts (ATSDR, 2004).

**Developmental and Reproductive Toxicity**

To investigate reproductive effects of copper, Haddad et al. (1991) administered copper acetate in drinking water to albino Wistar rats before and during pregnancy. The water was supplemented with copper acetate increasing stepwise to a concentration of 0.185 percent over a period of seven weeks. Copper was deposited in the liver and kidneys of pregnant rats, leading to inflammation of those organs. Examination of 11.5 day-old embryos revealed moderate retardation of growth and differentiation, especially of the neural tube. Older embryos (21.5 days) had reduced numbers of ossification centers in the vertebrae, sternum and phalanges of the forelimbs and hindlimbs when compared to untreated controls. Minimal growth retardation was seen in newborn rats. The authors concluded that loading maternal rats with copper at tissue levels approximately 10-fold above normal was toxic to the dams (inflammation of liver and kidneys) but resulted in only minor growth retardation to the offspring.

Bataineh et al. (1998) evaluated the effects of long-term ingestion of four metal salts on aggression, sexual behavior and fertility in adult male rats. Only the findings for the metal salt copper chloride are summarized here. Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 5) were exposed via drinking water for a period of 12 weeks to copper chloride \([\text{CuCl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}]\) dissolved in tap water at a concentration of 1000 ppm/L. Control rats (n=10) were given tap water for the same period. No mortality or clinical signs of toxicity were observed in treated animals. Body weight, absolute and relative testes weight, and seminal vesicle weight was significantly decreased in copper chloride exposed males compared with controls. Ingestion of copper chloride resulted in marked suppression of sexual performance and aggression. The ingestion of copper chloride affected the initiation of copulatory behavior as evidenced by a significant latency in intromission and time to first mount \((P<0.001)\), and significant prolongation of the post ejaculatory interval \((P<0.01)\) compared to controls. Copulatory efficiency was also significantly reduced in male rats exposed to copper chloride \((P<0.001)\) compared to control animals. Male rats exposed to copper chloride exhibited low aggression evident as significantly less lateralizations, boxing bouts and fights with a stud male. The authors concluded that the metal salts produced their effects on aggression and sexual behavior by acting directly or indirectly on the testes, and by influencing the androgen biosynthesis pathway. Preputial gland weights, which produce behavior-modulating pheromones that alter fighting and other behavior, were not affected by exposure to copper chloride.

**Chronic Toxicity**

Pigs administered up to 250 ppm copper in their diet had significantly reduced body weight gain, apparently resulting from reduced food consumption. They also exhibited reduced hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean cell volume, mean cell hemoglobin and plasma
and liver iron levels (Gipp et al., 1973). Sheep are more sensitive to copper toxicity than are pigs. As little as 10 to 15 ppm copper in the diet of sheep resulted in hemolytic anemia (Booth and McDonald, 1982). In the case of copper poisoning in sheep there is a long delay period of several months, during which copper accumulates in the liver lysosomes. When the capacity of the sheep liver to store copper is exceeded, the copper is released and brings about the toxic effects.

Excess copper has been reported to disrupt a number of processes in the central nervous system (De Vries et al., 1986). Copper administered to rats acted on brain synapses to inhibit uptake of monoamines including noradrenaline and dopamine (De Vries et al., 1986).

**Immunological Effects**

Copper and copper complexes have anti-inflammatory, antiulcerogenic and anticarcinogenic effects. They are sometimes administered to patients for these effects (Sorenson, 1983). However, excess copper may have deleterious effects on the immune system as evidenced by increased severity of infections in chickens (Hill, 1980) and mice (Vaughn and Winberg, 1978).

To further investigate the effect of excess copper on the immune system, Pocino et al. (1991) investigated the proliferative response to T and B cell mitogens, and the delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response in mice exposed to excess copper (50, 100, 200 or 300 ppm) in drinking water (Pocino et al., 1991). They found the DTH response was significantly inhibited in mice exposed to 100 ppm copper; and the proliferative response to T and B cell mitogens was significantly inhibited in animals exposed to 200 ppm copper.

**Carcinogenicity**

In a study published in 1968, Bionetics Research Labs tested copper hydroxyquinoline for carcinogenic effect in B6C3F1 and B6AKF1 mice. Groups of 18 male and 18 female seven-day-old mice were given daily by gavage 1,000 mg copper hydroxyquinoline per kg of body weight (180.6 mg Cu/kg) suspended in 0.5 percent gelatin until they were 23 days old, after which they were given 2,800 ppm (505.6 ppm Cu) in their feed for 50 additional weeks. No statistically significant increases in tumor incidence were observed in the treated animals (U.S. EPA, 1987; IRIS, 2005).

In the same study, 28-day-old mice of both strains and sexes were given a single injection of 1,000 mg copper hydroxyquinoline/kg (180.6 mg Cu/kg) suspended in 0.5 percent gelatin. Control mice were given injections of only the gelatin. After 50 days, the male B6C3F1 mice had an increased incidence of reticulum cell sarcomas compared with the controls. No tumors were observed in the treated male B6AKF1 mice, and a low incidence of reticulum cell sarcomas was observed in treated female mice of both strains.

In experiments intended to determine the active agents in nickel refinery dust, Wistar rats (two and three months old) were injected intramuscularly in the thighs with 20 mg of cupric oxide (16 mg Cu), cupric sulfide (13.3 mg Cu), or cuprous sulfide (16 mg Cu)
After 20 months, no injection site tumors were observed in the animals that had been injected with the copper compounds. “Miscellaneous tumors” (mammary fibroadenomas and a reticulocytoma) were detected at very low incidence in rats that received cupric sulfide (2/30) and cuprous sulfide (1/30).

Rats and mice exposed to copper in the diet at concentrations that yielded doses of 5 to 1,000 mg/kg exhibited no significant increases in tumor frequencies (Kamamoto et al. 1973; Green et al. 1987). Copper inhibited the carcinogenic effect of DL-ethionine in rat livers (Kamamoto et al., 1973).

In an NTP study (1993) rats and mice were given 500 to 8,000 ppm cupric sulfate in the diet for 13 weeks. Rats in the three highest dose groups exhibited hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of the forestomach, inflammation of the liver, and increases in the number and size of protein droplets in the epithelium of the renal proximal convoluted tubules. Both sexes of mice receiving 4,000 ppm cupric sulfate and higher in the 13-week study exhibited increased hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of the squamous mucosa on the limiting ridge of the forestomach (NTP, 1993).

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) reviewed the published data and concluded that there is inadequate evidence to conclude that copper is carcinogenic in animals (IRIS, 2005). We concur with this conclusion.

**Toxicological Effects in Humans**

**Acute Toxicity**

Death from ingestion of copper salts has been reported after as little as 2 grams of cupric sulfate (Stein et al., 1976). Immediate deaths are caused by central nervous system (CNS) depression and shock. Later deaths (after 24 hours) are caused by hepatic and renal failure (Jantsch et al., 1985). Deaths have also been reported as the result of the use of water with dissolved cupric sulfate in religious rituals (Akintowa et al., 1989). The poisoned individuals ingested approximately 20 grams each of cupric sulfate dissolved in “spiritual water” at a concentration of 100 g/L. There were four fatal cases. The symptoms exhibited by these individuals included toxic psychosis, profound greenish vomiting, hemolytic anemia and jaundice. Death occurred within eight days after ingestion for all four victims.

