OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Air Toxics Hot Spots Program

Ethylene Glycol mono-n-Butyl Ether

Reference Exposure Levels

Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels

Appendix D1

Public Review Draft
August 2015

Air, Community, and Environmental Research Branch

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

California Environmental Protection Agency

Page Intentionally Left Blank

Ethylene Glycol mono-n-Butyl Ether

Reference Exposure Levels

Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels
Appendix D1

Prepared by the

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Lauren Zeise, Ph.D., Acting Director

Author

Jianming Yang, Ph.D

Technical Reviewers

John D. Budroe, Ph.D.

David M. Siegel, Ph.D.

Melanie A. Marty, Ph.D

Daryn E. Dodge, Ph.D.

Public Review Draft

August 2015

Page Intentionally Left Blank

Ethylene Glycol mono-n-Butyl Ether

(2-butoxyethanol; butoxyethanol; butyl cellosolve; ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether; butyl glycol)

CAS 111-76-2



1. Summary

The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) is required to develop guidelines for conducting health risk assessments under the Air Toxics Hot Spots Program (Health and Safety Code Section 44360 (b) (2)). OEHHA developed a Technical Support Document (TSD) in response to this statutory requirement that describes methodology for deriving acute, 8-hour and chronic Reference Exposure Levels (RELs), which was updated and adopted in December 2008. RELs are airborne concentrations of a chemical that are not anticipated to result in adverse noncancer health effects for specified exposure durations in the general population, including sensitive subpopulations. In particular, the methodology explicitly considers possible differential effects on the health of infants, children and other sensitive subpopulations, in accordance with the mandate of the Children's Environmental Health Protection Act (Senate Bill 25, Escutia, Chapter 731, Statutes of 1999, Health and Safety Code Sections 39669.5 *et seq.*). The TSD was used to develop the RELs for ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether (EGBE) presented in this document; this document will be added to Appendix D of the TSD.

Ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether (EGBE), commonly called 2-Butoxyethanol (2-BE), has gained widespread use in industrial and consumer applications due to its properties as a solvent. It is well-known for its hemolytic properties in rodents (i.e., red blood cell damage resulting in regenerative anemia) and the secondary effects from hemolysis including splenic congestion and liver Kupffer cell pigmentation. However, airborne exposures in humans are more often associated with eye, nose, and upper respiratory tract irritation, with no or minor hematologic effects only occurring at high concentrations. Major exposure routes of EGBE in humans are through inhalation and skin contact. The critical effects of EGBE in humans resulting from short to long term exposures are eye irritation, respiratory irritation and epithelial degeneration of upper respiratory airways. High oral doses in adult humans may result in metabolic acidosis and other symptoms.

1.1 EGBE Acute REL

Reference exposure level	1.6 mg/m^3 (0.33 ppm)
Critical effect(s)	Ocular and nasal irritation (sensory irritation)
Hazard index target(s)	Eyes and respiratory system

1.2 EGBE 8-Hour REL

Reference exposure level	0.15 mg/m^3 (0.032 ppm)
Critical effect(s)	Nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory epithelium
Hazard index target(s)	Eyes and respiratory system

1.3 EGBE Chronic REL

Reference exposure level	0. 077 mg/m^3 (0. 016 ppm)
Critical effect(s)	Nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory epithelium
Hazard index target(s)	Eyes and respiratory system

2. Physical & Chemical Properties (HSDB, 2005)

Description	Colorless liquid
Molecular formula	C_4H_9-O-CH_2CH_2-OH ($C_6H_{14}O_2$)
Molecular weight	118.2 g/mol
Density	0.90 g/cm^3 @ 20 °C
Boiling point	171 °C
Melting point	-70 °C
Vapor pressure	0.88 mm Hg @ 25°C
Odor threshold in air	0.10 ppm (geometric mean) (AIHA, 1989) Sweet, ester-like, musty
Water Solubility	Miscible, but soluble in most organic solvents
Log Kow	0.81
Henry's law constant	2.08 x 10^{-7} – 10^{-8} atm-m^3/mole @ 25°C
Flash point	62°C (close cup); 70°C (open cup)
Conversion factor	1 ppm = 4.83 mg/m^3; 1 mg/m^3 = 0.207 ppm

3. Occurrence and Major Uses

Due to their excellent solvency, chemical stability and water compatibility, ethylene glycol mono-butyl ether (EGBE) and other glycol ethers are good solvents for many applications and often act as coupling agents to stabilize immiscible ingredients. Consumer products and building materials that may contain EGBE include liquid wax and wax strippers, varnish removers and lacquers, surface cleaner and coatings, water-based paints, nail enamel remover, permanent hair colorants, caulking and sealants, and resilient floorings (Andersen, 1996; Fang et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2001; IWMB, 2003; HSDB, 2005). EGBE has been estimated to range in concentration from 1 to 30% (volume/volume) in some industrial and commercial products (Vincent et al., 1993). OECD (1997) has estimated the air concentrations of EGBE associated with various occupations and consumer activities. Silk screening with a product containing 50% EGBE resulted in the highest dose estimate (18.2 mg/kg-day), followed by cleaning with a product containing 30% EGBE (13.7 mg/kg-day), while painting for 6 hours with a coating containing 1.5% EGBE resulted in an estimated dose of 1.05 mg/kg-day (OECD, 1997; ATSDR, 1998).

EGBE is a high production volume chemical with an estimated 180,000 tons produced in the United States in 1992 (NTP, 2000) and 150,000 tons in the European Union (SCHER, 2008; OECD, 2012). Others have estimated world production to be 200,000 to 500,000 tons per year, of which 75% is for paints and coatings (Rebsdat and Mayer, 2001) and 18% for metal cleaners and household cleaners (NLM, 2014). The California statewide emissions of EGBE, reported under the Air Toxics Hot Spots Program, were 282,760 pounds in 2011 (ARB, 2011). EGBE is one of the major indoor volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in some newly constructed homes resulting in sensory irritation. The EGBE indoor air concentrations are thought to originate from water-based paints or adhesives. Brown (2002) measured EGBE levels in one new home on days 2, 19, 72, and 246 post-construction. The highest geometric mean EGBE concentration (81 $\mu g/m^3$) was found on day 19, but decreased to 4 $\mu g/m^3$ on post-construction day 246 (Brown, 2002). Microorganisms or molds have also been identified as possible emission sources for EGBE in buildings (McJilton et al., 1990). NIOSH (NOES Survey 1981-1983) has statistically estimated that 2,139,297 workers (585,932 of these are female) are potentially exposed to EGBE in the US. (HSDB, 2015).

4. Toxicokinetics

4.1 Absorption and Distribution

EGBE is absorbed and rapidly distributed in humans following inhalation, ingestion, or dermal exposure. In four healthy male research subjects who inhaled 25 ppm EGBE for 10 minutes, the mean uptake was 80% in the last 5 minutes of EGBE respiration (Kumagai et al., 1999).

Varied estimates of human EGBE dermal absorption have been published. Johanson and Boman (1991) exposed four male subjects to 50 ppm EGBE by mouth-only inhalation for two hours, followed by a one hour rest period, and then a two hour exposure to 50 ppm EGBE in a whole body exposure chamber, with 0 ppm EGBE breathing air supplied from a respiratory protection mask. Blood samples were taken via a finger-prick method and analyzed for EGBE. Each subject was exposed twice, with at least a two week interval between exposures. One exposure was carried out at what the authors described as "normal" (23°C, 29% relative humidity) environmental conditions, and one at “raised" (33°C, 71% relative humidity) air temperature and humidity in the chamber. The authors found that the average blood concentration and the calculated EGBE uptake rate were higher during dermal exposure compared to inhalation exposure (2-3-fold higher, respectively). The authors also found that dermal uptake of EGBE accounted for about 75% of the total EGBE uptake during whole body exposure.

In contrast, in a whole-body human exposure scenario, the absorption through the skin was about 21% of total EGBE (skin permeability coefficient of 3 cm/hr) (calculated using PBPK modeling) (Corley et al., 1994). The same investigators (Corley et al., 1997) conducted a human study in which one arm of each subject was exposed to 50 ppm $^{13}C_2$-EGBE for 2 hours. Blood samples were collected by finger-prick method from the exposed arm, and by intravenous (i.v.) catheter from the non-exposed arm of each subject. The concentrations of EGBE in blood drawn from the exposed arms via the finger-prick method were nearly 1,500-fold higher than that in blood drawn from the non-exposed arms via i.v. catheter. The authors concluded that the finger-prick sampling technique grossly overestimates systemic absorption of EGBE via the dermal route. The authors also suggested that the relatively high dermal EGBE uptake (75% of total uptake) reported by Johanson and Boman (1991) compared to that found in their study (21% of total uptake) was the result of sampling artifacts caused by use of the finger-prick sampling technique.

