

161 N. Clark St., Ste. 2020 Chicago, IL 60601 USA +1.312.821.0201 info@nafem.org | nafem.org

Board of Directors

President

Rob Connelly, CFSP Henny Penny Corporation

President-Elect

Richard Packer, CFSP American Metalcraft, Inc.

Secretary/Treasurer

Steve Spittle, CFSP Middleby Corporation

Directors

Teresa Asbury, CFSP The Legacy Companies

> Greg Baggott, CFSP Cres Cor

Richard Barkauskas Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc

Josh Callahan CAL-MIL Plastic Products, Inc.

Paul Egbert, CFSP The Vollrath Company L.L.C.

Ted Gravenhorst, Jr. John Boos & Co.

Debra Hanson, CFSP Vulcan Food Equipment Group

Will Means, II, CFSP Champion Industries, An Ali Group Company

Lucy McQuillan Alto-Shaam, Inc.

Cathy O'Shia, CFSP New Age Industrial Corporation

> David Rolston, CFSP Hatco Corporation

Chris Trout, CFSP Wood Stone Corporation

Ex-Officio Member

Joe Carlson, CFSP Lakeside Manufacturing, Inc.

Headquarters

Executive Vice President Deirdre Flynn, CFSP

March 29, 2021

SUBMITTED VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Attn: Monet Vela
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street, 23rd Floor
P. O. Box 4010

Sacramento, California 95812-4010 Telephone: (916) 323-2517

Email: monet.vela@oehha.ca.gov

Re: NAFEM Comments on OEHHA's Proposed Rulemaking: Amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings Short-form Warnings (Jan. 8, 2021)

Dear Ms. Vela:

The North American Association of Food Equipment Manufacturers (NAFEM) submits the following comments to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) regarding its Proposed Rulemaking: Amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings Short-form Warnings (January 8, 2021) (Proposed Rulemaking). The Proposed Rulemaking would amend California's Proposition 65 regulations to address OEHHA's assertion that "further amendments of certain sections of Article 6 are necessary" because, in its view, "there has been widespread use of short-form warnings in ways that were not intended and do." (Notice of Proposed Rulemaking at 1.)

NAFEM is a trade association of more than 550 commercial foodservice equipment and supplies manufacturers – a \$13 billion industry. These businesses, their employees and the products they manufacture, support the food-away-from-home market – which includes more than one million locations in the U.S. and countless more around the world.

These member companies sell either directly or through distribution relationships indirectly into California, and therefore are subject to regulation by OEHHA, including Proposition 65 labeling mandates. NAFEM conceptually supports Proposition 65's goals, but wishes to express several of its concerns about the Proposed Rulemaking, as well as suggest opportunities for clarification.

NAFEM provides the following comments to the Proposed Rulemaking.

1. Enact a Safe-Harbor Provision: §§ 25603, 25607.2

At present, through the Proposed Rulemaking, companies that desire to use either the long-form or the short-form warning would be required to

NAFEM Comments on OEHHA's Proposed Rulemaking: Amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings Short-form Warnings (Jan. 8, 2021)

March 29, 2021

Page 2 of 4

disclose the Proposition 65-listed chemical(s) for which they are furnishing either of the Proposition 65 warnings. (See §§ 25603(a), 25607.2(a); see Proposed Amendments to §§ 25603(b), 25607.2(b).)

Some context is necessary. NAFEM's manufacturer members cover the spectrum of foodservice equipment and supplies products: food preparation equipment; primary cooking equipment; refrigeration and ice machines; serving equipment; smallwares, cookware and kitchen tools; storage and handling equipment; tabletop and servingware; warewashing, janitorial and safety equipment; and furnishings, décor and custom fabrication. Many of these products and equipment are constructed and finished using materials and components from a diverse global supply chain.

Accordingly, in endeavoring to comply with Proposition 65, and its 1,000+ "listed" chemicals, many NAFEM members will attempt to consult with their material and component suppliers about the chemicals and substances contained in the materials and components that such suppliers are furnishing to NAFEM members. Certain NAFEM members estimate that in about 65% of instances of requests to such entities, the material and component suppliers will either: (i) not furnish *any* information; or (ii) generically state that there is a Proposition 65-listed chemical in the materials or components, without identifying the actual substance.

In each instance, NAFEM membership faces a "Catch 22"—do nothing, or label their products with the short-form warning in order to avoid the prospect of Proposition 65 litigation.

