ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME
OEHHA (See Attachment, pg 1)

CONTACT PERSON
Allan Hirsch

EMAIL ADDRESS
allan.hirsch@oehha.ca.gov

TELEPHONE NUMBER
916-322-6325

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400
Title 27, CCR, Adoption of New Article 6 Regulation For Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If any box in Items 1a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.
If box in Item 1h is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS
Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   - [x] a. Impacts business and/or employees
   - [ ] b. Impacts small businesses
   - [ ] c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   - [ ] d. Impacts California competitiveness
   - [ ] e. Imposes reporting requirements
   - [ ] f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   - [ ] g. Impacts individuals
   - [ ] h. None of the above (Explain below):

2. The [ ] estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
   - [ ] Below $10 million
   - [x] Between $10 and $25 million
   - [x] Between $25 and $50 million
   - [ ] Over $50 million (If the economic impact is over $50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c))

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:
   152,006

   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Businesses with 10 or more employees that provide Proposition 65 warnings

   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:
   0

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0
   and eliminated: 0

   Explain: The regulation imposes minor costs that are a very small part of a business’ overall costs.

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:
   - [x] Statewide
   - [ ] Local or regional (List areas):

6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0
   and eliminated: 359 to 575

   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: No specific types of jobs or occupations would be impacted.

   The analysis projects minor job losses spread throughout the economy due to small impacts to the Gross State Product.

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
   - [ ] YES
   - [x] NO

   If YES, explain briefly: Proposition 65 and this regulation apply equally to California and out-of-state businesses that sell products in California. Impacts on facility-operation costs are minor.
B. ESTIMATED COSTS

Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $19.3 to 31.0 million
   a. Initial costs for a small business: $0  Annual ongoing costs: $0  Years: __________
   b. Initial costs for a typical business: $127 to 204  Annual ongoing costs: $0 (see 1d)  Years: __________
   c. Initial costs for an individual: $0  Annual ongoing costs: $0  Years: __________
   d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: A small number of establishments (approximately 150-300) may choose to publish warnings in newspapers and their web sites at an annual ongoing cost of about $7400 above their current expenses.

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: See attachment, pgs 10-11

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted: $0

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? □ YES  ☑ NO
   If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: ____________________________

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? □ YES  ☑ NO

   Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Proposition 65 is a unique law with no federal counterpart. The statute does not define a "clear and reasonable warning", and leaves this to implementing regulations.

   Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $0

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS

Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The regulation will provide Californians with more meaningful information about their exposures to carcinogens and reproductive toxicants from products and business operations.

2. Are the benefits the result of: □ specific statutory requirements, or  ☑ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?

   Explain: Proposition 65 authorizes the lead agency (OEHHA) to promulgate implementing regulations.

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $ See attachment, pg 14

4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: The proposed regulation will not cause any significant expansion of businesses in California. Vendors of Proposition 65 warning signs may experienced increased activity during the implementation period due to purchase of new warning signs.

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION

Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: OEHHA issued a proposed regulation in January 2015 but has withdrawn it. While similar to the current proposal, the January 2015 proposal identified 12 chemicals to be named in warnings. This might have imposed some testing costs on businesses.
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Intangible Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$33.9-54.4 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: See attachment, pg 14-15

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? [ ] YES [ ] NO

Explain: The regulation describes what OEHHA considers to be a "clear and reasonable" warning. The regulation does not preclude businesses from providing warnings with different format and content.

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS

Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million? [ ] YES [ ] NO

If YES, complete E2 and E3
If NO, skip to E4

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

   Alternative 1: See attachment, pg. 15

   Alternative 2: See attachment, pg. 15

   (Attach additional pages for other alternatives)

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

   Regulation: Total Cost $ Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ 

   Alternative 1: Total Cost $ Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ 

   Alternative 2: Total Cost $ Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ 

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding $50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? [ ] YES [ ] NO

   If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SR/A) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SR/A in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

5. Briefly describe the following:

   The increase or decrease of investment in the State: Investment in California will not be changed as a result of this regulation.

   The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: This regulation increases the incentive to reformulate products that would avoid the use of listed chemicals that cause cancer or reproductive effects.

   The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state’s environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The proposed regulation provides more meaningful information to Californians about their exposures to carcinogens and reproductive toxicants.
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT
   Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

   $ __________________________

   a. Funding provided in □

   Budget Act of ______________________ or Chapter __________, Statutes of ____________

   b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of ______________________

   Fiscal Year: ______________________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

   $ __________________________

   Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:

   a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in __________________________

   b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the __________________________ Court.

   Case of: __________________________ vs. __________________________

   c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. __________________________

   Date of Election: __________________________

   d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).

   Local entity(s) affected: __________________________

   e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: __________________________

   Authorized by Section: __________________________ of the __________________________ Code;

   f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

   g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in __________________________

☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

   $ __________________________

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other. Explain __________________________

__________________________________________

PAGE 4
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT

Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

It is anticipated that State agencies will:

☐ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the ______________ Fiscal Year

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain ________________________________________________________________

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS

Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain ________________________________________________________________

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE [Signature]

DATE 11/16/15

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

AGENCY SECRETARY [Signature]

DATE 11/17/15

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER [Signature]

DATE