4.2 Metabolism and Elimination

The two main oxidative pathways of EGBE metabolism observed in rats are via alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and O-dealkylation by cytochrome P450 (primarily the CYP 2E1 isozyme). Like other monoethylene glycol ethers, EGBE is a substrate for alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), which catalyzes the conversion of the terminal alcohol to butoxyacetaldehyde (BAL). Aldehyde dehydrogenase then rapidly converts BAL to 2-butoxyacetic acid (BAA), a predominant urinary metabolite (Ghanayem et al., 1987b). Because BAA is excreted in the urine of both rats and humans following EGBE exposure, it has been suggested that the production of BAA through the formation of BAL by ADH is applicable to both rats and humans (Medinsky et al., 1990; Corley et al., 1997). However, there are significant differences in some major toxicological endpoints (e.g., hemolysis) between rats and humans, as well as other species. Species-specific

phase II metabolism of EGBE may be responsible for species differences in toxicity. Sulfate and glucuronide conjugates of EGBE have been reported in rodents, while glycine and glutamine conjugates of BAA have only been found in humans (Medinsky et al., 1990; Corley et al., 1997). Approximately two-thirds of the BAA formed by humans is conjugated with glutamine and, to a lesser extent, glycine, while the BAA-glutamine and BAA-glycine conjugation pathways have not been detected in the rat (Corley et al., 1997) (Figure 1). Lesser amounts of the glucuronide and sulfate conjugates of EGBE have been observed in the urine of rats (Bartnik et al., 1987; Ghanayem et al., 1987a), but not humans (Corley et al., 1997).

Oral studies suggested that human stomach tissues would be less capable of accumulating and localizing 2-butoxyacetic acid (BAA) than rat stomach tissues because of the different distributions of EGBE metabolizing enzymes and different anatomy (Green et al., 2002).

Elimination of EGBE and BAA from blood in mice is faster than in rats or humans (NTP, 2000). The blood half-life in human, rat, and mouse are 0.53-0.95, 0.11-0.69, and 0.05-0.35 hours for EGBE and 3.3-4.3, 0.55-6.6, and 0.36-4.5 hours for BAA, respectively (EPA, 2010). Toxicokinetic studies performed by NTP (Dill et al., 1998) found that BAA was eliminated in both rats and mice following dose-dependent, nonlinear kinetics. Gender-related differences in BAA elimination were significant in rats in that females were less efficient in clearing BAA from the blood than males.

There are also age-related differences in the metabolism and clearance of EGBE, at least in rodents. Compared to older rats (9-13 weeks old), young rats (4-5 weeks old) eliminated a larger proportion of gavage-administered EGBE as CO_2 and in the urine as EGBE metabolites, resulting in lower plasma concentrations of EGBE and BAA (Ghanayem et al., 1990a). However, young rats had lower urinary excretion of BAA and higher butoxy-glucuronide conjugation compared to the older rats (Ghanayem et al., 1987).

In low dose exposures, the kinetics of EGBE and BAA were linear up to 100 ppm, following continuous inhalation exposure to EGBE at doses of 20 or 100 ppm for 12 days in 4-month-old male rats (Johanson, 1994). Daily analyses indicated that EGBE and BAA concentrations increased rapidly in blood, muscle, testis, and liver tissues during the first 1 to 3 days and then more slowly during the rest of the study. At these concentrations, there was no effect of exposure concentration on total blood clearance of EGBE (approximately 2.3 L/kg per hour) or the fraction of inhaled EGBE excreted in urine as BAA (approximately 65%) (Johanson, 1994). Compared to younger rats and mice, older rats and mice have lower peak blood levels of BAA at the same exposure dose of EGBE (Corley et al., 2005).

In human volunteers, the elimination half-life of EGBE in blood following inhalation is about 40 min and the elimination half-life of the primary metabolite (BAA) in urine is

about 6 hours (Johanson, 1986), while peak excretion of BAA in urine for occupational exposure to EGBE does not occur until 6-12 hours post-exposure (Jones and Cocker, 2003). PBPK modeling of EGBE in workers continually exposed indicates that elimination from the most poorly perfused organs is rapid and that EGBE does not appear to accumulate in the body (Johanson, 1986). However, small amounts of free and conjugated BAA were found in urine of EGBE-exposed workers the following morning after a work shift, indicating slight accumulation of the metabolite in the body (Sakai et al., 1994). EGBE exposure levels (breathing zone Time-Weighted Average) during a work week were mostly between 0.2 and 0.8 ppm, with urinary elimination of the metabolite almost complete over the weekend.

A case report by Gualtieri et al. (2003) described an 18-year-old male who ingested 360–480mL of a glass cleaner which contained 22% EGBE and then again ingested approximately 480 ml of the same cleaner 10 days later. The authors reported serum concentrations of EGBE and BAA for both ingestion incidents. The highest BAA serum concentration noted during the first ingestion was 4.86 mmol/L, collected approximately 16 hours post-ingestion and 7 hours prior to hemodialysis. The highest BAA serum concentration noted during the second ingestion was 2.07 mmol/L, collected approximately 22 hours post-ingestion and 2 hours after the start of hemodialysis. Corresponding EGBE serum concentrations were substantially lower than the corresponding BAA serum concentrations at the time points listed above (0.00038 mM and 0.108 mM for the first and second ingestion, respectively). However, no statistical analysis was provided by the authors.

Some chemicals have a protective effect against the hemolytic effects of EGBE, known as heteroprotection. For example, a priming dose of phenylhydrazine protected the rats from the hemolytic and lethal effects of BAA, which may be due to phenylhydrazine treated rats having lower renal and hepatic BAA levels and about 3-fold higher urinary excretion of BAA compared to the control rats (Palkar et al., 2007). Hepatic alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase activities were unaltered, indicating that bioactivation of EGBE to BAA was unaffected by phenylhydrazine. The higher erythropoietin levels, reticulocyte count, and resiliency of red blood cells (RBCs) in phenylhydrazine-primed rats indicated that the newly formed RBCs are resistant to the hemolytic action of BAA (Palkar et al., 2007). Young erythrocytes have been found to be less sensitive to BAA than older erythrocytes (Ghanayem et al., 1992).



Figure 1. EGBE Metabolism in Rats and Humans - adapted from (Medinsky et al., 1990; Corley et al., 1997)

5. Acute Toxicity of EGBE

5.1 Acute Toxicity to Adult humans

EGBE is an irritant of the eyes and upper respiratory tract in humans. Accidental exposures of humans to high levels of EGBE vapors originating from misuse of concentrated EGBE cleaning products has resulted in immediate intense eye and respiratory irritation, marked dyspnea, nausea, and faintness (Raymond et al., 1998). Long-term effects attributed to high acute exposures include recurrent eye and respiratory irritation, dry cough, headache, and dermal cherry angiomas (Raymond et al., 1998). EGBE concentrations near silk screening equipment that resulted in complaints of odor and sensory irritation during use were found to range from 13 to 169 ppm (Kullman, 1987). Respiratory irritation due to EGBE exposure could trigger asthmatic episodes in people with asthma and also pose risks for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema, and other respiratory diseases and conditions (Bello et al., 2009; Burns, 2010). No direct studies were located with EGBE alone causing an asthmatic episode, in part because EGBE is often present in air together with other VOCs that increase allergic airway inflammation (Bonisch et al., 2012). EGBE exposure should be considered a potential etiologic agent in case of respiratory system diseases and other related conditions (Burge, 2010; Burge et al., 2012; Melchior Gerster et al., 2014).

For the hemolytic effects of EGBE, rats, mice and rabbits are highly susceptible, but not humans, monkeys, dogs and guinea pigs (Carpenter et al., 1956). In sensitive mammalian species, hemolytic anemia and increased erythrocyte osmotic fragility are primary toxic endpoints of EGBE exposure. However, simultaneous chamber exposures of rats and men to EGBE (113 ppm for 4 hours) have shown humans to be insensitive to these toxic endpoints at this dose level compared to rats (Carpenter et al., 1956). *In vitro* studies have also shown considerably less risk of hemolysis in human erythrocytes compared to rat erythrocytes when blood is incubated with BAA (Corley et al., 1994; Udden, 2002). After comparing *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies for several species, including monkeys, Carpenter et al. (1956) stated “the in vivo response of erythrocytes to butyl Cellosolve (EGBE) is more closely correlated to the in vitro response to sodium butoxyacetate (BAA) than to the in vitro response to butyl Cellosolve. This suggests that butoxyacetic acid is more directly responsible for in vivo erythrocyte fragility or hemolysis than is butyl Cellosolve.” Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling for exposures to saturated atmospheres of EGBE showed that the maximum blood concentration of BAA is below that needed to produce this effect in the human (Corley et al., 1997). PBPK modeling simulations have also found that the maximum venous blood concentration of BAA in adult humans is similar to or below that of rats and mice (Corley et al., 2005). The resistance of RBC’s in healthy adults to the hemolytic effects of BAA *in vitro* extends to erythrocytes from elderly individuals, children, and individuals with sickle cell disease or hereditary spherocytosis (Udden and

Patton, 1994; Udden, 2002). Nevertheless, anecdotal reports of hemoglobinuria and anemia have been reported following exposure to very high concentrations of EGBE (Carpenter et al., 1956; Raymond et al., 1998).

