

From: [Anne & Ben](#)
To: [Barajas-Ochoa, Esther@OEHHA](mailto:Barajas-Ochoa.Esther@OEHHA)
Subject: GLYPHOSATE NSRL
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 7:13:07 AM

Dear Ms. Barajas,

Please do not put Californians at risk for the benefit of big business. We want to raise our children in a safe place without known carcinogens on our playgrounds, in our parks, in parking lots where we shop for groceries, and in our community at large. Enough is enough!

We request that the Prop 65 NSRL (No Significant Risk Level) for glyphosate must be a validly arrived at NSRL, per CA regulations, substantially lower than the proposed 1100 micrograms per day, in order for this Safe Harbor to actually be safe to Californians. Until a comprehensive independent study is done, showing real life exposure levels, regulatory authorities should use a NSRL of well below, the concentration where it stimulated breast cancer cells in vitro at levels as low as 1 ppt (Thongprakaisang et al., 2013), in keeping with The Precautionary Principle.

The Marzo Family, Auburn, CA