1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California SALLY MAGNANI		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General SUSAN S. FIERING		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 121621		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550		
7	Telephone: (510) 622-2142 Fax: (510) 622-2270 Empily System Finning Odei as gov		
8	E-mail: Susan.Fiering@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Defendants/Respondents Governor		
	Edmund G. Brown Jr. et al.		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF	F ALAMEDA	
11			
12			
13	SIERRA CLUB, <i>ET AL</i> ,	No. RG07356881	
14	Plaintiffs/Petitioners,	Consolidated with San Diego Superior Court	
15	<b>v.</b>	Case No. 37-2008-00096549-CÛ-WM-CTL	
16		STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
17	GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR., ETAL,	PARTIAL CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER THEREON IN CASE NO.	
18	Defendants/Respondents	RG07356881	
19	CALIFORNIA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,		
20	Plaintiffs/Petitioners,		
21			
22	V.		
23	GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR. ET AL,		
24	Defendants/Respondents		
25			
26	Plaintiffs/petitioners Sierra Club; United S	teel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing,	
27	Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers In	nternational Union, AFL-CIO, CLC; Natural	
28	Resources Defense Council, Inc.; California Lab	or Federation, AFL-CIO; Environmental Law	
		1	
	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF PARTIAL CONSENT JUDGMENT (RG07356881)		

Foundation; Environment California; Environmental Working Group; and Catherine Houston (jointly "Plaintiffs"), and defendants/respondents Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor of the State of California; Matthew Rodriquez, Secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency; Dr. George Alexeeff, Director of the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (jointly "Defendants") enter into this Stipulation for Entry of Partial Consent Judgment as follows:

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 On December 4, 2007, the Plaintiffs filed a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate against the Defendants and against the Chairperson and Members of the Carcinogen Identification Committee ("CIC"). (Case No.RG07356881, Alameda County Superior Court.) On February 5, 2008, the Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint and Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate against the same parties. The Complaint and First Amended Complaint are referred to herein jointly as "Complaint." The Complaint alleges that the Defendants violated a mandatory duty and abused their discretion under Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") by failing to revise and republish the list of chemicals that are known to the state to cause cancer via the independent review by the CIC; failing to consider and list chemicals via the CIC's independent review, including perfluorooctanoic acid and its salts ("PFOA"); failing to list chemicals via the Authoritative Bodies listing mechanism; and failing to list chemicals identified in California Labor Code sections 6382(b)(1) and 6382(d). The Complaint sought both declaratory and injunctive relief. On September 8, 2008, the Court sustained the demurrer of the CIC without leave to amend as to the first cause of action. The Court overruled the remainder of the demurrer.
- 1.2 The Plaintiffs and Defendants ("Parties") stipulate that (a) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the Complaint; (b) this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Partial Consent Judgment; (c) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (d) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Partial Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the claims and allegations in the Complaint, except as expressly reserved herein.

Judgment pursuant to a settlement of disputed claims as alleged in the Complaint, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, and to resolve all claims arising from the facts alleged in the Complaint. By execution of this Partial Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Neither this Partial Consent Judgment, nor Defendants' compliance with this Judgment, shall be construed as an admission of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Except as explicitly set forth herein, nothing in this Partial Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings.

### 2. **Definitions**

- 2.1 "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which the Court enters the Partial Consent Judgment.
- 2.2 "Labor Code Listings" and "Labor Code Listing Mechanism" shall mean the listing of chemicals causing cancer and reproductive toxicity as identified by reference in Labor Code sections 6382(b)(1) and 6382(d), as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25249.8(a).
- 2.3 "Authoritative Bodies" shall mean any entity identified by the State's Qualified Experts, as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25249.8(b) and enacted into regulation in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25306(l) and (m).
- 2.4 "Authoritative Bodies Listings" and "Authoritative Bodies Listing Mechanism" shall mean the listing of chemicals formally identified by an Authoritative Body as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity, as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25249.8(b).
- 2.5 "State's Qualified Experts," "Carcinogen Identification Committee" and "Developmental and Reproductive Toxicant ('DART') Identification Committee" have the same meaning as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25102(c) and (t).

### 3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1 <u>Labor Code Listings</u>
  - 3.1.1 OEHHA shall restart the regulatory process to adopt a regulation describing the

- i. Emissions from coal combustion
- j. Emissions from high-temperature unrefined rapeseed-oil
- k. Tetraconazole
- 3.2.3.2 Within one year of the Effective Date of the Partial Consent Judgment, OEHHA shall decide whether to issue an NOIL for each of the following chemicals:
  - a. Ethylene glycol
  - b. Genistein
  - c. Metam potassium
  - d. N,N-Dimethyl-p-toluidine
- 3.2.3.3 Except as set forth in section 3.2.6, for those chemicals listed above, OEHHA shall make a decision about whether to list a chemical within one year of the close of the public comment period on the NOIL for that chemical.