In acute oral exposure case reports, two women who ingested window cleaner containing about 12% EGBE (dose range 391 – 933 mg/kg) developed severe respiratory effects including pulmonary edema and obstructive respiration that required a ventilator (Rambourg-Schepens et al., 1988; Gijsenbergh et al., 1989). One 50-year-old woman had a moderate hemoglobinuria on the third day, which lasted until the sixth day, inducing a progressive erythropenia (RBC 3 $x10^{12}$/L, hematocrit 28.6%, hemoglobin 9.7 g/L on the 10th day) (Rambourg-Schepens et al., 1988). Another 23-year-old woman had a fall in hemoglobin from 11.9 g/dL on admission to 8.9 g/dL on the second day, together with the appearance of hematuria (Gijsenbergh et al., 1989). Both patients recovered and left hospitals in good conditions after 8 to 10 days.

A case report by Gualtieri et al. (2003) described an 18-year-old male who ingested 360–480mL of a glass cleaner which contained 22% EGBE. Approximately 10 hours after the first ingestion, the patient developed severe CNS depression, metabolic acidosis, hematuria, and mild elevation of hepatic enzymes. He was treated initially with ethanol therapy but continued to deteriorate and was started on hemodialysis. Approximately 10 days after discharge, the patient ingested 480mL of the same product and received ethanol and hemodialysis within four hours of ingestion. During his second admission the patient did not develop the delayed severe CNS depression or profound metabolic acidosis. Clinically significant hemolytic anemia, oxaluria, ethylene glycol production, and renal failure were not noted in either episode. The patient recovered on both occasions without sequelae.

In whole-body chamber studies, volunteers were exposed to 98 ppm (two men and one woman) or 195 ppm (two men and two women) EGBE for a total of 8-hour (Carpenter et al., 1956). Even at the lower exposure level, eye, nose, and throat irritation, taste disturbances, headache, and nausea were reported. Two men exposed to 113 ppm EGBE for 4-hours reported similar effects. Erythrocyte osmotic fragility and urinalysis were normal in the subjects during and after exposure. In a chamber study conducted to investigate the toxicokinetics of EGBE, seven healthy male adults were exposed to 20 ppm EGBE for 2 hours during light exercise on a bicycle ergometer (Johanson, 1986). There were reportedly no complaints or any other adverse effects from exposure. Although an odor was noted on entering the chamber and some volunteers found it initially unpleasant, perception of the smell diminished over time during exposure. No changes in pulmonary ventilation, respiratory frequency or heart rate were seen. However, this study was primarily a toxicokinetic study and was not designed to collect detailed information on potential sensory irritant effects. In another toxicokinetic study, whole body 2-hour exposure of four volunteers to 49 ppm EGBE did not result in physiological changes in breathing rate, pulse rate, skin surface temperature or skin resistance (Jones and Cocker, 2003).

5.2 Acute Toxicity to Infants and Children

No studies of children exposed to airborne EGBE were located. However, acute ingestion of EGBE in cleaning solutions by 24 children (aged from 7 months to 9 years) from a regional poison control center have been reviewed (Dean and Krenzelok, 1992). These reports included the ingestion of 5-300 mL of liquid glass cleaning products containing 0.5 to 9.9% EGBE. All ingestions were reported within 5 minutes of ingestion, and all 24 children, including two who were hospitalized, were asymptomatic at the time the ingestions were reported. The five month retrospective review of the two hospitalized children failed to find symptoms consistent with hemolysis, nervous system depression, acidosis, or renal compromise. EGBE at these concentrations does not appear to be acutely toxic by the oral route.

5.3 Acute Toxicity to Experimental Animals

As noted earlier, there are substantial species differences among experimental animals in their acute responses to EGBE. Rats and mice are especially susceptible to the hemolytic effects of EGBE, while guinea pigs, canines, and primates are more resistant to these effects (Ghanayem and Sullivan, 1993; Gingell et al., 1998).

Male and female rats were exposed by inhalation to EGBE for 4 hours at concentrations of 867, 523, and 202 ppm. All of the rats in the 867 ppm group died within 24 hours of exposure. The estimated LC_{50} was 486 ppm for males and 450 ppm for females. These rats exhibited loss of coordination, rapid shallow breathing, and red discharge from the urogenital region (Dodd et al., 1983).

In a subsequent study, rats were exposed for 9 days (6 hr/day) to EGBE concentrations of 245, 86, 20, or 0 (control) ppm. The authors found EGBE exposure significantly reduced red blood cell (RBC) count, hemoglobin (Hgb), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) concentration, and body weight gain, while increases in nucleated erythrocytes, reticulocytes, lymphocytes, and liver weights were observed in both male and female rats. A 14-day post-exposure recovery showed substantial reversal of the affected blood parameters. Similar hematologic effects were observed in the 86 ppm group, but not in the 20 ppm group. In a 90-day study, rats were exposed to EGBE at 77, 25, 5, or 0 ppm, 6 hours/day, 5 days/week. Significant decreases in RBC (13% below control) and Hgb, accompanied by an increase in MCH (11% above control) were observed in the 77 ppm-exposed females after 6 weeks. There were no significant biological effects in rats exposed subchronically to 5 or 25 ppm of EGBE (Dodd et al., 1983).

Whole body inhalation exposure of guinea pigs for 1 hour to 633 ppm (5 males) and 691 ppm (5 females) resulted in no mortality or clinical signs of toxicity, either immediately or for up to 14 days following exposure (Gingell et al., 1998).

In a comparison study of hematological parameters, a single gavage administration of EGBE (250 mg/kg) to rats caused an early increase (1 hour post-treatment) in mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and hematocrit (HCT), which declined over a 24 hour period. This was associated with hemolysis, and a decline in hemoglobin and numbers of red blood cells. However, this same treatment in guinea pigs had no similar effect (Ghanayem and Sullivan, 1993).

6. Chronic (including Sub-chronic) Toxicity of EGBE

6.1 Chronic Toxicity to Adult Humans

Chronic exposure to EGBE can cause dermatitis and hematuria (NIOSH, 1992). A cross-sectional study included 31 male workers (22 - 45 years old) who had been employed for 1 to 6 years. Workers were exposed to low levels of EGBE at 0.59 ± 0.27 ppm (means ± standard deviation) in a beverage packing plant. There was a good concentration correlation between EGBE in air and post-shift BAA in urine. A slight but significant effect on erythroid parameters (hematocrit, a 3.3% decrease; and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, a 2.1% increase) suggested membrane damage in exposed workers, but no significant effect was found on other erythroid parameters (Haufroid et al., 1997). U.S. EPA (2010) noted that hematocrit and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentrations were still within normal clinical ranges. Additional studies are needed to confirm if these changes represent early markers of EGBE toxicity in workers.

In another occupational study, the investigators evaluated the hematological status of nine parquet floorers exposed to a mean 8-hour concentration of 24.6 mg/m^3 (5.1 ppm) EGBE (max: 350 mg/m^3 (72 ppm)) by personal air sampling) for an average of 18.9 years (ranged 25 – 58 years). The workers' erythrocyte numbers showed a slight, but insignificant ($0.05 < p < 0.1$) decrease, but their hemoglobin concentrations were unaffected. Co-exposure to a number of other chemicals in the worker group also occurred (Denkhaus et al., 1986).

While some studies note a good correlation between EGBE in air and urinary BAA concentrations, one study measured high levels of urinary BAA in workers cleaning offices and cars with EGBE-containing products, even though air concentrations of EGBE were often lower than 0.5 ppm (Vincent et al., 1993). This finding suggested that skin penetration of EGBE-containing solution in unprotected workers could be a significant source of exposure. Dermal exposure studies in human volunteers show EGBE solutions are well absorbed dermally and could represent a dominant route of exposure (Jakasa et al., 2004; Kezic et al., 2004). Based on urinary BAA levels in 'whole body' and 'skin only' EGBE chamber exposures, dermal absorption of EGBE vapors averaged 11% of the total body dose (Jones et al., 2003b). PBPK modeling estimations by Corley et al. (1997) under similar baseline conditions produced similar

dermal absorption results (15% of total body dose) via vapor exposure. Wearing overalls during exposure actually increased dermal absorption, probably by forming a warmer, more humid microclimate next to the skin that promoted absorption (Jones et al., 2003b).

As noted in Section 3 of this document, EGBE is used in a variety of industrial and consumer products, including cleaning products. Exposure to substances in the workplace has been estimated to cause about 10% of all cases of adult-onset asthma (Blanc and Toren, 1999). A prospective study of 6837 participants from 13 countries in the European Union found the population-attributable risk for adult asthma due to occupational exposures ranged from 10% to 25%, equivalent to an incidence of new-onset occupational asthma of 250–300 cases per million people per year. Asthma risk was also reported to be increased in participants who reported an acute symptomatic inhalation event such as fire smoke exposure, mixing cleaning products, or chemical spills (RR = 3.3, 95% CI 1.0–11.1, p = 0.051) (Kogevinas et al., 2007). Cleaning workers have been described as an exposure group at high risk of developing occupational asthma and asthma-like symptoms (Kogevinas et al., 2007). However, the determination of which, if any, health hazards are associated with exposure to cleaning agents is a complex issue, and the contribution of sensitization to specific agents or exposure to irritants in the pathogenesis of respiratory symptoms associated with cleaning is unclear (Quirce and Barranco, 2010). A European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology task force consensus statement indicated cleaning sprays, bleach, ammonia, disinfectants, mixing products, and specific job tasks have been identified as specific causes and/or triggers of asthma (Siracusa et al., 2013). However, Siracusa et al. (2013) did not indicate that cleaning products containing glycol ethers (including EGBE) were specifically included as asthmagens in their assessment.