A group of military nurses attending a party consumed a cocktail that had become contaminated with copper from the corroded vessel in which the beverage was prepared and stored (Wyllie, 1957). Symptoms of acute copper intoxication (nausea, vomiting, dizziness and headache) were experienced by ten of fifteen women ½ to 1 hour after consuming the whiskey cocktails. The lowest amount of copper that gave rise to these symptoms was determined to be 5.3 mg (Wyllie, 1957). This was used as a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) by U.S. EPA in setting the Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for copper (U.S. EPA, 1991b). U.S. EPA incorporated a safety factor of 2 so the calculation is:
MCLG = \frac{5.3 \text{ mg Cu}}{2 \times 2 \text{ L/day}} = 1.3 \text{ mg Cu/L}

Elevated copper concentrations in tap water were associated with GI illness in at least 43 people in a hotel (Kramer et al., 1996). With the exception of one water sample that had a copper concentration of 156 mg/L, the highest copper concentration documented for the many other samples tested was 4.7 mg/L. The source of the copper was the building’s plumbing system.

Twenty workers experienced gastrointestinal distress and other symptoms of copper poisoning as a result of drinking morning tea prepared with water from an old “geyser” (gas-run water heater) made of sheet copper. The internal surfaces of the geyser were not lined with tin as they usually are in this type of appliance. Leftover tea prepared in this geyser had a copper content of 30 ppm (30 mg/L). It is likely that the tea consumed by the workers had an even higher copper content (Nicholas, 1968).

To determine the nausea threshold for copper in water, Olivares et al. (2001) administered copper sulfate in purified water at concentrations of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, or 12 mg/L to sixty-one adult volunteers aged 18 to 50 years old (31 women, 30 men). Subjects (ten/group) drank a fixed volume of 200 mL per test, once a week, for a maximum of 12 exposures (maximum of 2.3 mg per test and up to 23 mg of copper over a 22-week period). No responses were detected at 0 and 2 mg Cu/L. Mild nausea shortly after copper ingestion was the most prominent finding (33/61), starting at 4 mg/L; vomiting was observed in 7 subjects, starting at 6 mg/L. No age or gender-related differences were found. In this study, the benchmark dose approach was used to derive the tolerable intake (TI) of copper in drinking water. The lower 95 percent confidence levels (LCLs) for copper concentration in water for the first 5 percent of the population responding to copper were 2 and 4.2 mg Cu/L for nausea and vomiting, respectively. For risk assessment purposes, these levels are considered equivalent to the NOAEL.

The same group also conducted a prospective, double-blind study in a population of 179 apparently healthy adults to determine the threshold for acute gastrointestinal (GI) effects associated with drinking copper-containing water as the sulfate salt (CuSO₄ • 5H₂O) (Araya et al., 2001). Subjects were recruited from three different geographic locations to present a sample with broad cultural representation (USA, Ireland, and Chile). Age and sex distributions in the populations were similar. Subjects consumed 0, 2, 4, 6 or 8 mg/L copper as CuSO₄ in a bolus of 200 mL of water once weekly over a consecutive five week period; copper doses were equivalent to 0, 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, and 1.6 mg of elemental copper per trial, respectively. GI symptoms of nausea, abdominal pain, vomiting, or diarrhea were screened for a period of up to 24 hours. Nausea was the most prevalent symptom observed (average prevalence of nausea among all subjects, 27.3 percent) and was reported within the first 15 minutes of ingestion. For the combined three-site population, 8, 9, 14, 25, and 44 subjects responded positively to one or more GI symptoms at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 mg Cu/L, respectively. Analysis of the data showed a clear dose-response to the combined GI effects and to nausea alone. Statistically significant greater reporting of effects occurred at 6 and 8 mg Cu/L. As copper dose increased, female subjects reported significantly more occurrences of nausea and GI symptoms than male subjects. Although one or more GI effects were reported by at least one subject at
each dose level, because there was no statistically significant increase in symptoms for either nausea or total GI symptoms at 4 mg Cu/L, the authors defined the NOAEL and LOAEL from this study as 4 (0.8 mg Cu) and 6 (1.2 mg Cu) mg Cu/L, respectively, for the combined study population. From the dose-response curve, the 95 percent lower confidence level for response of the first 5 percent of the population was 3.5-4 mg Cu/L.

Copper has been shown to be involved in the metabolism of vasoneuractive amines such as serotonin, tyramine and the catecholamines (Harrison, 1986). Harrison presents evidence that the ingestion of foods with high copper content (e.g., chocolate) or which facilitate absorption of copper (e.g., citrus fruits) may trigger migraine headaches, particularly in individuals with abnormal copper metabolism due to low levels of ceruloplasmin, transferrin, or albumin. This paper presents no data that could be used to estimate a dose-response relationship, but the author recommends that individuals subject to migraines avoid foods high in copper.

Subchronic Toxicity

Pratt et al. (1985) studied oral administration of copper gluconate capsules in 7 adults who were administered 10 mg/day of copper (0.14 mg/kg-day) for 12 weeks. No changes were found in the biomarkers of liver damage, serum aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, gamma glutamyl transferase, and lactate dehydrogenase. Similarly, no changes in serum indicators of liver damage were found by Araya et al. (2003) in adults administered 0.17 mg/kg-day of copper as copper sulfate for eight weeks, although there were acute gastrointestinal symptoms as this dose and concentration (6 mg/L). However, O’Donohue et al. (1993) reported jaundice and hepatomegaly in an adult who had consumed dietary supplements containing 30 mg/day of copper for two years, followed by 60 mg/day for one year. The subchronic NOAEL for copper to avoid liver toxicity thus appears to be about 10 mg/day. Liver toxicity was used as the critical endpoint in the evaluation of the Food and Nutrition Board (FNB, 2000), and was cited, along with the acute gastrointestinal effects, as a critical endpoint in the evaluation by the NRC Committee on Copper in Drinking Water (NRC, 2000).

Olivares et al. (1998) found no differences in growth and morbidity (diarrhea and respiratory infections) among 128 Chilean infants who were randomly assigned to receive daily, from 3 to 12 months of age, water (and bottles) with either <0.1 mg Cu/L or 2 mg Cu/L. The formula and breast-fed groups who received water and/or formula with <0.1 mg Cu/L served as the controls. (The average copper content in Santiago, Chile’s tap water is reported in the study as < 0.1 mg/L.) The 2 mg Cu/L concentration was chosen to confirm the safety of the WHO value for copper in drinking water during infancy. The study was comprised of four groups: 56 formula-fed infants who received water with a copper content of 2 mg Cu/L, 27 formula-fed infants who received water with a copper content of less than 0.1 mg Cu/L, 24 breast-fed infants who received water with a copper content of 2 mg Cu/L, and 21 breast-fed infants who received water with a copper content of less than 0.1 mg Cu/L. Water ingested by mothers of breast-fed infants also contained the specified copper concentration. A standard copper sulfate solution of 2 mg Cu/L, or an equal volume of “placebo” (<0.1 mg Cu/L) was given to the mothers to use in preparing the daily water to be consumed as drinking water, in formula, in cow’s milk.
milk preparations, and in the preparation of meals. The copper content of the water was monitored weekly during the study period, and field workers visited the homes weekly to record water intake and GI, respiratory, and other illnesses.

