

From: Dr. Betty Martini, D.Hum. <bettym19@mindspring.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 10:58 PM
To: P65Public Comments
Subject: Open Comment: Aspartame and cancer: Info from Dr. James Bowen

To Public Comments:

This was written by Dr. James Bowen years ago when he lived in Michigan. He is now in a VA Home in Oregon because of the ALS which he said was triggered by aspartame. Maybe it will save someone else from this horrible disease because its now well known the epidemics precipitated by this deadly carcinogen. Dr. Bowen wanted it removed from the market rather than labeled because the coverup was such a huge issue people would not realize they were consuming a literal addictive, excitoneurotoxic, genetically engineered, carcinogenic drug, adjuvant and teratogen causing neural tube defects with no pregnancy warning. It must be factually labeled as a carcinogen - a deadly chemical poison,

So now over 2 decades later everything Dr. Bowen had told the FDA has come to pass as medical texts have outlined like "Aspartame Disease: An Ignored Epidemic" by H. J. Roberts, M.D. , "While Science Sleeps: A Sweetener Kills" by Dr. Woodrow Monte, "Excitotoxins: The Taste That Kills" by neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock. There are many many books on aspartame like " "Aspartame: King of Toxins" written by another victim, Reigh Parker Burch.

The aspartame industry has fought for years to prevent the public from knowing aspartame is a carcinogen. The head of the European Food Safety Society resigned saying they were pressured by industry to hijack science. Read all about it <http://www.constantinereport.com/efsa-boss-we-were-pressured-by-industry-in-2011-nutrasweet-study-that-declared-it-safe/> Dr. Morando Soffritti did an outstanding study on aspartame showing it to be a multipotential carcinogen and received an award for it, followed by two more studies. The head of EFSA Dr. Koeter resigned rather than try to say the Ramazzini study wasn't acceptable. This is how well known it is that the aspartame industry will do anything to prevent people from knowing that aspartame is a carcinogen.

I would be interested in what other proof you need to declare it a carcinogen. You already have the admission of the FDA, the late Dr. Adrian Gross who testified to this fact and that it violated the Delaney Amendment to Congress, and its on my web site. Aspartame/cancer studies that have been done by independent, unbiased researchers have all confirmed cancer including Harvard, If you see a study saying its safe follow the money. The aspartame industry has their own research front group, ILSI. If they couldn't prove it was safe for the FDA (why the FDA tried to them indicted in fraud) they obviously couldn't do it today. You see it over and over again. Dr. Diana Dow Edwards was paid to do a study for industry on aspartame and birth defects and she found what they didn't want the public to know they withdrew their funding.

How does industry fix the studies? Go <http://www.holisticmed.com/aspartame/abuse/> Read about abuse in research. Victoria Inness Brown was a victim of aspartame. Because of the propaganda the aspartame industry misleads the public with Victoria couldn't get people to believe her. So, she decided to do her own study. She wrote a book about it called "Are Your Diet Sodas Killing You? Results from My Aspartame Experiment"

It's on www.amazon.com All you have to do is look at the pictures of the tumors. One is so big the rat is using it for a pillow! Victoria testified with me and an official from the Philippines who tried to get it banned there before a medical board. We wanted them to know aspartame interacts with drugs and vaccines, and

physicians are unaware. Also, aspartame is in all generic gastrointestinal drugs and thousands of other ones. There is a chapter on it in Dr. Roberts medical text. Unfortunately Victoria in the end lost her life.

How far will the aspartame industry go to cover up the fact aspartame is a carcinogen? Enter the Twilight Zone. In answer to the first Ramazzini study industry needed a big cancer study but there wasn't one so they used a ten year old food form which we warmly call "The Oatmeal Brownie Study" . This is a form AARP sent out to elderly people to see if they could remember what they ate in a year. Out of 56 questions aspartame was only even mentioned once. It asked you things like "have you had a hysterectomy?" It also asked you about oatmeal and brownies. At the time I was lecturing in New Zealand in a medical school when a medical student tried to use this food form as a large study showing no cancer. I told him I had a copy of this and maybe he would like to review it. He was shocked and said, "this is a big nothing", I replied, "Of course, but the public will believe the propaganda and not look it up. This is what we have dealt with for decades.