6.2 Chronic Toxicity to Infants and Children

Choi et al., (2010) conducted a case-control study of exposure to common household chemicals and the resulting prevalence of allergic airway disease in Swedish pre-school age children. Cases were defined as children 3-8 years of age who were reported to have at least two symptoms of wheezing, rhinitis, or eczema without a cold during the preceding 12 months on either a baseline questionnaire or a follow-up questionnaire (done 1.5-years after the baseline questionnaire). Controls were randomly identified from 1,100 symptom-free children from local primary care clinics. This resulted in a study population of 198 cases and 202 controls. Air and dust samples were collected from the bedrooms of the houses where the cases and controls lived, and analyzed for several classes of volatile organic compounds, including glycols and glycol ethers.

Of the original population of cases and controls, 18 cases and 9 controls were found to have EGBE indoor air concentrations greater than the EGBE functional detection limit (not specified). No significant differences in the geometric mean EGBE indoor air

concentrations were noted between the controls (3.0 µg/m^3; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.30-29.61) and the cases (3.11 µg/m^3; 95% CI 0.76-12.67).

6.3 Chronic Toxicity to Experimental Animals

The principal toxic effect of exposure to EGBE in sensitive species is a reversible hemolytic anemia. In rodents, the primary effect on the hematologic system was anemia characterized as macrocytic (rat), normocytic (mouse), normochromic, and regenerative in exposed rats and mice (NTP, 2000). More generally, EGBE also causes irritation and damage to epithelial tissues at portal of entry sites (i.e., eyes and respiratory airways).

The NTP (2000) conducted a 14-week whole-body EGBE inhalation exposure study in Fisher 344 rats and B6C3F$_1$ mice. Exposure (6 hours/day, 5 days/week) to 31, 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 ppm EGBE resulted in clinical findings that included abnormal breathing, pallor, red urine stains, nasal and eye discharge, lethargy, and increased salivation and/or lacrimation primarily at the three highest concentrations in rats, and at the highest concentration in mice. The most pronounced effect was a concentration-related hemolytic anemia in male rats and mice exposed to 125 ppm and above and, to a greater extent, in all exposed groups of female rats and mice. Exposure-related increases in the incidences of forestomach inflammation and epithelial hyperplasia, bone marrow hyperplasia (rats only), Kupffer cell pigmentation of the liver, splenic hematopoietic cell proliferation, and renal tubule pigmentation were observed in male and/or female rats and mice surviving to the end of the study. The latter three effects were secondary to red cell hemolysis and regenerative anemia, with female rats showing the greatest sensitivity. Statistically significant increases in Kupffer cell pigmentation and bone marrow hyperplasia were apparent in female rats at concentrations as low as 62.5 ppm (NTP, 2000).

In the subsequent NTP 2-year study, Fisher 344 rats and B6C3F$_1$ mice were exposed to 31.2 (rats only), 62.5, 125, and 250 (mice only) ppm of EGBE via inhalation for 6-hours/day, 5 days/wk. In rats, anemia occurred in females starting at 31.2 ppm, and in males starting at 62.5 ppm. The anemia was considered mild and persisted with no apparent progression or amelioration of severity from 3 months to 12 months (final blood collection). Incidences of hyaline degeneration of the olfactory epithelium were increased in 62.5 or 125 ppm groups of both sexes, although the severity of this lesion was minimal (incidence presented in Table 1). No evidence of carcinogenicity was found in male rats, and equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity was found in female rats, based on increased combined incidences of benign or malignant pheochromocytoma (mainly benign) of the adrenal medulla.

In mice, survival of males was reduced at 125 and 250 ppm. Anemia was observed following 3, 6, or 12 months of exposure at 125 and 250 ppm in both male and female

mice. Incidences of forestomach ulcer and hyperplasia, nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory and respiratory epithelium were increased in all exposed female mice. In male mice, there was an increased incidence of forestomach ulcer at 125 ppm. All groups of exposed males showed increased incidence of forestomach hyperplasia. A mouse urologic infection syndrome was apparent in males, and appeared to be exacerbated by EGBE exposure at 125 and 250 ppm. Effects secondary to hemolysis were also observed including splenic congestion and hemosiderin deposition in Kupffer cells of livers in both rats and mice (incidence presented in Table 1). The principal non-cancer toxic endpoints not linked to red blood cell hemolysis were nasal olfactory epithelial lesions, forestomach epithelial hyperplasia, and forestomach ulcers (incidence presented in Table 1). NTP found that there was some evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2-butoxyethanol in male $B6C3F_1$ mice based on increased incidences of hemangiosarcoma of the liver. A marginal increase in the incidences of forestomach squamous cell papilloma and an increase in the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma may have been exposure related. NTP also found some evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2-butoxyethanol in female $B6C3F_1$ mice based on increased incidences of forestomach squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma (mainly papilloma) (NTP, 2000).

Table 1. Incidence of nasal olfactory epithelium lesion, liver Kupffer cell pigmentation, forestomach epithelial hyperplasia and ulcer in rats and mice following 2-year EGBE inhalation study (NTP, 2000)

Endpoints	Exposure Doses (ppm)				
	0	31.2	62.5	125	250
Nasal Olfactory Epithelium Lesion					
Male Rats	13/48	21/49	23/49*	40/50***	--------
Female Rats	13/50	18/48	28/50**	40/49***	--------
Total Rats	*26/98*	*39/97**	*51/99****	*80/99****	--------
Male Mice	1/50	--------	2/50	3/48	1/48
Female Mice	6/50	--------	14/50*	11/49	12/50*
Total Mice	*7/100*	--------	*16/100**	*14/97*	*13/98*
Liver Kupffer Cell Pigmentation					
Male Rats	23/50	30/50	34/50*	42/50***	--------
Female Rats	15/50	19/50	36/50***	47/50***	--------
Total Rats	*38/100*	*49/100*	*70/100****	*89/100****	--------
Male Mice	0/50	--------	0/50	8/49**	30/49***
Female Mice	0/50	--------	5/50*	25/49***	44/50***
Total Mice	*0/100*	--------	*5/100**	*33/98****	*74/99****
Forestomach Epithelial Hyperplasia					
Male Mice	1/50	--------	7/50*	16/49***	21/48***
Female Mice	6/50	--------	27/50***	42/49***	44/50***
Total Mice	*7/100*	--------	*34/100****	*58/98****	*65/98****
Forestomach Ulcer					
Male Mice	1/50	--------	2/50	9/49**	3/48
Female Mice	1/50	--------	7/50*	13/49***	22/50***
Total Mice	*2/100*	--------	*9/100**	*22/98****	*25/98****

Note: Statistically significant differences compared to the control group were measured with the Chi-square (X^2) or Fisher exact test, $^{*}p < 0.05$, $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$ (statistical analysis performed by OEHHA).

Chronic contact irritation by EGBE, and in particular the EGBE metabolites BAA and 2-butoxyacetaldehyde, have been implicated in the damage to the forestomach in mice (Green et al., 2002; Poet et al., 2003). Intraspecies differences for increased

metabolism of EGBE by alcohol dehydrogenase to BAA in the rodent forestomach are thought to play a role in the development of epithelial hyperplasia and ulcers. A similar mechanism of action in rat and mouse nasal olfactory epithelium also likely occurs (Gift, 2005). Intravenous, oral and inhalation studies have shown accumulation of EGBE and BAA in the mouse forestomach (Boatman et al., 2004). Thus, systemic blood circulation, grooming of contaminated fur, and clearance of mucus from the respiratory tract are all factors in the accumulation of EGBE in the forestomach (NTP, 2000). In the chronic REL development of EGBE, we are focusing on the upper respiratory tract irritation and nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory epithelium.

Similar concentration-response results for anemia in rodents were observed in earlier studies. In a 9-day exposure (6 hours/day, 5 days/week) study in rats, Dodd et al. (1983) reported a NOAEL and LOAEL of 20 and 86 ppm, respectively, based on the anemia endpoint. In a subsequent 90-day EGBE exposure study (6 hours/day, 5 days/week), the same authors reported a NOAEL and LOAEL of 25 and 77 ppm, respectively, for anemia in male and female rats. The severity of RBC depression in the 90-day study was not increased compared to the 9-day study (Dodd et al., 1983).

In a series of experiments using both rodent and non-rodent species, rats, guinea pigs, mice, dogs, and monkeys were exposed to EGBE via inhalation for 7 hours/day, 5 days/week for up to 90 exposures (Carpenter et al., 1956).

In rats, groups of males and females were exposed to 54, 107, 203, 314, or 432 ppm EGBE for 30 exposures (7 hours/day, 5 days/week for 6 weeks). Deaths occurred at 314 ppm and higher, and evidence of hemoglobinuria was evident at concentrations of 203 ppm and higher. A dose-dependent increase in erythrocyte osmotic fragility was observed at all exposure levels.

Groups of 10 male guinea pigs exposed to 54, 107, 203, 376, or 494 ppm EGBE for 30 exposures did not show evidence of RBC hemolysis at any concentration. Lung congestion and kidney damage were the only findings among the three animals that died at 376 ppm or higher.