The authors reported that there were no differences in growth and morbidity episodes among the four groups of infants studied. However, breast-fed infants had a significantly lower incidence of diarrheal episodes than did formula-fed infants during the 9-month observation period. There were no differences in copper status among the four groups of infants at 6, 9 and 12 months of age, however, significant differences were observed in serum copper concentrations between formula-fed and breast-fed groups at 6 months of age (28.3 ± 7.2 µmol/L versus 24.9 ± 7.9 µmol/L, respectively), and in erythrocyte metallothionein levels at 12 months of age, 21.9 ± 7.0 U/g Hb versus 26.8 ± 7.5 U/g Hb, respectively. A significant difference in ceruloplasmin activity at 9 months was found between subjects who received drinking water with high vs. low copper content, 350 ± 85 mg/L versus 322 ± 75 mg/L, respectively. In addition, there were significant differences for this parameter in the breast-fed groups between infants who received drinking water with high and low copper content (p = 0.0032). At 6, 9, and 12 months of age, the four groups of infants did not have significantly different findings in liver function tests, although liver function tests in formula-fed infants differed significantly from breast-fed infants in total bilirubin at 6 months of age (2.22 ± 1.18 µmol/L versus 2.8 ± 1.23 µmol/L, respectively) and in serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase at 9 months of age (0.29 ± 0.09 µkat/L versus 0.35 ± 0.14 µkat/L, respectively). These differences in biochemical indexes of copper nutrition and liver function (between formula vs. breast-fed infants) are summarized in Table 4. Metallothionein may not be the protein that binds copper in the small intestine during early life; metallothionein induction has been shown to be much higher in adolescent rats than in younger rats (Varada et al., 1993).

Table 4. Differences in Biochemical Indexes* and Liver Function in Infants exposed to Varying Levels of Copper in Drinking Water (from Olivares et al., 1998).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrition Source</th>
<th>Serum Copper Concentration (µmol/L)*</th>
<th>Ceruloplasmin Activity (mg/L)</th>
<th>Erythrocyte Metallothionein (U/g Hb)*</th>
<th>Total bilirubin (µmol/L)*</th>
<th>SGOT (µkat/L)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula-fed</td>
<td>28.3±7.2 (at age 6 mo.)</td>
<td>Higher at 9 mo.</td>
<td>21.9±7.0 (at age 12 mo.)</td>
<td>2.22±1.18 (at age 6 mo.)</td>
<td>0.29±0.09 (at age 9 mo.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in the added Cu group (350±85)</td>
<td>than in the non-added group (322±75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast-fed</td>
<td>24.9±7.9 (at age 6 mo.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.8±7.5 (at age 12 mo.)</td>
<td>2.8±1.23 (at age 6 mo.)</td>
<td>0.35±0.14 (at age 9 mo.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates significant difference
Hb = hemoglobin; SGOT = Serum Glutamic Oxaloacetic Transaminase
*Serum copper and ceruloplasmin concentrations are typically used to assess copper status; they are not currently used to evaluate copper overload, and may not be the best markers for excess copper. Erythrocyte Metallothionein = possible indicator of copper burden. Most hepatocellular copper is bound to metallothionein, and copper overload induces metallothionein (see text).
Both of the high copper exposure groups (2 mg Cu/L) had higher drop-out rates than the low copper (<0.1 mg Cu/L) groups (refer to Table 5). In the case of group I (high copper), the number of infants withdrawn from follow-up was three times the rate of group II (low copper). The dropout rate of group III (high copper) was 1.9 times the dropout rate of group IV (low copper). The primary reason given for subjects lost to follow-up was due to refusal of venous blood sampling, though the authors did state that the higher withdrawal rate of infants in the high copper content groups “could be the consequence of a higher prevalence of unreported symptoms of intolerance.” This study was funded in part by the International Copper Association Research Program, Santiago, Chile. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics of Human Research Committee of the Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology of the University of Chile, and parental consent was obtained for inclusion of the infants in the study.

Table 5. Number of Infants Lost to Follow-up in the Olivares et al. (1998) Copper Drinking Water Study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group #</th>
<th>Copper Exposure Level</th>
<th>Initial # Subjects</th>
<th># Subjects Withdrawn</th>
<th>Drop-out Rate</th>
<th>Formula vs. Breast-fed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>High (2 mg/L)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>Formula-fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Low (&lt;0.1 mg/L)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>Formula-fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>High (2 mg/L)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>Breast-fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>Low (&lt;0.1 mg/L)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>Breast-fed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The stated reason subjects were lost to follow-up included blood sampling refusal, protocol transgression, and change of address.

Pizarro et al. (1999b) exposed sixty healthy adult Chilean women to drinking water containing copper (as copper sulfate) for a 2-week period. Each group received tap water with no added copper, 1, 3, or 5 mg Cu/L for 2-week study periods, followed by one week of standard tap water after each test concentration. The average daily consumption of study water was about 1.6 L per subject. Thirty-five percent of the subjects recorded GI disturbances sometime during the study: 15 percent had diarrhea, some with abdominal pain and vomiting, and 20 percent presented with abdominal pain, nausea or vomiting. Consumption of drinking water containing ≥ 3 mg/L ionized copper was associated with a significant increase (p< 0.05) in nausea, abdominal pain, or vomiting. Thus, this study indicates that acute, reversible GI symptoms occur below the WHO TDI limit of 0.5 mg/kg-day provisionally established as safe in terms of chronic effects (NRC, 1989). Throughout the study, levels of serum copper, ceruloplasmin, and liver enzymes remained stable and within normal ranges. The threshold for specific GI symptoms could not be established because of the study design used, but results suggest that nausea may be an adequate indicator of acute GI effects.

In a randomized, controlled, double-blind study designed to assess acute GI effects and blood markers of copper status, Araya et al. (2003, 2004) exposed 1,365 healthy adults in Santiago, Chile to <0.01, 2, 4 or 6 mg Cu/L, respectively) daily as copper sulfate for two
months. Families participating in the study prepared the water at home on a daily basis using tap water and a stock solution provided by the researchers; final concentrations were verified by atomic absorption spectrometry in a weekly sample from each household. Tap water in Santiago provides a mean of 0.01 mg Cu/L. During the survey, individual mean fluid consumption was 1.5 L. A total of 240 people (60 from each group) provided a blood sample. GI symptoms were analyzed by treatment group. Background incidence of the target symptoms (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain) was determined to be about 5 percent in a pilot study; over the two-month study duration, the gross incidence of symptoms in control subjects varied from 0 (vomiting) to 60 percent (abdominal pain). Analysis of symptoms at each copper exposure level by week showed highest incidences, directly related to copper level, in the first week, which tapered off markedly during the subsequent weeks. In week 1 the risk became significant in women at 4 mg/L (RR 1.53, 95 percent CI 1.02-2.05) and in men at 6 mg Cu/L (RR 1.9, 95 percent CI 1.02-2.79). Reported symptom incidence was higher in women than in men during all weeks, though this difference was apparently not significant after the first week, when symptom levels were highest. The authors interpreted the results as indicating “an adaptive response to repeated Cu exposure.” No detectable changes were observed in indicators of copper status (serum copper, ceruloplasmin, superoxide dismutase), which may suggest competent homeostatic regulation. Liver function tests remained normal in all subjects.