NAFEM's Suggested Revision: NAFEM encourages OEHHA to adopt a safe-harbor provision wherein if the product manufacturer solicits information from upstream component manufacturers about the Proposition 65-listed chemicals in their products, and either receives no response or a generic response without identifying the listed chemical. As long as a manufacturer can document that it requested appropriate information from its suppliers and the suppliers failed to comply with these Proposition 65 mandates, the manufacturer making the request should be free from any liability. Such a safe-harbor provision could be modeled after the safe-harbor provision in § 25600.2(b) that allows a manufacturer to provide written notice to a subsequent "authorized agent for the business to which they are selling or transferring the product or to the authorized agent for a retail seller" in order to comply with Proposition 65.

2. Delay the Effective Date: §§ 25602(e), 25603(d), 25607.2(c)

The revised Article 6 Clear and Reasonable Warnings regulations were adopted on August 30, 2016 and became operative on August 30, 2018. (Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR), at 7 n.8.) Through this Proposed Rulemaking, OEHHA would largely withdraw the short-form warning option for businesses across the country less than three years after it took effect, and substantially modify it for those who are still able to use it.

In connection with the prior change in 2018, NAFEM engaged counsel and other consultants in order to educate its membership about the change. Several of NAFEM's members on their own also engaged counsel and/or consultants, proactively, in order to revise product labels, websites, catalogues, and advise downstream distributors. Several other members did so after being

NAFEM Comments on OEHHA's Proposed Rulemaking: Amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings Short-form Warnings (Jan. 8, 2021)

March 29, 2021

Page 3 of 4

targeted by Proposition 65 "bounty hunters" and incurring the additional costs to retain counsel and expend sums to settle threatened litigation that appeared more focused on enriching the complainant than on furthering the goals of Proposition 65.

Through the Proposed Rulemaking, OEHHA is proposing a change that would essentially require a company that relied upon and conformed to OEHHA's short-form warning regulations of 2018, to unnecessarily repeat that process yet again. If companies fail to do so, the Proposed Rule would subject them to Proposition 65 liabilities and citizen suits for existing labels on their products suddenly becoming "non-conforming." NAFEM disagrees with OEHHA's statement that "[t]he proposed regulatory action will not have a significant adverse economic impact directly affecting businesses, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states." (ISOR, at 16.)

NAFEM members produce commercial foodservice equipment used in restaurants, school and university cafeteria halls, cruises, concerts, and other settings that have been significantly impacted, if not shuttered, during the ongoing COVID pandemic. NAFEM research indicates that commercial foodservice equipment and supplies sales are down 25% from 2019 levels. The same research also forecasts that the industry won't get back to pre-pandemic sales levels until sometime after 2024.

As to the restaurant industry alone, the National Restaurant Association (NRA) took a "comprehensive and sobering look at the damage the pandemic caused," and found that the industry ended 2020 with total sales that were \$240 billion below the Association's prepandemic forecast for the year; and at the end of 2020, more than 110,000 eating and drinking places were closed for business temporarily, or for good. (See, e.g., Nat'l Restaurant Ass'n, State of the Restaurant Industry Report Measures Virus's Impact on Business (Jan. 25, 2021), available at https://www.restaurant.org/articles/news/new-report-measures-pandemics-effect-on-business.)

NAFEM's Suggested Revision: NAFEM urges OEHHA to revisit its conclusion, without citation, that "[t]he proposed regulatory action will not have a significant adverse economic impact directly affecting businesses" (ISOR, at 16.) In fact, the Proposed Rulemaking would have significant impacts on companies that already have been hit hard by the pandemic, and NAFEM requests that OEHHA consider the necessity of pursuing these changes in 2021.

At present, OEHHA through the Proposed Rulemaking has offered a one-year effective date from the final rulemaking of the amendments (See Proposed Amendments to §§ 25602(e), 25603(d), 25607.2(c).) NAFEM proposes that OEHHA extend the effective date by one-to-two years—i.e., to allow for an effective date of two-to-three years from any final rulemaking—so as to allow NAFEM's membership, and countless other companies that manufacture products for sale in and into California, to begin to recover from the COVID pandemic before being forced to reformulate product labels, catalogues, and websites.

¹ Indeed, "OEHHA did not rely on any technical, theoretical, and/or empirical studies, reports, or documents as part of this rulemaking." (ISOR, at 15.)

NAFEM Comments on OEHHA's Proposed Rulemaking: Amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings Short-form Warnings (Jan. 8, 2021)

March 29, 2021 Page 4 of 4

* * *

Please contact the undersigned if NAFEM can provide any additional insight or assistance. We would be happy to work with OEHHA on further development of the Proposed Rulemaking.

Respectfully submitted,

Charlie Souhrada, CFSP

Vice President, Regulatory & Technical Affairs
North American Association of Food Equipment Manufacturers (NAFEM)

161 N. Clark Street, Suite 2020

Charlie Souhreda

Chicago, IL 60601 Phone: (312) 821-0212

Email: csouhrada@NAFEM.org