Groups of mice exposed to 112, 200 or 400 ppm EGBE for 30 to 90 exposures exhibited transient hemoglobinuria at the highest concentration and increased red blood cell fragility at all concentrations. No mortality occurred and no gross pathology of organs was observed 42 days after cessation of exposure.

Carpenter et al. (1956) observed decreased hematocrit values and increased leucocyte count in dogs (one male, one female/study, no controls) after exposure to 100 or 200 ppm EGBE for up to 90 and 31 exposures, respectively. In addition to these findings, two dogs (one male, one female, no controls) exposed repeatedly to 385 ppm also exhibited nasal and ocular infection, generalized weakness, apathy, anorexia,

emesis and death following the 8th exposure in the female and the 28th exposure in the male.

In two monkeys exposed to 100 ppm EGBE (90 exposures) and one rhesus monkey exposed to 210 ppm EGBE (30 exposures) (no controls used in either study), increased red blood cell fragility was observed at both concentrations and emesis at the highest concentration. Pulmonary tuberculosis was found in the monkeys at autopsy, but no other noteworthy histopathological findings were observed (Carpenter et al., 1956).

7. Developmental and Reproductive Effects

EGBE is not listed as a developmental or reproductive toxicant under California Proposition 65 (OEHHA, 2015). Unlike some structurally-similar glycol ethers listed under Proposition 65, EGBE exposure did not cause significant effects in the reproductive organs, including testes (Dodd et al., 1983; NTP, 2000). Quantitative Structure Toxicity Relationship (QSTR) models also predicted that EGBE has no developmental toxicity (Ruiz et al., 2011).

In a developmental toxicity investigation, rats and rabbits were exposed to 25, 50, 100, or 200 ppm EGBE for 6 hours/day on gestational day (GD) 6-15 for rats and GD 6-18 for rabbits. In rats, maternal toxicity included decreased body weight gain, decreased food consumption, and evidence of anemia in the 100 and 200 ppm groups. Embryotoxicity (as increased number of totally resorbed litters and decreased number of viable implantations) was seen at the highest concentration and delayed skeletal ossification in offspring was observed at 100 and 200 ppm. In rabbits, toxicity included maternal deaths, spontaneous abortions and decreased body weight at 200 ppm, while hematological parameters were normal. Embryotoxicity indicated by reduced gravid uterine weight and a concomitant reduction in total and viable implantations was observed at 200 ppm (Tyl et al., 1984). In another developmental study, rats were exposed to 150 or 200 ppm EGBE for 7 hours/day on GD 7-15. Maternal evidence of hematuria was observed only on the first day of exposure at both concentrations, and no fetotoxicity was seen in the offspring (Nelson et al., 1984).

In a two-generation reproductive toxicity study, mice of both sexes were exposed to EGBE at doses of 0, 700, 1300, and 2100 mg/kg-day for 7 days prior to and during a 98-day cohabitation period (Heindel et al., 1990; EPA, 2010). Six of 20 and 13 of 22 F_0 female mice in the mid- and high-dose groups, respectively, died during the cohabitation period. Both the mid- and high-dose EGBE groups had fewer litters/pair and pups/litter as well as smaller pup weight, while decreased body weight was also reported in F_0 female mice. There were no significant differences for testes and epididymis weights, as well as sperm number and motility between exposure and control groups. For the second generation reproductive study, no effects were found. The authors reported that

the reproductive toxicity of EGBE was only evident in the female mice with large doses (usually >1000 mg/kg-day) (Heindel et al., 1990; EPA, 2010).

In an oral gavage study, pregnant mice were exposed to EGBE at doses of 0, 350, 650, 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg/kg-day (6 animals per group) during gestational days (GD) 8-14 (sacrificed at GD18). Hemolytic effects in the dams were observed starting at 650 mg/kg-day. At 1500 mg/kg-day and 2000 mg/kg-day, the maternal mortality rate was 3/6 and 6/6, respectively. Increased resorption rates and a reduced number of viable fetuses were observed at exposures of 1000 and 1500 mg/kg-day. Four (all in the same litter) of 43 fetuses at 1000 mg/kg-day and one of 25 fetuses at 1500 mg/kg-day had cleft palates. For this study, the NOAEL for maternal toxicity was 350 mg/kg-day and the NOAEL for developmental toxicity was 650 mg/kg-day (Wier et al., 1987; SCCP, 2007). Since only some of the offspring of pregnant mice exposed to very large doses of EGBE by gavage had cleft palates, it was concluded that EGBE is not significantly toxic to the reproductive organs of adult males or females, or to the developing fetuses of laboratory animals (ATSDR, 1998; EPA, 2010).

8. Derivation of Reference Exposure Levels

Reference Exposure Levels are based on the most sensitive and relevant health effects reported in the medical and toxicological literature. Acute Reference Exposure Levels are levels at which intermittent one-hour exposures are not expected to result in adverse health effects (see Section 5 of the Technical Support Document (OEHHA, 2008b).

8.1 EGBE Acute Reference Exposure Level

Study	Three studies (Carpenter et al., 1956)
Study population	2 to 4 human subjects per study
Exposure method	Whole body exposure, 98, 113 and 195 ppm
Exposure duration	8 hours (98 and 195 ppm in chamber) or 4 hours (113 ppm in room)
Critical effects	Subjective ocular and respiratory irritation
LOAEL	98 ppm (474 mg/m^3)
NOAEL	None
Time- adjusted exposure	None
Human equivalent concentration	None
LOAEL uncertainty factor (UF_L)	10
Subchronic uncertainty factor (UF_S)	N/A
Interspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{A-k})	1
Toxicodynamic (UF_{A-d})	1
Intraspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{H-k})	√10 (default)
Toxicodynamic (UF_{H-d})	10 (small sample size)
Cumulative uncertainty factor	300
Acute Reference Exposure Level	1.6 mg/m^3 (0.33 ppm)

The acute EGBE REL is based on three whole-body human exposure studies (small sample size) performed over an extended period. These studies identified a LOAEL of 98 ppm, based on subjective sensory irritation. The response at 98 ppm was reported to be nearly as great as that elicited at 195 ppm, which included immediate onset of nasal and throat irritation, followed by ocular irritation (Carpenter et al., 1956). Supporting studies that exposed volunteers to lower concentrations of 20 ppm (Swedish occupational exposure limit) and 49 ppm did not find obvious health effects (Johanson, 1986). However, these studies were primarily toxicokinetic studies and not designed for a detailed analysis of acute sensory irritant effects or a dose-response assessment (i.e., both studies used a single dose exposure concentration). Therefore, OEHHA is using a LOAEL of 98 ppm as a point of departure to develop the acute REL.

For the acute REL derivation, the critical effects of trigeminal-mediated sensory irritation are usually a concentration-dependent response. Thus, no time adjustment from a 2-

hour to a 1-hour exposure was performed. Since these studies were conducted in humans, no interspecies UFs are required. However, a UF of 10 to account for LOAEL to NOAEL was applied. Chemicals that result in upper respiratory sensory irritation are not predicted to be much different in children compared to adults when dosimetric adjustments are made (OEHHA, 2008a). To account for individual variability, UFs for intraspecies toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic differences, based on the sensory irritancy endpoints, are assigned √10 by default and 10 because of the small sample size, respectively. Thus, the cumulative UF is 300 for acute exposures and the acute REL is 0.33 ppm (1.6 mg/m^3).

In contrast, the LOAEL and NOAEL for hematological effects in a subacute EGBE inhalation rat study (9 days total exposure; 5 days, two days of no exposure, then 4 days, 6 hr/day) (Dodd et al., 1983) were 86 ppm and 20 ppm, respectively. That data set was not used to develop an acute REL, because the use of human toxicity data to develop a REL is preferred when possible over animal data (OEHHA, 2008), and as discussed above, humans tend to be resistant to EGBE hematological effects. Further, the multi-day exposure study design is not particularly amenable to estimating an acute REL, which is meant for infrequent 1 hour exposures.

8.2 EGBE 8-Hour Reference Exposure Level

The 8-hour Reference Exposure Level is a concentration at or below which adverse noncancer health effects would not be anticipated for repeated 8-hour exposures (see Section 6 of the Technical Support Document) (OEHHA, 2008a).

Study	NTP, 2000
Study population	Rats (50 animals/group/gender)
Exposure method	Discontinuous whole-body inhalation exposure to 0, 31.2, 62.5, 125 ppm
Critical effects	Nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory epithelium
LOAEL	31.2 ppm
NOAEL	Not observed
$BMCL_{05}$	7.6 ppm (Logistic model from female rats)
Exposure continuity	6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Exposure duration	2 years
Time-adjusted exposure	2.714 ppm (7.6 ppm x 6/24 x 5/7 x 20/10)
Human Equivalent Concentration	0.950 ppm (gas with extrathoracic respiratory effects, RGDR = 0.35)
LOAEL uncertainty factor	1 (with use of a $BMCL_{05}$)
Subchronic uncertainty factor	1
Interspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{A-k})	1
Toxicodynamic (UF_{A-d})	√10
Intraspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{H-k})	√10
Toxicodynamic (UF_{H-d})	√10
Cumulative uncertainty factor	30
Eight-hour Reference Exposure Level	0.15 mg/m^3 (0.032 ppm)

Note: Time-adjusted Exposure: The POD is first adjusted to a 24-hour continuous exposure (6/24 hours x 5/7 days per week), then multiplied by 2 (20m^3/10m^3) to represent an active worker breathing half the air that a resident would breathe over a 24-hour period in an 8-hour work day. Human Equivalent Concentration (HEC) = Time-adjusted Exposure × RGDR. The Regional Gas Dose Ratio (RGDR) = (MV_A/MV_H) / (SA_A/SA_H); MV is Minute Volume = inhaled volume × respiratory rate, and SA is surface area for the lung region of concern ($_A$ and $_H$ represent animal and human respectively). Gas with extrathoracic respiratory effects, RGDR = $0.35MV_A$ = 0.38 m^3/day, MV_H = 14.48 m^3/day, SA_A = 15 cm^2, SA_H = 200 cm^2 (OEHHA, 2008a).