Chronic Toxicity

Chronic effects of copper poisoning include respiratory symptoms, gastrointestinal disturbances, nervous dysfunction, dermal and hematological changes, and hepatomegaly. Atrophic changes in the mucous membranes of the nose have also been noted in those chronically exposed to copper dust in the air.

Spitalny et al. (1984) reported on a Vermont family who consumed water contaminated with 7.8 mg/L copper. Three of four members of this family reported recurrent episodes of gastrointestinal problems including vomiting and abdominal pain. The seven-year-old girl experienced periumbilical abdominal pains 5 to 10 minutes after drinking water and orange juice in the morning. The five-year-old girl had vomiting episodes with abdominal pain after drinking the water. The father also experienced periods of emesis and abdominal pain after drinking water drawn from the kitchen faucet. This family was exposed to excess copper in their drinking water in addition to dietary exposure as described previously under ‘Environmental Occurrence and Human Exposure.” The investigators did not attempt to estimate the amount of copper this family received in their diet. In the absence of specific data on this family, the simplest assumption would be that their dietary exposure was not unusual. In deriving an LOAEL from this report, it should be considered that the drinking water exposure is in addition to dietary exposure and the toxicological effects might have been cumulative; no data are available to quantify any cumulative exposure or toxicity. Therefore, any LOAEL derived from this report would be for the drinking water exposure added to a baseline dietary exposure.

The Wisconsin Division of Health reported investigations of five cases of individuals who ingested drinking water with copper above the federal action level of 1.3 mg/L.
Based on these cases they concluded that drinking water with elevated copper levels may be a relatively common cause of diarrhea, abdominal cramps and nausea.

Stenhammar (1999) attributed prolonged diarrhea and weight loss in three infants to copper in drinking water, ranging from 0.22 to 1 mg/L. Two of the infants’ homes had recently been built, whereas the third was an old house that had just had its copper pipes replaced. The children had normal serum ceruloplasmin concentrations but moderately increased serum copper levels (23-36 µmol Cu/L); one child had a substantially elevated urinary copper concentration of 6.1 µmol/L (the reference range is: 0-1.6 µmol/L). The diarrhea promptly disappeared when the children were given drinking water of low copper concentration in the hospital, but reappeared when they were sent home and drank their home water. Berg et al. (1981) described diarrheal illness in children attending seven newly-built kindergartens in Sweden. The symptoms disappeared immediately after the children went home for a few days but reappeared as soon as they returned to kindergarten. The Public Health Administration in Sweden conducted a study that showed a correlation between the copper content in the drinking water of the new establishments (1.0 – 6.5 mg Cu/L) and the appearance of diarrhea in children under three years of age.

Several population subgroups may be considered more susceptible to toxic effects of copper exposure (adapted from ATSDR, 1990, 2000):

1. Individuals with Wilson’s Disease (McClain and Shedlofsky, 1988; Lee et al., 1989), an autosomal recessive disorder causing hepatocellular degeneration due to an excess retention of hepatic copper and impaired biliary copper excretion. This occurs in about 1 in 40,000 to 1 in 50,000 people in the U.S. (NRC, 2000; Olivarez et al., 2001).

2. Infants and children. Infants and children up to age 10 are susceptible to the toxic effects of copper as evidenced by the incidence of Indian Childhood Cirrhosis (ICC) and reports of adverse effects in children drinking water containing low levels of copper (Spitalny et al., 1984; Mueller-Hoecker et al., 1988; ATSDR, 2004). This may be because the fetus and newborn have elevated hepatic copper levels and since their homeostatic mechanisms are not fully developed at birth, they may not be able to cope with excess copper (Klein et al., 1991). There is also an indication of a genetic susceptibility in the ICC data, because the incidence appears to be familial. Conversely, infants (especially when premature) may be at risk for copper deficiencies because of “low prenatal stores” and because breast milk is low in copper (Solomons, 1985; Lonnerdal, 1996). As with other metals, copper intake in the infant should be adequate but not excessive (Solomons, 1985; Lonnerdal, 1996, FNB, 2000).

3. Extracorporeal dialysis patients. Kidney dialysis patients exposed to excess copper in the dialysate can suffer acute hemolytic anemia (Williams, 1982).

4. Individuals with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency (Beutler, 1991). It has been postulated that these individuals would be more susceptible to the toxic effects of oxidative stressors such as copper, but no epidemiological or clinical data exists that clearly links this genetic variation with copper sensitivity.
Carcinogenicity

Epidemiological studies have not established a positive correlation between high copper exposure and cancer. Although an increased incidence of lung cancer has been reported among workers in copper ore mines, this was probably due to contaminating arsenic compounds (U.S. EPA, 1987). There have been some geographical studies comparing cancer incidences in areas with high or low copper, but these studies considered together are inconclusive (U.S. EPA, 1987).

Higher copper levels have been found in tumor tissues at many sites. However, this may be a consequence rather than a cause of the disease. Cancer may increase copper absorption into the tissue.

DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT

Noncarcinogenic Effects

The most sensitive endpoint for copper appears to be gastrointestinal effects in children, particularly infants. The data are somewhat limited for assessing the dose-response relationship for this effect. Copper ions are generally more bioavailable in water than in food, and because acute irritation of the GI tract is caused by the ionic form of copper, it is reasonable to assume that GI irritation is more likely to be produced by drinking water than by eating food. The majority of reports on copper-induced GI irritation concern the ingestion of fluids high in this element. Two case studies have reported weight loss and gastrointestinal effects in infants and children at low levels of copper in the drinking water, from 0.22 to 1.0 mg Cu/L (Berg et al., 1981; Stenhammar, 1999). However, the copper concentrations in the drinking water were measured retrospectively and the duration of exposure is unknown.

In the study by Olivares et al. (1998), 128 infants were given water (and bottles) with either <0.1 mg Cu/L or 2 mg Cu/L from the third to the twelfth month of life. No differences in growth and morbidity (diarrhea and respiratory infections) were observed between the exposed groups. However, breast-fed infants had a significantly lower incidence of diarrheal episodes than did formula-fed infants during the 9-month observation period. It is not clear whether this difference may be at least partly attributable to the differences in copper consumption levels. Significant subclinical differences were, however, observed between some of the exposure groups. Significant differences in serum copper concentrations, erythrocyte metallothionein levels, and ceruloplasmin activity were found between formula-fed and breast-fed groups. In addition, there was a significant difference in ceruloplasmin activity in the breast-fed groups who received drinking water with high and low copper content. In terms of liver function, formula-fed infants differed significantly from breast-fed infants in total bilirubin at 6 months of age, and in serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase at 9 months of age in this study.