### 3.2.4 Chemicals not listed above:

3.2.4.1 It is the Defendants' position that Proposition 65 does not establish any mandatory time-frame for considering or deciding whether to issue an NOIL and whether to list chemicals under the Authoritative Bodies Listing Mechanism and nothing in this provision establishes a mandatory time frame under Proposition 65 for OEHHA to complete the evaluation of and make a decision about whether to list a chemical under the Authoritative Body Listing Mechanism. Plaintiffs disagree with this position and contend that OEHHA is required to list chemicals at the latest within one year of an Authoritative Body's action. In an effort to resolve this litigation, OEHHA agrees, for a period of three years and six months from the Effective Date of the Partial Consent Judgment (a) consistent with its current policy, to diligently and frequently review the relevant Authoritative Body websites, lists, and documents to identify chemicals that have been formally identified by the Authoritative Body; (b) consistent with its current policy, to request the records from the Authoritative Body promptly upon learning of the Authoritative Body's action.

28

3.2.4.2 OEHHA further agrees as follows: except as described in section 3.2.6, for those chemicals for which OEHHA obtains the records of the Authoritative Body no later than six months after the Effective Date of the Partial Consent Judgment, OEHHA shall decide whether to issue the NOIL no later than 18 months after the Effective Date. Further, OEHHA shall decide whether to list each chemical within one year after the close of the public comment period on the NOIL. Except as described in section 3.2.6, for those chemicals for which OEHHA obtains the records of the authoritative body after six months from the Effective Date of the Partial Consent Judgment, OEHHA shall decide whether to issue the NOIL within a year of obtaining the records of the Authoritative Body and shall decide whether to list the chemical within one year after the close of the public comment period on the NOIL. In the event that OEHHA is not able to comply with the time periods set forth above, OEHHA shall post a notice on its website, explaining the reason for any delay, and provide a date for anticipated completion of the decision-making process, and provide members of the CIC and DART IC with any website notices explaining delays. OEHHA's obligations pursuant to this provision shall terminate at the conclusion of three years and six months after the Effective Date of this agreement, and nothing in this provision shall require OEHHA to take actions beyond that time period.

- 3.2.5 Nothing in this Section shall apply to boron, boric acid, borate salts, or boron oxide.
- 3.2.6 OEHHA represents that it believes that it will be able to meet the time commitments set forth above. Nevertheless, the Parties recognize that contingencies may arise in the future that would change OEHHA's ability to meet those commitments. Contingencies that may prevent OEHHA from making a decision in the times specified above include, but are not limited to, difficult scientific issues that require a significant amount of analysis and review, or the receipt of public comments that are either too voluminous or require specific scientific expertise to evaluate or otherwise require OEHHA to expend excessive resources. In the event that OEHHA believes it will not be able to meet the time commitments set forth above, it will

notify Plaintiffs, and the Parties shall meet and confer as appropriate. The Parties may stipulate in writing to extend any of the time commitments set forth herein, without further Court approval. If the parties do not agree to stipulate to extend the time frames, OEHHA may ask the Court to extend or waive any of the above time commitments for good cause shown. Plaintiffs may oppose that request.

# 3.3 <u>Carcinogen Identification Committee ("CIC") Listings</u>

- 3.3.1 For a period of three years from the Effective Date, OEHHA shall shorten the informal public comment periods from 60 days to 45 days for the data call-in period (at the beginning of the hazard identification development process) and for review of the final Hazard Identification Materials for chemicals to be presented to the CIC for its evaluation.
- 3.3.2 OEHHA maintains that it has been working on proposed amendments to regulations to establish more specific educational and scientific qualifications for appointment to the CIC and DART IC. OEHHA shall solicit input from all interested parties, including the Plaintiffs. OEHHA shall propose the amendments within six months of the Effective Date of the Partial Consent Judgment. The proposal shall begin with an informal workshop prior to starting the formal regulatory process. OEHHA shall initiate the formal process within 90 days after the conclusion of the workshop.
- 3.3.3 OEHHA shall make a proposal to the CIC at a 2013 meeting regarding steps to streamline the preparation of the Hazard Identification Materials and other procedures. OEHHA shall follow-up with the CIC on an annual basis to determine if the streamlined procedures are successful and whether additional procedures are desirable.