In the key study (NTP, 2000), rats and mice subjected to a whole-body inhalation exposure of 0, 31.2, 62.5, or 125 ppm for two years displayed nasal olfactory epithelium lesions, liver Kupffer cell pigmentation, and forestomach epithelial hyperplasia and ulcers in both species. This study was chosen because it used a lifetime inhalation exposure, and provided the most sensitive toxicity endpoint not dependent on hemolytic anemia (humans tend to be resistant to EGBE hematological effects).

Exposure doses and related toxicity endpoints are listed in Table 1. Benchmark dose analysis was performed using Benchmark Dose Modeling Software version 2.6 (BMDS) (EPA, 2015). The calculated $BMCL_{05}$ values (the 95% lower confidence interval at the 5% response rate), and corresponding NOAEL and LOAEL values are listed in Table 2. We are using the $BMCL_{05}$ values as the point of departure (POD) for REL derivation. For each endpoint, the $BMCL_{05}$ is derived from the models that provided the best visual and statistical fit to the data, particularly in the low dose region of the dose-response curve where the $BMCL_{05}$ resides. Following U.S. EPA guidelines, the model with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was chosen in instances where various model fits to the data were similar.

Table 2: $BMCL_{05}$, NOAEL and LOAEL values for nasal olfactory epithelium lesions, liver Kupffer cell pigmentation, and forestomach ulcers in rats and mice, and epithelial hyperplasia in mice exposed to EGBE by inhalation for two years (NTP, 2000)

Endpoints	$BMCL_{05}$	NOAEL	LOAEL
Nasal Olfactory Epithelium Lesion			
Male rats	8.0 (Probit)	31.2	62.5
Female rats	7.6 (Logistic)	31.2	62.5
Male and female rats combined	8.2 (Probit)	**---------**	31.2
Male mice	NA	NE	62.5
Female mice	34.3 (LogLogistic)	NE	62.5
Male and female rats combined	74.2 (LogLogistic)	**---------**	*62.5*
Liver Kupffer Cell Pigmentation			
Male rats	5.7 (Logistic)	31.2	62.5
Female rats	11.6 (LogLogistic)	31.2	62.5
Male and female rats combined	5.5 (Logistic)	*31.2*	*62.5*
Male mice	97.9 (Dichotomous-Hill)	62.5	125
Female Mice	37.5 (LogProbit)	NE	62.5
Male and female mice combined	49.9 (LogProbit)	**---------**	*62.5*
Forestomach Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Male Mice	16.2 (Weibull)	NE	62.5
Female Mice	9.7 (LogProbit)	NE	62.5
Male and female mice combined	11.4 (Dichotomous-Hill)	**---------**	*62.5*
Forestomach Ulcer			
Male mice	64.5 (Dichotomous-Hill)	62.5	125
Female Mice	17.5 (Quantal-linear)	NE	62.5
Male and female rats combined	**26.3 (LogLogistic)**	---------	*62.5*

Note: $BMCL_{05}$ is based on dichotomous models (model shown in parenthesis) with best visual and statistical fit (EPA, 2015); NE, Not established; NA, Not applicable (a poor dose-response curve for BMC determination).

Of the chronic effects noted in rats and mice in Table 2, the nasal olfactory epithelium lesions are more analogous to what would occur with human exposure to EGBE than the other lesions. The primary cause of the nasal lesions is likely to be direct EGBE irritation through the inhalation route (NTP, 2000). We are focusing on the regional (nose and upper respiratory tract) responses/changes, which is the most sensitive endpoint, and is more consistent with the acute inhalation effect of EGBE in humans (Carpenter et al., 1956). Although liver Kupffer cell pigmentation would provide a lower $BMCL_{05}$, this effect is secondary to hemolytic anemia, which is not considered by

OEHHA to be relevant for EGBE REL derivation in humans. Regarding the forestomach effects in mice, humans do not have a similar organ, but it is conceivable that EGBE could irritate the lining of the esophagus or stomach in humans. However, this endpoint was not as sensitive as the nasal olfactory epithelium lesions (Table 2) and there is evidence that humans would not be as sensitive as mice for upper gastrointestinal effects.

Little difference was observed in the incidence of nasal lesions between male and female rats, compared to the gender differences for incidences observed for the other endpoints presented in Table 1. Therefore, combining male and female rats for $BMCL_{05}$ estimation is applicable for the nasal endpoint in Table 2. However, the $BMCL_{05}$ results (7.6 ppm) in female rats were chosen as the point of departure (POD) for REL derivation because the $BMCL_{05}$ was slightly lower with this set of data. Table 3 lists the BMD, $BMDL_{05,}$ Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and goodness-of-fit P-values for the several dichotomous models fit to the female rat nasal olfactory epithelium lesion incidences. Figure 2 provides a graphic display of the dichotomous logistic model fit to the female rat nasal olfactory epithelium lesion incidence data.

Table 3. BMDS dichotomous models fit to nasal olfactory epithelium lesion incidence in female rats after inhalation exposure to EGBE for 2 years (NTP, 2000)

Model Name	BMD	$BMDL_{05}$	Goodness-of-fit p-value	AIC	Scaled residual
Multistage	5.2374	3.9823	0.4685	241.690	0.463
Gamma	15.1739	4.3513	0.9612	242.149	0.008
Logistic	**9.1707**	**7.5634**	**0.9581**	**240.233**	**0.121**
LogLogistic	17.8418	5.8485	0.8758	242.171	0.100
LogProbit	19.8745	7.3567	0.8306	242.192	0.129
Probit	8.9602	7.5020	0.9493	240.251	0.109
Weibull	13.1786	4.3451	0.8851	242.168	0.029
Quantal-Linear	5.2374	3.9822	0.4685	241.690	0.463

Note: Results are from benchmark dose analysis using BMDS version 2.6 (U.S. EPA, 2015). We selected the best available model based on a smaller AIC and larger goodness-of-fit P-value among the different models. In this case, the Logistic model (bold) was the most appropriate model. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion. Scaled residual is for the dose group nearest the BMD.



Figure 2. Dichotomous Logistic model fit to nasal olfactory epithelium incidences in female rats after inhalation exposure to EGBE for 2 years (NTP, 2000)

The average experimental exposure was adjusted for 8-hour exposures, seven days/week. The assumption is that the rats show both mixed active and inactive periods during exposure, and a time adjustment is made to simulate an active 8-hour working period during which the off-site worker is exposed. The concentration is first adjusted down to 24-hour continuous exposure (6/24 hours x 5/7 days per week), then multiplied by 2 ($20m^3/10m^3$) to represent an active individual breathing half the air breathed in a day during an active working 8-hour period when exposure occurs, compared to what a resident would breathe over a 24-hour period.

Adjustments for differences in minute volume and for relative areas of human and rat extrathoracic regions of the respiratory tract resulted in a human equivalent concentration of 0.95 ppm (OEHHA, 2008c). We used an interspecies UF = √10. This was composed of a toxicokinetic UF of 1 because we utilized the HEC dosimetric adjustment and the toxicological endpoint is a port of entry effect. We retained a UF of √10 to account for interspecies tissue sensitivity differences.. The intraspecies toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic UFs were both assigned √10. No additional adjustment was made for early life exposures, since the effect of concern is at the portal of entry. The cumulative UF was 30 which results in an 8-hour REL of 0.032 ppm (0.15 mg/m^3) and this value is just slightly higher than EGBE's odor threshold (0.10 ppm).

8.3 EGBE Chronic Reference Exposure Level

Study	NTP, 2000
Study population	Rats (50 animals/group/gender)
Exposure method	Discontinuous whole-body inhalation exposure of 0, 31.2, 62.5, 125 ppm
Critical effects	Nasal hyaline degeneration of olfactory epithelium
LOAEL	31.2 ppm
NOAEL	Not observed
BMC_{05}	7.6 ppm (Logistic model from female rats)
Exposure continuity	6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Exposure duration	2 years
Time-adjusted exposure	1.357 ppm (7.6 ppm x 6/24 x 5/7)
Human Equivalent Concentration	0.475 ppm (gas with extrathoracic respiratory effects, RGDR = 0.35)
LOAEL uncertainty factor	NA
Subchronic uncertainty factor	1
Interspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{A-k})	1
Toxicodynamic (UF_{A-d})	√10
Intraspecies uncertainty factor	
Toxicokinetic (UF_{H-k})	√10
Toxicodynamic (UF_{H-d})	√10
Cumulative uncertainty factor	30
Chronic Reference Exposure Level	0.077 mg/m^3 (0.016 ppm)

The chronic REL is based on the same study as the 8-hour REL (NTP, 2000) and uses the same benchmark dose analysis with a POD of 7.6 ppm. In this instance the time adjusted exposure reflects conversion of an intermittent to a continuous exposure. The same uncertainty factors apply to give a cumulative UF of 30 and a chronic REL of 0.016 ppm (0.077 mg/m^3).