The assessment of copper status in infants is complicated. Traditionally, measures of serum copper and of ceruloplasmin, the major copper-binding protein in serum, have
been used to assess copper status. Serum copper concentrations are low in newborn infants, and both serum copper and ceruloplasmin increase rapidly during the first six months of life (Lonnerdal, 1998). Studies in adults have shown that neither serum copper nor ceruloplasmin concentrations are a sensitive indicator of marginal changes in copper status (Turnlund *et al.*, 1990). Ceruloplasmin concentration in infants has likewise not been shown to correlate well with copper intake (Salmenpera *et al.*, 1989).

Although no potential early markers of copper excess have been identified to date, the limits of homeostatic regulation are not known. Infants (full-term) and children up to age 10 are susceptible to the toxic effects of copper as evidenced by the incidence of Indian Childhood Cirrhosis (ICC) and reports of adverse effects in children drinking water containing low levels of copper (Spitalny *et al.*, 1984; Mueller-Hoecker *et al.*, 1988; ATSDR, 2004). This is because the newborn has elevated hepatic copper levels, and since their homeostatic mechanisms are not fully developed at birth (e.g. immature biliary excretion), they may not be able to cope with excess copper exposure (Klein *et al.*, 1991; Bauerly *et al.*, 2005). Studies in suckling rats, which show elevated liver enzymes and copper accumulation in response to copper supplementation, suggest that infants may be unusually susceptible to copper toxicity (Bauerly *et al.*, 2005). The consequences of high copper intake on copper regulatory mechanisms in the liver and intestine of infants have not been well characterized. Preterm infants are born with very low copper stores due to the fact that fetal copper accumulates largely during the third trimester (Widdowson, 1974). The copper balance in preterm infants may be negative for several months after birth (Dauncey *et al.*, 1977; Tyrala, 1986). For this reason, preterm infants are often given copper-fortified formulas, which may contain as much as 2 mg Cu/L (Lonnerdal, 1998).

Experimental studies using adult human subjects have reported acute NOAELs for copper in drinking water ranging from 2 to 4 mg Cu/L for GI effects (Araya *et al.*, 2001, 2003, 2004; Olivares *et al.*, 2001). In the Araya *et al.* (2001) study, the dose response curve shows that the first 5 percent of the population would respond at 3.5-4 mg Cu/L; subsequent studies by this group indicate that women appear to be slightly more sensitive to the gastrointestinal effects than men (Araya *et al.*, 2004). Olivares *et al.* (2001), using the benchmark dose approach, calculated five percent response levels of 2 and 4.2 mg Cu/L for nausea and vomiting, respectively, in adult volunteers given varying concentrations of copper sulfate solutions once a week for up to 12 weeks.

Because the study by Olivares *et al.* (1998) used human infants, the sensitive population of greatest concern for this chemical, and because the exposure was continuous over a 9 month period, the Olivares *et al.* (1998) study was chosen for calculation of the copper PHG. In addition, the Olivares *et al.* (1998) study is based on a large number of subjects, as opposed to the case studies, which involve only a few individuals.

The following assumptions are made in the calculation of the NOAEL:

1. The NOAEL was calculated using the data for the formula-fed infants (n=56) from 4-6 months of age (Group I). According to the authors, from ages 4 to 6 months, formula-fed infants given drinking water with a high copper content received $2.3 + 0.8 \text{ mg/day} (318.7 + 107.3 \mu g/kg\text{-day})$ of copper from water and food, respectively (range $1.5 - 3.1 \text{ mg Cu/day}$). According to the local practice,
solid food consisted of fruit at 3 months of age; vegetable soup, legumes, and eggs at 6 months; and regular table food at 12 months.

2. The average copper content of the tap water in Santiago, Chile is <0.1 mg/L.

3. The milk formula used in the study was fortified with 7.87 µmol/L of copper (when prepared with copper-free water).

4. All infants included in the study weighed more than 2.00 kg at birth. The mean value (+SD) for birth weight in Group I (formula fed, 2 mg/L copper content of drinking water) was 3.28 ± 0.43 and 3.44 ± 0.50 kg for girls and boys, respectively. For the calculation of the PHG, the lowest mean, minus the standard deviation, was selected from Group I infants. This was equivalent to a body weight of 2.85 kg.

The Olivares *et al.* (1998) study has some major weaknesses that make it difficult to draw conclusions on a safe copper concentration in drinking water, or to identify/quantify any real differences in copper status among the various study groups. The copper drinking water solutions were prepared by the test subject’s families in the home. Information about infant meals, formula preparation, and illnesses (e.g., diarrhea) were reported by the mothers, and are therefore subject to recall bias. Breast milk was not assayed for copper. Formula-fed infants (groups I and II) were “partially or totally” weaned from the breast by three months of age. This makes it difficult to group all formula-fed infants as a separate group, as consumption of the copper drinking water may have varied considerably among individuals within the same group. The authors stated that breast-fed infants were “exclusively” breast-fed until six months of age; however, breast-fed infants who began weaning were fed powdered (unfortified) cow’s milk and solids, according to local practice. The age(s) at which this occurred, and the number of subjects affected by this, were not provided. This may also have had an impact on copper status. A number of the laboratory parameters measured in the study (e.g. ceruloplasmin and serum copper concentrations, superoxide dismutase activity) are not currently used to evaluate copper overload, and may not be the most suitable or sensitive markers to assess the presence of excess copper. The most sensitive (clinical) endpoints for copper excess appear to be gastrointestinal distress (epigastric pain), diarrhea, vomiting and nausea. Infants are unable to point to the source of their pain and/or distress, and diarrhea and colic can be common effects in young children (and potentially have life-threatening consequences). The 2 mg Cu/L concentration utilized as drinking water in the study is the limit for copper concentration of drinking water proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO, 1993). The WHO committee also stated that no more than ten percent of copper intake should come from drinking water.

In the high-copper exposure groups of the Olivares *et al.* (1998) study, the 2 mg/L water was used exclusively for all formula and meal preparation, and for drinking water in these infants. As infants less than six months are nearly exclusively bottle-fed, and fed a formula fortified with copper (7.87 µmol Cu/L) at that, they received far in excess of ten percent of their copper intake from drinking water. According to the study authors, the formula-fed high content group received as much as 426 µg Cu/kg-day. It should be noted that 426 µg Cu/kg-day exceeds the WHO recommended daily intake of 80 µg/kg by more than 5-fold, and exceeds the Food and Nutrition Board’s Acceptable Intake.
value of 30 µg Cu/kg-day (FNB, 2000) by nearly 15-fold. Bauerly et al. (2005) reported that infant rat pups supplemented with 25 µg/Cu-day retained copper in their liver and small intestine, suggesting that they may be at risk for copper toxicity. In addition, the WHO 2 mg/L provisional guideline has come under criticism for lacking a strong scientific basis (Fewtrell and Kay, 1995; Fitzgerald, 1995, 1998; Fewtrell et al., 2001).