# 4. Dismissal of Claims against CIC

Within ten (10) days after the effective date of this Partial Consent Judgment, Plaintiffs shall dismiss with prejudice all claims against the chair and members of the CIC named in the Complaint: David A. Eastmond, James S. Felton, Solomon Hamburg, Martin L. Hopp, Darryl Hunter, Joseph R. Landolph, Juliet Singh, William L. Spangler, and Anna H. Wu.

### 5. Attorneys' Fees and Costs

This Partial Consent Judgment does not address attorneys' fees and expenses or costs, which Plaintiffs may seek after the Court determines the reserved issue set forth in section 6 below and enters final judgment. Prior to any such application, Plaintiffs and Defendants will meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to settle the issue of attorneys' fees and costs. Nothing in this provision shall be construed to establish the Plaintiffs' entitlement to attorneys' fees and costs or to waive the Defendants' right to argue against such fees and costs.

### 6. Reserved Issue

- 6.1 The parties agree that nothing in the Partial Consent Judgment shall resolve the issue set out below, and that this issue will be resolved by the Court, including through an appeal, if taken:
- 6.1.1 The Court has pending before it the Plaintiffs' motion for judgment on the pleadings requiring OEHHA to list all IARC Group 3 chemicals for which IARC finds sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals. The Defendants have opposed the motion. The parties agree that this issue is not resolved in the Partial Consent Judgment and will remain to be resolved in this litigation, including any appeal, if taken.

# 7. Dispute Resolution and Compliance

The parties shall engage in good faith efforts to resolve any dispute concerning compliance prior to seeking review by the Court. Upon motion of any Party, the Court may schedule a hearing for the purpose of reviewing compliance with this Decree. The parties shall be required to give notice to each other twenty (20) days before moving for review by the Court.

#### 8. Enforcement

Subject to the limitation in paragraph 14, the Court shall have all available equitable powers, including injunctive relief, to enforce this Partial Consent Judgment.

#### 9. Release

Except as otherwise provided in this Partial Consent Judgment, this Partial Consent Judgment is a final and binding settlement in full between the Plaintiffs and Defendants and their

present and future directors, employees, agents, administrators, successors, representatives, and assigns of any and all claims raised in the Complaint that have arisen as of the Effective Date or that could have been raised in the Complaint as of the Effective Date based on the allegations of the Complaint. Plaintiffs also agree for a period of three years and six months from the Effective Date, not to bring a lawsuit raising the claims in the Complaint that Defendants violated a mandatory duty and abused their discretion by delaying the listing of chemicals pursuant to the Authoritative Bodies listing process, with the exception of Bisphenol A. Plaintiffs agree that they will bring no lawsuit with respect to the delay in listing Bisphenol A ("BPA") for a period of six months from the Effective Date. This release does not preclude any Plaintiff or Plaintiffs from bringing any claim challenging a final decision not to list any specific chemical made after the Effective Date. This release does not include any claims arising from any alleged failure to comply with the CIC listing provision based on new facts arising after the Effective Date. Finally, this release does not include any motion or other proceeding Plaintiff may bring to enforce this Partial Consent Judgment, subject to the limitation in paragraph 14.

# 10. Entire Agreement

This Partial Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

#### 11. Authorization

Each signatory to this Partial Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Partial Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

#### 12. Modification

Except as provided herein, this Partial Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court; by an order of the Court on noticed motion from Plaintiffs or Defendants in accordance with law; or by the Court in accordance with its inherent authority to modify its own orders. Nothing in this provision shall permit the Plaintiffs to seek to modify the Partial Consent Judgment to extend the Defendants' obligations beyond the time periods set out in sections 3.2 and 3.3 or to modify the period of court jurisdiction provided in paragraph 14.

# 13. Entry of Judgment Required

This Partial Consent Judgment shall be null and void, and be without any force or effect, unless entered by the Court in this matter.

# 14. Retention of Jurisdiction and Termination of Consent Judgment

This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter and the Parties to this Partial Consent Judgment for a period of four years and six months from the Effective Date in order to implement all of the terms of this Partial Consent Judgment. The Court's jurisdiction of this Partial Consent Judgment shall terminate at the conclusion of four years and six months from the Effective Date and shall not be extended for any reason whatsoever.

### 15. Governing Law and Interpretation

The terms of this Partial Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and, except as otherwise provided herein, apply within the State of California. All Parties to this Partial Consent Judgment, through their respective counsel, have participated in its drafting and, consequently, any ambiguity shall not be construed for or against any party.

