



IARC Technical Publication No. 24

Peroxisome Proliferation and its Role in Carcinogenesis

*Views and expert opinions of an IARC Working Group
Lyon, 7-11 December 1994*

CONTENTS

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

1. CONSENSUS REPORT

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Characteristics of peroxisome proliferation
 - 1.2.1 Peroxisomes
 - 1.2.2 Peroxisome proliferation in rats and mice
 - 1.2.3 Peroxisome proliferation *in vitro*
 - 1.2.4 Mechanisms of induction of peroxisome proliferation
 - 1.2.5 Hepatocellular proliferation induced by peroxisome proliferation
 - 1.2.6 Species differences
- 1.3 Hepatocarcinogenicity in experimental animals
 - 1.3.1 Concordance with peroxisome proliferation
 - 1.3.2 Plausible mechanisms
 - 1.3.2.1 Receptor-mediated responses and oxidative stress
 - 1.3.2.2 Increased cell proliferation
 - 1.3.2.3 Preferential growth of preneoplastic lesions
 - 1.3.3 Neoplasms in organs other than the liver
- 1.4 Peroxisome proliferation as a biological marker for hepatocarcinogenesis
- 1.5 Peroxisome proliferators, human response and hazard
- 1.6 Conclusions
- 1.7 References

2. AUTHORED PAPERS

A. The Biology and Molecular Consequences of Peroxisome Proliferation in Experimental Animals and Humans

B.G. Lake, BIBRA International, Woodmansterne Road, Carshalton, Surrey SM5 4DS, United Kingdom

B. Postulated Mechanisms of Carcinogenicity Mediated by Peroxisome Proliferators

J.K. Reddy and M.S. Rao, Department of Pathology, Northwestern University Medical School, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611-3008, USA

C. Peroxisome Proliferation, Genotoxicity and Carcinogenicity

E. Dybing, S.-O. Mikalsen, J.K. Huttunen and T. Sanner

(N.B. - Addresses: E. Dybing: Department of Environmental Medicine, National Institute of Public Health, 0462 Oslo, Norway; S.-O. Mikalsen and T. Sanner, Laboratory of Environmental and Occupational Cancer, Institute for Cancer Research, 0310 Oslo 3, Norway; and J.K. Huttunen: National Public Health Institute, 00300 Helsinki, Finland)

IARC WORKING GROUP ON PEROXISOME PROLIFERATION

Lyon, 7-9 December 1994

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Chairman

E. Dybing, Department of Environmental Medicine, National Institute of Public Health, Geitmyrsveien 75, 0462 Oslo, Norway

(N.B. - New address as 1 October 1995: PO Box 4404, Torshov, 0403 Oslo, Norway)

Rapporteurs

B.G. Lake, BIBRA International, Woodmansterne Road, Carshalton, Surrey SM5 4DS, United Kingdom

J.K. Reddy, Department of Pathology, Northwestern University Medical School, Ward Building 6-204, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611-3008, USA

Members

(N.B. - Unable to attend: J.C. Barrett, Program of Environmental Carcinogenesis, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, PO Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA)

J. Cadet, Service d'Etude des Systèmes et Architectures moléculaires (SESAM), Centre d'Etudes nucléaires, 85X, 38041 Grenoble Cédex, France

B. Desvergne, Institute of Animal Biology, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

C.R. Elcombe, Zeneca, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TJ, United Kingdom

J. Huttunen, Director-General, National Public Health Institute, Mannerheimintie 166, 00300 Helsinki, Finland

J. Popp, Sanofi Research Division, Sterling-Winthrop, 1250 S. Collegeville Road, PO Box 5000, Collegeville, PA 19426-0900, USA

T. Sanner, Laboratory for Environmental and Occupational Cancer, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Institute for Cancer Research, 0310 Oslo 3, Norway

T. Suga, Tokyo College of Pharmacy, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hacioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan

V.S. Turusov, Cancer Research Centre, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Karshirskoye Shosse 24, 115478 Moscow, Russian Federation

Secretariat

P. Boffetta, Unit of Environmental Cancer Epidemiology

E. Heseltine, Communications in Science, St Léon-sur-Vézère

V. Krutovskikh, Unit of Multistage Carcinogenesis

D. McGregor, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation

H. Møller, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation

R. Montesano, Unit of Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis

H. Ohshima, Unit of Endogenous Cancer Risk Factors

C. Partensky, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation

H. Vainio, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation (N.B. - Present address: Unit of Chemoprevention)

P. Webb, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation

J. Wilbourn, Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation

H. Yamasaki, Unit of Multistage Carcinogenesis

Table 1. Database for examining the concordance between hepatocellular peroxisome proliferation (PP) and hepatocarcinogenicity (HC) in rats and mice (M, male; F, female)

Compound	CAS No.	Rats				Mice						
		Strain	PP		HC		Strain	PP		HC		
			M	F	M	F		M	F	M	F	
Benzylbutyl phthalate	85-68-7	F344		-		-						
Cinnamyl anthranilate	87-29-6	F344	-	+	-	-	B6C3F1	+	+	+	+	
Ciprofibrate	52214-84-3	F344	+		+		C57Bl	+		+		
Clobuzarit	22494-47-9	Wistar	+		-		C57Bl	+		+		
Clofibrate	637-07-0	SD F344 Wistar	+	+	+	+	C57Bl Swiss	++		-		
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	103-23-1	F344	+	+	-	-	B6C3F1	+	+	-	+	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	F344	+	+	+	+						
Di-isononyl phthalate	28553-12-0	F344	-	-	-	-						
Gemfibrozil	25812-30-0	SD	+		+							
Lactofen	3513-60-4						CD-1	+	+	+	+	
LY 171883	88107-10-2						B6C3F1		+		+	
Methylclofenapate	21340-68-1	F344	+		+							
Nafenopin	3771-19-5	F344	+		+							
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	F344	-	-	-	-	B6C3F1	+	+	+	+	
Tibric acid	37087-94-8	F344	+		+							
Trichloroacetic acid	76-03-9						B6C3F1	+		+		
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	F344 Osborne- Mendel	-		-		B6C3F1	+		+		
Wy-14,643	50892-23-4	F344	+		+							

Adapted from Ashby *et al.* (1994)

Table 2. Overall activity of hepatocarcinogenic peroxisome proliferators in assays for morphological cell transformation and gap-junctional intercellular communication

Compound	Cell transformation	Intercellular communication
Clofibrate	+	+
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	-	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	+	+
Methylclofenapate		-
Nafenopin		+
Trichloroethylene	+	+
Trichloroacetic acid		+
Wy-14,643	+	+

+, Most assays with the compound gave a positive response (i.e. induced cell transformation or decreased intercellular communication).

-, Most assays with the compound gave a negative response (i.e. did not induce cell transformation or did not decrease intercellular communication).