At higher doses, longer-term exposure to copper will cause liver and kidney toxicity. A NOAEL of 10 mg/day (0.14 mg Cu/kg-day) was established for liver toxicity by the Food and Nutrition Board (FNB, 2000), based on absence of serum enzyme changes indicative of liver effects.

**CALCULATION OF PHG**

**Noncarcinogenic Effects**

For estimation of a health-protective concentration of a chemical in drinking water, an acceptable daily dose of the chemical is first calculated. This involves incorporation of appropriate estimates of uncertainty in the extrapolation of the critical toxic dose from human or animal studies to the estimation of a lifetime acceptable daily dose (ADD) that is unlikely to result in any toxic effects. For this purpose, the following equation is used:

\[
ADD = \frac{NOAEL/LOAEL \text{ in mg/kg-day}}{UF}
\]

where,

- **ADD** = an estimate of the maximum daily dose which can be consumed by humans for a lifetime without toxic effects;
- **NOAEL/LOAEL** = no-observed-adverse-effect level or lowest-observed-adverse-effect level in the critical study;
- **UF** = uncertainty factor.

The calculation for copper is based on children as a sensitive group, and absence of an adverse effect in the principal study selected (Olivares et al., 1998), with corroborative data on gastrointestinal effects from other studies as the adverse effect endpoint of concern (Berg et al., 1981; Stenhammar, 1999; Pizarro et al., 1999b; Araya et al., 2001, 2003, 2004; Olivares et al., 2001).

This does not include children with the inherited abnormality in copper metabolism that results in Wilson’s disease because such individuals are rare (about 1 in 40,000-50,000 individuals; NRC, 2000; Olivarez et al., 2001), and they must be under a physician’s care to control accumulation of copper from food alone. Attempting to protect such individuals by means of a water standard would be impractical. Heterozygotes for the genetic abnormality, who are estimated to comprise about one percent of the population...
(NRC, 2000), may also be more susceptible to copper. This fraction of the population may be possible to protect in establishment of a drinking water standard for copper, but the magnitude of their potential susceptibility to copper is unknown.

For the ADD calculation, the highest NOAEL for gastrointestinal effects identified in the Olivares et al. (1998) study in formula-fed infants, 426 µg Cu/kg-day (318.7 ± 107.3 µg/kg-day), was used as the point of departure. A UF of 10 is applied to account for using subchronic rather than chronic data, and to account for human variability. Combining different types of uncertainty into a single factor follows the suggestion of Dourson et al. (1996). Use of less than chronic data is judged not to require a full 10-fold uncertainty factor because the most sensitive effect, gastric irritation, is an acute effect. With regard to human variability, infants appear to represent a sensitive sub-population, which therefore accounts for some aspects of intra-species variability. However, the infants admitted to the study (n = 128) were healthy and had birth weights higher than 2,000 g at the time they entered the study at three months of age. Since this may not be the case with all infants, the application of an uncertainty factor is appropriate to address the issue of variability among individuals with respect to sensitivity to the GI and liver effects of copper; it also should be noted that infants cannot readily complain about gastric distress, so the incidence of this effect may be underreported.

Thus,

\[
ADD = \frac{426 \, \mu g/kg-day}{10} = 42.6 \, \mu g/kg-day
\]

This acceptable daily dose is protective for infants and children, and should also be protective against known effects in other identified subpopulations, except for individuals with Wilson’s Disease.

Calculation of a public health-protective concentration (C, in mg/L) for copper in drinking water uses the following equation for noncarcinogenic endpoints:

\[
C = \frac{ADD \, \mu g/kg-day \times BW \times RSC}{L/day}
\]

where,

- **BW** = body weight (a default of 70 kg for adult males, 60 kg for adult females, 25 kg for a child, or various weights for different stages of infancy);
- **RSC** = relative source contribution (usually 20 to 80 percent, entered as 0.20 to 0.80);
- **L/day** = volume of daily water consumption (defaults of 2 L/day for an adult, 1 L/day for a child, or estimated infant liquid consumption rates, plus, when applicable, equivalent volumes for additional exposures such as inhalation of chemical volatilizing from household water uses).
The body weight judged appropriate in this case is that of a newborn infant, 2.85 kg. The NOAEL derived from Olivares et al. (1998) is for the drinking water component of the total copper exposure only. For infants under 6 months of age, formula, breast milk, and water comprise essentially the total diet. For children older than 6 months, total exposure would include exposure from the diet (see Table 1). On a body-weight basis, infants drink considerably more water than adults, particularly those that are formula fed. Because non-breastfed infants under six months of age consume an almost exclusively water/milk-based formula diet, the relative source contribution (RSC) is 100 percent (1.0) in this case. Water intake estimates for non-breastfed infants less than one year of age range from 1,127-1,866 mL/day (mean 1,166 ± 359) (OEHHA, 2000). For calculation of the copper PHG, the mean of 1,166 mL/day, rounded to 1.2 L/day, was selected.

Therefore,

\[ C = \frac{42.6 \text{ µg/kg-day} \times 2.85 \text{ kg} \times 1.0}{1.2 \text{ L/day}} = 101 \text{ µg/L} = 100 \text{ µg/L (rounded)} \]

The PHG for copper in drinking water is therefore proposed to be set at 100 µg/L (100 ppb). In the Olivares et al. (1998) study, those infants who consumed drinking water with less than 0.1 mg/L of copper for the first year of life also showed no adverse effects. Gastrointestinal distress is the most frequently observed effect in adult studies. As this endpoint can only be reported by the test subjects, the Olivares et al. (1998) study using human infants may not have been capable of observing this effect. Persons who are heterozygotic for the genetic defect responsible for Wilson's Disease (a mutation in a copper-transporting ATPase), may also tend to accumulate copper in the liver and thus be more susceptible to hepatotoxicity of copper (FNB, 2000; NRC, 2000), but the magnitude of this potential effect is unknown. The proposed PHG level is judged adequately protective of sensitive subpopulations, including infants, children, pregnant women and their fetuses, the elderly, and other subgroups that are identifiable as being at greater risk of adverse health effects than the general population, in accordance with HSC Section 116365(c)(C)(ii).

**Carcinogenic Effects**

There is inadequate evidence to conclude that copper is carcinogenic in animals (IRIS, 2005). Epidemiological studies of potential carcinogenic effects in humans are inconclusive (U.S. EPA, 1987). Given the lack of data, no cancer dose-response assessment can be made.

**RISK CHARACTERIZATION**

The proposed PHG is based on a drinking water study by Olivares et al. (1998) using human infants, supported by several other studies in adults and infants. The no-observed-effect levels (for gastrointestinal effects and/or significant changes in liver function) from
Olivares et al. (1998) ranged from 1.5 to 3.1 mg/day (211-426 µg/kg-day) of copper. This was the highest copper content administered in the study. Another study of subchronic exposure in adult humans (Olivares et al., 2001) yielded a NOAEL of 2 mg Cu/L (4 mg Cu/day). Considering the difference in body weight (and other factors) between adults and children, the two reports produced comparable estimates of the NOAEL for gastrointestinal effects.