Dr. Bowen who wrote this also developed cancer but survived it. He has spent his life warning the world. His paper below has been removed from several websites including www.dorway.com DORway stands for David Oliver Rietz who also lost his life from aspartame cancer. You will see Dr. Bowen in the documentary "Sweet Misery: A Poisoned World" www.sweetenedradio.com This is an open comment so many others can return his paper to their website.

If there is anything you need simply advise.

Dr. Betty Martini, D.Hum, Founder
Mission Possible World Health Intl
www.mpwhi.com, www.dorway.com, www.wnho.net, www.holisticmed.com/aspartame
770 242-2599

STATEMENT TO THE FDA ON FOOD LABELING -
JAMES D. BOWEN, M.D.
3118 S Logan Ste.3
Lansing, MI 48910

My statement for the public record regarding food labeling and the aspartame issue is serious if not somewhat facetious at times. The reason for this is because I have found little evidence of honesty, integrity or stability on the part of Food & Drug Administration officials regarding the aspartame issue, since its approval in 1981. This attitude is largely shared by the general public. I come in contact with approximately two new people each week who are now being or who have in the past been poisoned by aspartame.

All of them share the same reactions that it is not worth writing to the FDA or NutraSweet, because you and your agency have run amuck and are no longer a valid public benefactor.

The recent revelations about the problems surrounding generic drug approvals are compelling evidence of what happens when an agency considered itself above the law in dealing with these matters. In my opinion, this has resulted in the mass poisoning of the American public as well as seventy-plus countries in the rest of the world. Watching FDA officials walk through the "revolving door" and be further rewarded by being promoted to other positions of high public responsibility is clear evidence of a government out of control.

For this reason, I am opposed to labeling aspartame content of food and drinks. To do so would imply that the government is taking some sort of responsible action...when the only responsible action would be to immediately take aspartame off the market, fully disclose its toxicities, offer full compensation to the injured, public and criminally prosecute anyone who participated in the fraudulent placement of aspartame on the

marketplace. That includes those who work so diligently to keep in on the market as well.

Further, to label the purported aspartame content of a product would cover a number of toxic flaws in the product and its allowable daily intake (ADI) as follows:

1. That the amount stated on the label was accurate and factual rather than theoretical. Aspartame breaks down relatively quickly in solution. Given the well established modus operandi of the manufacturer, there is no concern given the ultimate consumer. And cover-ups seem to be a part of the routine of doing business. The public should be well advised that the amounts really used in liquid products are relatively greater than those stated to accomplish a relative compensation for the loss of product sweetness occurring during storage in solution.
2. That the ADI presently allowed is 50% greater than that expected to cause a reversal of the phenylalanine/tyrosine ratios in the human brain. This has profoundly bad implications for the human being, including dopamine and serotonin synthesis inhibition, causing depression, appetite changes, mental incapacities, increased susceptibility to seizures and a host of neurohormonal problems.
3. Every known metabolite of aspartame is of marked or questionable toxicity and patently unsafe for human use. Methyl alcohol is metabolized to nascent formaldehyde in the eye, nervous system and other metabolically active organs. It immediately attacks and denatures the tissue structure proteins in which is metabolized to nascent formaldehyde. This stimulates specific organ and subcellular autoimmunity which seems to be a preponderant source of the bad experiences reported by NutraSweet victims. Aspartic Acid is a neuroexcitotoxic present in damaging amounts, it own right, at the ADI for aspartame. Simple logic tells one that it will vastly increase the metabolism of methyl alcohol to formaldehyde attach there. This corresponds well with the symptomalogies often experienced, such as Lou Gehrig's Disease (ALS), bulbar palsies, neurohormonal disorders, diketopiperazine issue remains totally unresolved and dangerous. The amino acids that are released by hydrolysis, form eimers and isomers that are either not sufficiently studied, or which are known substrates in undesirable pathological states such as Alzheimer's disease.
4. There is the issue of the approval of aspartame for market, which has violated every principle of responsible science and responsible government. Everyone responsible for this hearing should at least completely review the approval process and the comments of the participants and observers who have so excellently elucidated all the malfeasance for the public record, such as Dr. Adrian Gross and many, many others (all on the public record).

In light of the above 4 points, I highly recommend that you deny in every way possible any subterfuge of respectability that the aspartame people have enshrouded themselves and their product within hopes of quickly denying its access to the worldwide marketplace. I write this, not believing that it will do the slightest bit of good in the sense of affecting the labeling issue per se, but that instead, it might reach some honest, concerned, conscientious individuals in the process.