### 16. Counterparts and Facsimile

This Partial Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and with facsimile or scanned signatures, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

1	17. Court Approval		
2	This Partial Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion.		
3	If this Partial Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect.		
4		,	
5			
6			
7			
8 9	S	MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Secretary, California Environmental Protection Agency	
10	June 5, 2013		
11		Ma -	
12			
13	,		
14	1 4 2012 I	GEORGE ALEXEEFF, PH.D. Director, Office of Environmental Health	
15		Hazard Assessment	
16	·	George Valeluf)	
17	_		
18			
19	Dated:	For Sierra Club	
20			
21			
22	_		
23			
24	Dated:	FOR UNITED STEEL WORKERS	
25			
26			
27 28	<u> </u>		
20	11		

l		
2	17. Court Approval  This Partial Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion.  If this Partial Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect.	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Dated: MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Secretary, California Environmental	
9	Protection Agency	
10		
11		
12		
13	Dated: GEORGE ALEXEEFF, PH.D.	
14	Director, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
15	·	
16		
17		
18		
19	Dated: JAME 17, 2013 FOR STERRA CLUB	
20	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}}$	
21	el le rel	
22		
23		
24	Dated: May 28, 2013 FOR UNITED STEEL WORKERS	
25		
26 27	Pener J. Pren	
28	Richard J. Brean General Counsel	
ωυ	11	
	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF PARTIAL CONSENT JUDGMENT (RG07356881)	

Dated: 5/21/2013	FOR NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL Junda Herr
Dated:	For California Labor Federation
William and the second of the	
Duted: 5/29/17	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION
Dated: 6/20/13	FOR ENVIRONMENT CALIFORNIA
Dated:	For Bnyironmental Working Group
Dated:	Catherine Houston
	12

1	Dated:	For Natural Resources Depense
2		Council
3		
5		
6		
7	Dated: 07.01.13	For California Labor Federation
8		
9		<del>\</del>
10		
11	Dated:	For Environmental Law Foundation
12 13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14		
15		
16	Dated:	For Environment California
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Dated: May 17, 2013	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING GROUP
22	0	
23	,	THE CENTER POUNCE
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Dated:	CATHERINE HOUSTON
26		
27		
28	·	
	STIPHLATION FOR	12 ENTRY OF PARTIAL CONSENT JUDGMENT (RG07356881)
	atti drifttok Lok	TIRROCCIONAL TONOMINAL TONOMENT (MORIZONES)

1	Dated:	·	FOR NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE
2			COUNCIL
3			
4			
5			
7	Dated:		FOR CALIFORNIA LABOR FEDERATION
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	Dated:		FOR ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION
13			
14	i I		
15			
16	Dated:		FOR ENVIRONMENT CALIFORNIA
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated:		FOR ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING GROUP
22	Dated.		FOR ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING GROUP
23			
24			
25	Dated:		CATHERINE HOUSTON
26			
27			Correre de Arestor
28		. 12	
			OF PARTIAL CONSENT JUDGMENT (RG07356881)

1		
2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
3	- 12/12	
4	Dated: $7/3/13$	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
5		SALLY MAGNANI Senior Assistant Attorney General
6		SUSAN S. FIERING Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7		
8		hura / fren
9		By: / SUSAN S. FIERING
10		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Governor Edmund G. Brown et al.
11	Dated:	ei ui.
12	Dated.	STEPHEN P. BERZON JONATHAN WEISSGLASS
13		DANIELLE LEONARD ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
14		TETOTIONE DE BIOLOTE DE LA CONTRACTION DEL CONTRACTION DE LA CONTR
15		By: Danielle E. Leonard
16		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sierra Club et al.
17		
18	Dated:	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
19		
20		By: MICHAEL E. WALL
21		MICHAEL E. WALL
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		13

1		
2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
3	Details	D Hanna
4	Att	MALA D. HARRIS corney General of California
5	Ser Sus	LLY MAGNANI nior Assistant Attorney General SAN S. FIERING
6	Sup	pervising Deputy Attorney General
7		
8	By	
9	De	SAN S. FIERING puty Attorney General orneys for Governor Edmund G. Brown
11	et a	ıl.
12	Dated: 7-3-13	EPHEN P. BERZON
13		nathan Weissglass nielle Leonard
14		TSHULER BERZON LLP
15	By	Mal
16	DA Atte	NIELLE E. LEONARD orneys for Plaintiffs Sierra Club et al.
17		
18	Dated: 21 May 2013 NA	TURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
19		
20	Den	L O O
21	Mi	CHAEL E. WALL
22		
23		·
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONDUIT A TRONG FOR FAITHAY OF B	A DETAIL A CONTRACT WAS CONTRACT OF CONTRA