The Olivares et al. (1998) study was chosen because it is the best and most directly applicable report on human exposures. It directly addresses the sensitive population group (children under ten years of age). However, there are several areas of uncertainty that should be considered in using these data to derive a PHG.

1. The purpose of the Olivares et al. (1998) study was not to identify the toxic limit of copper exposure in drinking water but to verify the tolerance and safety of the WHO provisional guideline of 2 mg Cu/L for infancy.
2. The biochemical and gastrointestinal effects observed are not classifiable as “frank toxicity,” and therefore it may be argued that the true NOAEL is higher.
3. A high number of infants (30.4 percent) in the formula-fed high copper content exposure group (2 mg/L) were withdrawn during follow-up. The higher withdrawal rate of infants in this group could be the consequence of a higher prevalence of unreported symptoms of intolerance.
4. It was not possible to include copper provided by breast milk because breast milk was not assayed for copper. Several authors have reported that breast milk is low in copper (Solomons, 1985; Lonnerdal, 1996).
5. The dose calculations were not clearly shown.

The study does not provide complete information about dietary exposure to copper in these infants. For infants eating solid foods, we can only assume that dietary exposure was normal (i.e., in the range shown in Table 1). According to Table 1, infants 6-11 months old receive 0.47 mg/day of copper from their diet, compared to a nutritional requirement of about 0.22 mg/day (Table 2). For children, drinking water can contribute a large fraction of the daily nutritional requirement for copper, but this is not a required source of copper, considering the copper content of food. The proposed PHG would allow 0.12 mg/day from drinking water (at 1.2 L/day). Drinking water could thus contribute over 50 percent of the nutritional requirement for copper at the PHG level. The proposed PHG is low enough to protect against the toxic effects of copper (with a margin of safety), but has no effect on copper nutritional status.

The NRC Committee on Copper in Drinking Water recently reviewed the adequacy of the U.S. EPA MCLG of 1.3 mg/L for copper (NRC, 2000). The Committee, apparently referring to heterozygotes for Wilson’s disease, concluded “Given the potential risk for liver toxicity in individuals with polymorphisms in genes involved in copper homeostasis, the committee recommends that the MCLG for copper not be increased at this time.” They declined to base recommended levels on acute gastrointestinal effects with the reasoning that “the GI effects are not severe or life-threatening. OEHHA disagrees with this conclusion because it believes that the acute gastrointestinal symptoms, including nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea, are indeed a cause for concern in
infants, and in some cases may be life-threatening. California law (HSC Section 116365(c)(1)) requires OEHHA to set the PHG at a level that is “not anticipated to cause or contribute to adverse health effects, or that does not pose any significant risk to health.”

The Food and Nutrition Board also based their evaluation of the appropriate upper limit of (chronic) copper administration of 10 mg/day on the potential for liver damage (FNB, 2000) rather than gastrointestinal effects. The Board reasoned that “in the United States and Canada, liver damage is a much more relevant endpoint because of the potential for excess intake from food and supplements. Furthermore, extensive evidence from studies in humans and experimental animals indicates that liver damage is the critical endpoint resulting from daily intake of high levels of copper salts.” In this context, it would appear that the potential for excessive exposure to copper from drinking water was a subset of their concerns, and that total exposure (from both food and water) was clearly the more relevant concern.

The ATSDR in its recent updated Toxicological Profile for copper (ATSDR, 2004) set acute and subchronic Minimal Risk Levels for copper of 0.01 mg/kg-day, based on the study of Pizarro et al. (1999b) showing acute gastrointestinal symptoms in adult women consuming copper in drinking water. The NOAEL for this effect was 0.0272 mg Cu/kg-day, i.e., 1 mg/L, and the LOAEL was 3 mg/L (estimated as 0.091 mg/kg-day). ATSDR chose an uncertainty factor of 3 for this effect, based on the reasoning that “a partial uncertainty factor was used because toxicokinetic differences among individuals should not affect the sensitivity of this direct contact effect.” OEHHA acknowledges that direct irritation of the stomach lining is not subject to toxicokinetic variation, but believes that the apparent extra sensitivity of infants and the potentially more severe consequences of the acute gastrointestinal effects in this population deserve further consideration. The MRL of 0.01 mg/kg-day would correspond to a health-protective level of 240 µg/L in drinking water using the infant body weight, relative source contribution, and drinking water parameters used in the proposed PHG calculation above. Using a woman’s body weight of 60 kg, the default 20 percent relative source contribution for chemicals in drinking water, and a 2 L/day consumption estimate, the health-protective level would be 60 µg/L. It should be noted that this incorporates only a three-fold uncertainty factor from the NOAEL for gastrointestinal effects (ATSDR, 2004).

The proposed PHG is an order of magnitude lower than the WHO (1993) provisional limit of 2 mg/L for copper in tap water. The WHO tolerable daily intake (TDI) was based on a lack of adverse effects in animals, a NOAEL from a small-scale unpublished study conducted in dogs (Shanaman et al., 1972). In this study, three dose levels of copper gluconate were used (3, 15 and 60 mg/kg-day) and elevated liver serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) was observed in one of twelve dogs at the highest exposure level. Thus, the stated no-effect level from the Shanaman et al. (1972) study is 15 mg/kg-day, although the WHO (1982) summary of this study erroneously states that the NOAEL was 5 mg/kg-day. In the WHO TDI calculation, a 10-fold reduction for interspecies variation was adopted, resulting in a provisional maximum TDI of 0.5 mg/kg-day (the WHO 5 mg/kg-day transcription error divided by an uncertainty factor of 10). A ten percent allocation of the TDI was made to water, and based on a standard body weight of 60 kg and a water consumption of 2 L/day, a figure of 1.5 mg/L was
derived. This was rounded up to 2 mg/L to reflect uncertainty in the data and assumptions. In fact, the doses reported in the Shanaman et al. (1972) study refer to the copper salt (copper comprises 14 percent by weight of the gluconate salt) and not elemental copper. The copper-equivalent doses used in this study are 0.42, 2.1, and 8.4 mg Cu/kg-day. The no-effect level should therefore be 2.1 mg Cu/kg-day, and allocation of a ten-fold safety factor (as was done in the initial WHO calculation) yields a TDI of 0.21 mg/kg-day. This would result in a copper guideline of 0.63 mg/L, as follows:

\[
\text{TDI} \times \text{body weight} \times \text{RSC} = \frac{0.21 \text{ mg Cu/kg-day} \times 60 \text{ kg} \times 0.10}{2 \text{ L/day}} = 0.63 \text{ mg/L}
\]

This recalculated value is lower by a factor of three than the current WHO provisional limit of 2 mg Cu/L, and represents a 6-fold difference from the proposed copper PHG.

The International Program for Chemical Safety (IPCS) reviewed the evidence provided in the WHO provisional guideline for copper and concluded that “the available data on toxicity in animals is unhelpful because of uncertainty about an appropriate model for humans” (WHO, 1998). The European Commission (EC) also reassessed the evidence for copper toxicity and stated that the animal data were insufficient; it recommended an amended value as low as 1 mg Cu/L (CEC, 1996). Also, in at least one drinking water study, acute GI symptoms (diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, vomiting) appeared to occur in adults at copper intake levels below the WHO TDI limit of 0.5 mg/kg-day of copper (Pizarro et al., 1999b).