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), based on an 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) Recommended Exposure Limit of 5 ppm (24 mg/m^3) on tissue irritation, CNS depression, and adverse effects on the blood and hematopoietic systems. Both the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) have a TWA of 25 ppm (120 mg/m^3), based on the risk of hematologic and other systemic effects

associated with exposure to EGBE. These values were established more than 20 years ago (NIOSH, 1992).

9. Evidence for Differential Sensitivity of Children

No human inhalation studies were found that addressed differential sensitivity of children relative to adult exposed to EGBE, in terms of eye and upper respiratory irritation. In experimental animals, no evidence was found for differential sensitivity in developmental studies, as both maternal toxicity and fetotoxicity occurred at similar exposure concentrations. Regarding the hemolytic action of EGBE, an animal oral gavage study found that adult (9-13 weeks) male rats were significantly more sensitive to the hemolytic effects of EGBE than young (4-5 weeks) male rats (Ghanayem et al., 1987). In humans, *in vitro* studies in erythrocytes from children and healthy adults showed no difference in their resistance to the hemolytic effects of BAA (Udden, 1994; Udden, 2002). Due to the sensory irritant action of EGBE exposure, asthmatics including children may be more sensitive to EGBE exposure compared to the general population. However, there is currently insufficient evidence to consider EGBE a chemical for which children are more sensitive compared to the general population.

Several epidemiological studies indicated that indoor factors might cause asthma in childhood. The most consistent finding for an induction of asthma in childhood is related to exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, to living in homes close to busy roads, and in damp homes where are visible molds at home. However, more research is needed to clarify the potential risk for exposure to volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds due to renovation activities and cleaning (Heinrich, 2011). Further study is needed to identify whether EGBE contributes to increased childhood asthma in the home environment.

10. References

AIHA (1989). Odor thresholds for chemicals with established occupational health standards American Industrial Hygiene Association AEAR89-108.

Andersen FA (1996). Final report on the safety assessment of butoxyethanol. Journal of the American College of Toxicology 15(6): 462-526.

ARB (2011). ARB statewide emissions - Air Toxics Hot Spots database. California Air Resources Board Internal Excel Database.

ATSDR (1998). Toxicological profile for 2-Butoxyethanol and 2-Butoxyethanol acetate. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp118-p.pdf.

Bartnik FG, Reddy AK, Klecak G, Zimmermann V, Hostynek JJ and Kunstler K (1987). Percutaneous absorption, metabolism, and hemolytic activity of n-butoxyethanol. Fundam Appl Toxicol 8(1): 59-70.

Bello A, Quinn MM, Perry MJ and Milton DK (2009). Characterization of occupational exposures to cleaning products used for common cleaning tasks--a pilot study of hospital cleaners. Environ Health 8: 11.

Blanc PD and Toren K (1999). How much adult asthma can be attributed to occupational factors? Am J Med 107(6): 580-7.

Boatman R, Corley R, Green T, Klaunig J and Udden M (2004). Review of studies concerning the tumorigenicity of 2-butoxyethanol in B6C3F1 mice and its relevance for human risk assessment. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 7(5): 385-98.

Bonisch U, Bohme A, Kohajda T, Mogel I, Schutze N, von Bergen M, Simon JC, Lehmann I and Polte T (2012). Volatile organic compounds enhance allergic airway inflammation in an experimental mouse model. PLoS One 7(7): e39817.

Brown SK (2002). Volatile organic pollutants in new and established buildings in Melbourne, Australia. Indoor Air 12(1): 55-63.

Burge PS (2010). Recent developments in occupational asthma. Swiss Med Wkly.

Burge PS, Moore VC and Robertson AS (2012). Sensitization and irritant-induced occupational asthma with latency are clinically indistinguishable. Occup Med (Lond) 62(2): 129-33.

Burns K (2010). 2-Butoxyethanol, A Review of the Current Toxicity Information. http://www.sciencecorps.org/kmb_2-butoxyethanol_toxicity.pdf.

Carpenter CP, Keck GA, Nair JH, 3rd, Pozzani UC, Smyth HF, Jr. and Weil CS (1956). The toxicity of butyl cellosolve solvent. AMA Arch Ind Health 14(2): 114-31.

Choi H, Schmidbauer N, Sundell J, Hasselgren M, Spengler J and Bornehag CG (2010). Common household chemicals and the allergy risks in pre-school age children. PLoS One 5(10): e13423.

Corley RA, Bormett GA and Ghanayem BI (1994). Physiologically based pharmacokinetics of 2-butoxyethanol and its major metabolite, 2-butoxyacetic acid, in rats and humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 129(1): 61-79.

Corley RA, Grant DM, Farris E, Weitz KK, Soelberg JJ, Thrall KD and Poet TS (2005). Determination of age and gender differences in biochemical processes affecting the disposition of 2-butoxyethanol and its metabolites in mice and rats to improve PBPK modeling. Toxicol Lett 156(1): 127-61.

Corley RA, Markham DA, Banks C, Delorme P, Masterman A and Houle JM (1997). Physiologically based pharmacokinetics and the dermal absorption of 2-butoxyethanol vapor by humans. Fundam Appl Toxicol 39(2): 120-30.

Dean BS and Krenzelok EP (1992). Clinical evaluation of pediatric ethylene glycol monobutyl ether poisonings. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 30(4): 557-63.

Denkhaus W, von Steldern D, Botzenhardt U and Konietzko H (1986). Lymphocyte subpopulations in solvent-exposed workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 57(2): 109-15.

Dill JA, Lee KM, Bates DJ, Anderson DJ, Johnson RE, Chou BJ, Burka LT and Roycroft JH (1998). Toxicokinetics of inhaled 2-butoxyethanol and its major metabolite, 2-butoxyacetic acid, in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 153(2): 227-42.

Dodd DE, Snellings WM, Maronpot RR and Ballantyne B (1983). Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether: acute, 9-day, and 90-day vapor inhalation studies in Fischer 344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 68(3): 405-14.

EPA (2010). Toxicological review of ethylene glycol monobutyl ether (EGBE) (CAS No. 111-76-2). http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/0500.htm.

EPA (2015). Benchmark Dose Software, Version 2.6. http://www.epa.gov/ncea/bmds/dwnldu.html.

Fang L, Clausen G and Fanger PO (1999). Impact of temperature and humidity on chemical and sensory emissions from building materials. Indoor Air 9(3): 193-201.

Ghanayem BI, Blair PC, Thompson MB, Maronpot RR and Matthews HB (1987). Effect of age on the toxicity and metabolism of ethylene glycol monobutyl ether (2-butoxyethanol) in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 91(2): 222-34.

Ghanayem BI, Burka LT and Matthews HB (1987b). Metabolic basis of ethylene glycol monobutyl ether (2-butoxyethanol) toxicity: role of alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 242(1): 222-31.

Ghanayem BI, Burka LT, Sanders JM and Matthews HB (1987a). Metabolism and disposition of ethylene glycol monobutyl ether (2-butoxyethanol) in rats. Drug Metab Dispos 15(4): 478-84.

Ghanayem BI, Sanchez IM and Matthews HB (1992). Development of tolerance to 2-butoxyethanol-induced hemolytic anemia and studies to elucidate the underlying mechanisms. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 112(2): 198-206.

Ghanayem BI, Sanders JM, Clark AM, Bailer J and Matthews HB (1990a). Effects of dose, age, inhibition of metabolism and elimination on the toxicokinetics of 2-butoxyethanol and its metabolites. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 253(1): 136-43.

Ghanayem BI and Sullivan CA (1993). Assessment of the haemolytic activity of 2-butoxyethanol and its major metabolite, butoxyacetic acid, in various mammals including humans. Hum Exp Toxicol 12(4): 305-11.

Ghanayem BI, Ward SM, Blair PC and Matthews HB (1990). Comparison of the hematologic effects of 2-butoxyethanol using two types of hematology analyzers. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 106(2): 341-5.

Gift JS (2005). U.S. EPA's IRIS assessment of 2-butoxyethanol: the relationship of noncancer to cancer effects. Toxicol Lett 156(1): 163-78.

Gijsenbergh FP, Jenco M, Veulemans H, Groeseneken D, Verberckmoes R and Delooz HH (1989). Acute butylglycol intoxication: a case report. Hum Toxicol 8(3): 243-5.

Gingell R, Boatman RJ and Lewis S (1998). Acute toxicity of ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether in the guinea pig. Food Chem Toxicol 36(9-10): 825-9.

Green T, Toghill A, Lee R, Moore R and Foster J (2002). The development of forestomach tumours in the mouse following exposure to 2-butoxyethanol by inhalation: studies on the mode of action and relevance to humans. Toxicology 180(3): 257-73.

Gualtieri JF, DeBoer L, Harris CR and Corley R (2003). Repeated ingestion of 2-butoxyethanol: case report and literature review. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 41(1): 57-62.

