

From: Diane Davis Campbell <dlcampbell12008@aol.com>
To: <coshita@oehha.ca.gov>
Date: 9/3/2011 12:16 PM
Subject: Fluorididation Risks

To Whom it may Concern;

I have been concerned about any intake of fluoride for years. The health risks are known by millions of people who have been poisoned in various ways by fluoride consumption.

There are many places to go for information about fluoride. The below paragraph is taken from Dr. John Yiamouyiannis, Ph.D. "Lifesavers Guide to Fluoridation." For copies of the complete report request them from The Safe Water Foundation, 6439 Taggart Road, Delaware, Ohio, 43015 "Officials of the U.S. Public Health Service, the American Dental Association, and Procter and Gamble, as well as others, are more concerned with their reputations than they are about the health and welfare of the very people they claim to serve. In 1983, one member of a blue ribbon committee called together by the Surgeon General of the U.S. Public Health Service stated that "You would have to have rocks in your head, in my opinion, to allow your child more than 2ppm [fluoride in their drinking water]." Added another member: "I think we all agree on that." 232 Their conclusions were published by the U.S. Public Health Service as recommending that up to 4ppm fluoride should be allowed in the drinking water.

In 1980, the U.S. Public Health Service contracted with Battelle Research Institute to do studies to find out whether fluoride could cause cancer. When, in 1988, the results showed that fluoride caused a rare form of liver cancer, oral cancers, and possibly bone cancer, 76,77,233 the U.S. Public Health Service covered up the most significant results and only allowed that fluoride might cause bone cancer. Then, in attempt to water this down even further, Under Secretary of Health James Mason assigned former FDA commissioner Frank Young to reevaluate fluoride to whitewash this already watered-down conclusion. Despite additional information that they collected from the National Cancer Institute that bone cancer rates were almost 50% higher in men living in fluoridated areas and data from Procter and Gamble showing a dramatic increase in bone tumors as a result of fluoride exposure, they claimed that fluoride did not cause cancer.

Procter and Gamble has tried to cover up studies that they performed showing that as little as one-half the amount of fluoride added to public water supplies causes genetic damage 37 and that fluoride caused tumors and pre cancerous growth. 78-80. In 1993, the National Academy of Sciences admitted that up to 80% of the children living in fluoridated areas have dental fluorosis and there are a number of studies showing that fluoride causes genetic damage and transforms normal cells into cancer cell--and then gave fluoridation a clean bill of health.234 Similarly, a 1993 study put out by the U.S. Public Health Service admitted; "in cultured human and rodent cells, the weight of the evidence leads to the conclusion that fluoride exposure results in increased chromosome aberrations[genetic damage]" , and then tried to discount the importance of their findings.235

The above paragraph, taken from Dr. Yiamouyiannis's report, should be taken seriously along with thousands of articles the public has access to.

Please DO NOT PUT FLUORIDE IN SAN DIEGOS WATER.

Thank you,
Diane Campbell