The symptoms of mild copper poisoning from ingestion of contaminated water are nausea, abdominal cramps, diarrhea, vomiting, dizziness and headaches. These symptoms in infants have the potential to be life-threatening, which is the rationale behind providing adequate protection for infants in deriving the proposed PHG. Several authors (Berg et al., 1981; Stenhammar, 1999) have reported diarrhea and weight loss in infants exposed to copper in drinking water at levels as low as 0.22 mg/L (220 ppb), although such effects could not be clearly attributed to water containing 2 mg/L of copper in the study of Olivares et al. (1998).

In general, although copper is an essential element, copper in water is not needed to fulfill dietary copper requirements. The current maximum acceptable amount of copper in tap water is 1.3 mg/L, the California notification level and federal action level. At this level, the copper concentration in tap water could increase the copper intake of formula-fed infants by as much as 1.5 mg/day or about 500 µg Cu/kg-day. This would result in tap water making a sizeable – and excessive - contribution to daily copper intake, considering the estimated dietary intake of about 0.5 mg/day in infants 6-11 months (Table 1), or the recommended dietary intake for infants less than one year old of about 200 µg/day (FNB, 2000). (On a body-weight basis, infants drink considerably more water than adults, particularly those that are formula-fed). Given copper’s narrow safety margin, the knowledge that copper metabolism in human infants is not well developed, that the liver of the newborn infant contains 90 percent of the body burden, with much higher levels than in adults (WHO, 1993), and that the limits of homeostatic regulation are not known, OEHHA recommends a revised PHG of 100 µg/L (100 ppb) for copper in
Drinking water. This is a moderate decrease from the existing PHG of 170 ppb, set in 1997, which was also based on gastrointestinal distress in children.

**OTHER REGULATORY STANDARDS**

ATSDR has suggested an acute and intermediate-duration Minimal Risk Level of copper of 0.01 mg/kg-day. Although ATSDR does not develop guidelines for concentrations of chemicals in drinking water, application of parameters appropriate for adult women and infants would lead to health-protective levels in drinking water of 60 and 240 µg/L, respectively, as shown in the Risk Characterization section above.

WHO (1993) set a provisional limit of 2 mg/L (31.48 µmol/L) for copper in tap water, on the basis of the level that produced no adverse effects in animals. The WHO committee suggested that copper intake should be limited to 0.5 mg/kg body weight per day. It also stated that no more than ten percent of copper intake should come from drinking water.

In 1991, the U.S. EPA established a maximum contaminant level guideline (MCLG) for copper in drinking water of 1.3 mg/L (U.S. EPA, 1991b). The MCLG is based on a report (Wylie, 1957) of an episode of acute GI symptoms in humans resulting from mixing alcoholic drinks in a copper-contaminated cocktail shaker. From a dose reconstruction, Wylie (1957) estimated that the lowest dose resulting in symptoms was 5.3 mg Cu. The 1.3 mg/L MCLG level was recommended by U.S. EPA because it satisfied the nutritional requirements (noted by U.S. EPA [1987] as 2-3 mg/d for adults and 1.5-2.5 mg/d for children) and because consumption of 2 L/day would result in intakes below the LOAEL (by a factor of 2).

At the MCLG of 1.3 mg/L, average daily copper intake during the first six months of life is estimated to be 267 µg/kg per day for the average formula-fed infant (infant formulas sold in the U.S generally contain 75 µg Cu per 100 kcal), which exceeds the value of 150 µg/kg per day set by WHO (1996) as the upper limit of the safe range for mean copper intake for infants by almost 2-fold. More voracious infants can consume at rates 30-50 percent higher than the average (Prentice et al., 1988; Whitehead, 1995). U.S. EPA set a secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) for copper in drinking water of 1.0 mg/L (40 CFR 143) and a copper action level of 1,300 ppb (Title 22 CCR section 64672.3). Both the U.S. EPA and the WHO have proposed an aesthetics guideline of 1.0 mg Cu/L based on consideration of taste and the staining of sinks and bathtubs. This is the principal regulatory guideline in many countries. The taste threshold for copper is 1 to 5 mg/L (Cohen et al., 1960; McKee and Wolf, 1971).

Copper in drinking water is regulated by the lead and copper rule, a Federal and State drinking water standard (Title 22 CCR section 64672.3) that specifies requirements for copper in drinking water systems, measured at the customers’ taps. The action level (now called “notification level” in California) refers to a concentration measured at the tap rather in the municipal water supply system because much of the copper in drinking water is derived from household plumbing. The concentration at the tap is affected by water chemistry (pH and various dissolved constituents), which affects the corrosivity of the water. The notification level for copper is exceeded if the concentration of copper in more than 10 percent of the tap water samples collected during any monitoring period
(conducted in accordance with 22 CCR sections 64682 to 64685) is greater than 1,300 ppb. Failure to comply with the applicable requirements for lead and copper is a violation of primary drinking water standards for these substances (22 CCR Chapter 17.5). Therefore, for all practical purposes the standard described in the lead and copper rule is equivalent to an MCL.

Aquatic life criteria for ambient (surface) water have also been established for copper, based on the high toxicity of copper to aquatic organisms such as daphnia. U.S. EPA has recently released an updated draft ambient water quality criteria document (U.S. EPA, 2003). The acute and chronic toxicity vary with water hardness. The draft criterion for fresh water is based on a biotic ligand model (BLM) that accounts for bioavailability under different conditions of water hardness and sediment concentrations. Thus there is no specific freshwater value, although calculated values would tend to be below 10 ppb. The saltwater criterion does not yet use the BLM. The draft criterion indicates that saltwater aquatic organisms should not be affected unacceptably if the 4-day average concentration of dissolved copper does not exceed 1.9 ppb more than once every three years, and if the 24-hour average does not exceed 3.1 ppb more than once every three years (U.S. EPA, 2003).

The American College of Government and Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) has set air standards (time-weighted average, threshold limit value) for copper fume of 0.2 mg/m³ and 1.0 mg/m³ for dusts and mists (ACGIH, 1988). The National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has set occupational exposure limits of 0.1 mg/m³ for copper fume and 1.0 mg/m³ for dust and mists (NIOSH, 2003).

A group of state toxicologists (Sidhu et al., 1995) have proposed a drinking water standard for copper of 0.3 mg/L, based on the same human study on which U.S. EPA based their standard, but employing a larger uncertainty factor. They argued that a more protective standard is needed because of the susceptibility of children under 10 years of age. We have chosen the report of Olivares et al. (1998) because it represents data on the sensitive subgroup that we are trying to protect, and because the data appear to be more reliable. The proposed standard we have calculated offers an additional 3-fold margin of safety and is therefore more health-protective than that of Sidhu et al. (1995). Only a few states other than California have set guidelines for drinking water concentrations of copper, i.e. Arizona, 1.3 mg/L; Kansas, 1.0 mg/L; Minnesota, 1.3 mg/L; Rhode Island, 1.0 mg/L (ATSDR, 1990, 2004).
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