Haufroid V, Thirion F, Mertens P, Buchet JP and Lison D (1997). Biological monitoring of workers exposed to low levels of 2-butoxyethanol. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70(4): 232-6.

Heindel JJ, Gulati DK, Russell VS, Reel JR, Lawton AD and Lamb JCt (1990). Assessment of ethylene glycol monobutyl and monophenyl ether reproductive toxicity using a continuous breeding protocol in Swiss CD-1 mice. Fundam Appl Toxicol 15(4): 683-96.

Heinrich J (2011). Influence of indoor factors in dwellings on the development of childhood asthma. Int J Hyg Environ Health 214(1): 1-25.

HSDB (2005). Ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether(CASRN: 111-76-2). http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/.

HSDB (2015). Ethylene glycol mono-n-butyl ether (CASRN: 111-76-2). TOXNET - Toxicology Data Network http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/a?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+538.

IWMB (2003). Building materials emissions study. Integrated Waste Management Board. http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/Publications/Documents/GreenBuilding%5C43303015.pdf.

Jakasa I, Mohammadi N, Kruse J and Kezic S (2004). Percutaneous absorption of neat and aqueous solutions of 2-butoxyethanol in volunteers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 77(2): 79-84.

Johanson G (1986). Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling of inhaled 2-butoxyethanol in man. Toxicol Lett 34(1): 23-31.

Johanson G (1994). Inhalation toxicokinetics of butoxyethanol and its metabolite butoxyacetic acid in the male Sprague-Dawley rat. Arch Toxicol 68(9): 588-94.

Jones K and Cocker J (2003). A human exposure study to investigate biological monitoring methods for 2-butoxyethanol. Biomarkers 8(5): 360-70.

Jones K, Cocker J, Dodd LJ and Fraser I (2003b). Factors affecting the extent of dermal absorption of solvent vapours: a human volunteer study. Ann Occup Hyg 47(2): 145-50.

Kezic S, Meuling WJ and Jakasa I (2004). Free and total urinary 2-butoxyacetic acid following dermal and inhalation exposure to 2-butoxyethanol in human volunteers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 77(8): 580-6.

Kogevinas M, Zock JP, Jarvis D, Kromhout H, Lillienberg L, Plana E, Radon K, Toren K, Alliksoo A, Benke G, Blanc PD, Dahlman-Hoglund A, D'Errico A, Hery M, Kennedy S, Kunzli N, Leynaert B, Mirabelli MC, Muniozguren N, Norback D, Olivieri M, Payo F, Villani S, van Sprundel M, Urrutia I, Wieslander G, Sunyer J and Anto JM (2007). Exposure to substances in the workplace and new-onset asthma: an international prospective population-based study (ECRHS-II). Lancet 370(9584): 336-41.

Kullman GJ (1987). NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation Report No. HETA 87-273-1866. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Cincinnatti, OH.

Kumagai S, Oda H, Matsunaga I, Kosaka H and Akasaka S (1999). Uptake of 10 polar organic solvents during short-term respiration. Toxicol Sci 48(2): 255-63.

McJilton CE, Reynolds SJ, Streifel AJ and Pearsonb RL (1990). Bacteria and indoor odor problems - three case studies. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 51(10): 545-9.

Medinsky MA, Singh G, Bechtold WE, Bond JA, Sabourin PJ, Birnbaum LS and Henderson RF (1990). Disposition of three glycol ethers administered in drinking water to male F344/N rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 102(3): 443-55.

Melchior Gerster F, Brenna Hopf N, Pierre Wild P and Vernez D (2014). Airborne Exposures to Monoethanolamine, Glycol Ethers, and Benzyl Alcohol During Professional Cleaning: A Pilot Study. Ann Occup Hyg.

Nelson BK, Setzer JV, Brightwell WS, Mathinos PR, Kuczuk MH, Weaver TE and Goad PT (1984). Comparative inhalation teratogenicity of four glycol ether solvents and an amino derivative in rats. Environ Health Perspect 57: 261-71.

NIOSH (1992). Occupational safety and health guideline for 2-Butoxyethanol. http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/81-123/pdfs/0070-rev.pdf.

NLM (2014). Ethylene Glycol Mono-N-Butyl Ether, National Library of Medicine. http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/a?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+538.

NTP (2000). Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies 2-Butoxyethanol (CAS NO. 111-76-2) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Inhalation Studies). http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/LT_rpts/tr484.pdf.

OECD (1997). SIDS Initial assessment report for 6th SAIM: 2-Butoxyethanol. . Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Screening Information Data Set prepared for the SIDS Initial Assessment Meeting http://www.chem.unep.ch/irptc/sids/OECDSIDS/111762.pdf.

OECD (2012). OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 166, SIDS. SIDS Initial Assessment Profiles agreed in the course of the OECD HPV Chemicals Programme from 1993-2011 http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=ENV/JM/MONO(2012)4/PART5&doclanguage=en.

OEHHA (2008a). Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines. Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Cal/EPA. http://oehha.ca.gov/air/hot_spots/.

OEHHA. (2008b). *Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines. Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels*. http://www.oehha.ca.gov/air/hot_spots/2008/NoncancerTSD_final.pdf.

OEHHA (2008c). Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines: Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Cal/EPA. Air Toxicology and Epidemiology Branch http://oehha.ca.gov/air/hot_spots/2008/NoncancerTSD_final.pdf.

OEHHA (2015). Proposition 65 - Governor's List. Chemicals Known to the State to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Cal/EPA. http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/files/P65single061915.pdf.

Palkar PS, Philip BK, Reddy RN and Mehendale HM (2007). Priming dose of phenylhydrazine protects against hemolytic and lethal effects of 2-butoxyethanol. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 225(1): 102-12.

Poet TS, Soelberg JJ, Weitz KK, Mast TJ, Miller RA, Thrall BD and Corley RA (2003). Mode of action and pharmacokinetic studies of 2-butoxyethanol in the mouse with an emphasis on forestomach dosimetry. Toxicol Sci 71(2): 176-89.

Quirce S and Barranco P (2010). Cleaning agents and asthma. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 20(7): 542-50; quiz 2p following 550.

Rambourg-Schepens MO, Buffet M, Bertault R, Jaussaud M, Journe B, Fay R and Lamiable D (1988). Severe ethylene glycol butyl ether poisoning. Kinetics and metabolic pattern. Hum Toxicol 7(2): 187-9.

Raymond LW, Williford LS and Burke WA (1998). Eruptive cherry angiomas and irritant symptoms after one acute exposure to the glycol ether solvent 2-butoxyethanol. J Occup Environ Med 40(12): 1059-64.

Rebsdat S and Mayer D (2001). Ethylene Oxide. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14356007.a10_117/abstract.

Ruiz P, Mumtaz M and Gombar V (2011). Assessing the toxic effects of ethylene glycol ethers using Quantitative Structure Toxicity Relationship models. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 254(2): 198-205.

Sakai T, Araki T, Morita Y and Masuyama Y (1994). Gaschromatographic determination of butoxyacetic acid after hydrolysis of conjugated metabolites in urine from workers exposed to 2-butoxyethanol. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 66(4): 249-54.

SCCP (2007). Opinion on ethylene glycol mono-butyl ether. Scientific Committee on Consumer Products, European Commission. http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_sccp/docs/sccp_o_095.pdf.

SCHER (2008). SCHER Opinion on the risk assessment report on the risk assessment report on 2-buthoxyethanol (EGBE), CAS 111-76-2, Human Health Part, Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER). http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_scher/docs/scher_o_087.pdf.

Siracusa A, De Blay F, Folletti I, Moscato G, Olivieri M, Quirce S, Raulf-Heimsoth M, Sastre J, Tarlo SM, Walusiak-Skorupa J and Zock JP (2013). Asthma and exposure to cleaning products - a European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology task force consensus statement. Allergy 68(12): 1532-45.

Tyl RW, Millicovsky G, Dodd DE, Pritts IM, France KA and Fisher LC (1984). Teratologic evaluation of ethylene glycol monobutyl ether in Fischer 344 rats and New Zealand white rabbits following inhalation exposure. Environ Health Perspect 57: 47-68.

Udden MM (2002). In vitro sub-hemolytic effects of butoxyacetic acid on human and rat erythrocytes. Toxicol Sci 69(1): 258-64.

Udden MM and Patton CS (1994). Hemolysis and deformability of erythrocytes exposed to butoxyacetic acid, a metabolite of 2-butoxyethanol: I. Sensitivity in rats and resistance in normal humans. J Appl Toxicol 14(2): 91-6.

Vincent R, Cicolella A, Subra I, Rieger B, Poirot P and Pierre F (1993). Occupational exposure to 2-butoxyethanol for workers using window cleaning agents. Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 8(6): 580-6.

Wier PJ, C. LS and Traul KA (1987). A comparison of developmental toxicity evident at term to postnatal growth and survival using ethylene glycol monoethyl ether, ethylene glycol monobutyl ether and ethanol. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 7(1): 55-64.

Zhu J, Cao XL and Beauchamp R (2001). Determination of 2-butoxyethanol emissions from selected consumer products and its application in assessment of inhalation exposure associated with cleaning tasks. Environ Int 26(7-8): 589-97.